MR. G. 1—32,000—15-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1586 Press Mis.

125—26
Dated 18-10-1920 10

Not to be folded or roughly handled

10
1586
20

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th October 1870.

No. 175. Read the following letter from W. ROBINSON, Esq., C.S.I., Second Member, in charge of the Inam Department, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated 4th October 1870, No. 35 :—

THERE is an entire Kattuguttage Ardhamaniyam Inam village, named Vizayapati, in the Nanganeri Taluq of the Tinnevelly District, belonging to the Visvamitra Svami Pagoda in the above district.

2. The village was brought under Inam Settlement in the year 1864, and a Title-deed issued in the name of the Manager of the Pagoda, with a fixed quit-rent of Rupees 202.

3. In the Title-deed, the actual extent of dry land then classed as fit for cultivation, viz., acres 750·12, was entered. There was also some wet land, viz., acres 4·14, on which only one crop was usually raised, which was accordingly entered as one crop land in the registers.

4. These entries, I understand, were recorded to show the ascertained condition of the village at the time. But it was not meant thereby to restrict the benefits of the settlement to then registered cultivation, or make liable to further demand any extension of dry cultivation or any second-crop raised without irrigation from Government source.

5. The Collector, however, has, it appears fully assessed* certain dry cultivation which was found in excess of the extent entered in the Title-deed, and has similarly charged water-rate (*Fasaljusti*)† on some second crop cultivation, although no Government water had been made use of.

	RS.	A.	P.
*	87	2	8
†	11	9	1

6. The Manager of the Pagoda appealed to me against these proceedings, and the correspondence noted in the margin, copies of which are herewith submitted, has passed between the Collector and myself on the subject.

To Collector, dated	15th January	1870,	No.	67.
From ,,	,, 9th May	,,	,,	197.
To ,,	,, 4th July	,,	,,	1,105.
From ,,	,, 10th Sept.	,,	,,	437.

7. The Government will observe that the Collector, admitting the error committed, recommends that the excess collections made from the petitioner, amounting to Rupees 87-2-8 + 11-9-1, may be refunded.

8. Under a mis-apprehension of the scope of Government Order, dated 27th April 1868, No. 1,122, I directed the Collector to refund that part of the excess collections which related to the assessment on dry cultivation. As, however, that order is restricted to refund of proceeds of lands released from attachment, I have the honour to request that the order passed by me may be confirmed by Government. Sanction for the further refund of the water-rate (*Fasaljasti*) erroneously collected, amounting to Rupees 11-9-1, may be granted.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th October 1870.

No. 176. ORDER THEREON, 18th October 1870, No. 1,586.

Land Revenue.

THE order issued by Mr. Robinson for the refund of Rupees 87-2-8 is confirmed, and sanction is granted for the further refund of Rupees (11-9-1) eleven, Annas nine, and Pie one.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Inam Commissioner.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No 67.

Official Memorandum.

Petition No 112 of 1867.

Forwarded to the Collector of Tinnevelly for disposal.

2. Pergunnah Land Dr situated within the recognized boundaries of Ahmm Villages are not liable to be assessed for Government Peishcush altogether. — Vide Proceedings of the Board dated 16th March 1866 No 84/12 respectively.

1866 No 84/12 respectively.

(signed) W. Robinson
2d Member of the Board of Revenue, in charge of the Ryotwar Department

Revenue Board Office
Ryotwar Department
Received 15th January 1870

No 1, The Collector of Tinnevelly.

(True copy.)

W. Robinson
2d Member of the Board of Revenue in charge of the Ryotwar Department.

Compared

Govt. of {1870} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE INAM COMMISSIONER,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated October, 18th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G. O., 18th October 1870, No. 1,586.

OCTOBER.
Nos. 175-176.

---

175 *Fasaljasti collected from certain Pagoda Inam in Tinnevelly District.*—Its refund to the Manager of the Pagoda, sanctioned.

Tuticorin Collector's Circuit
Cutcherry, Trichendur 9th May 1870.

No 197.

From,

C. T. Longley Esquire,
Acting Collector.

To,

W. Robinson Esquire,
2d Member of the Board of
Revenue in charge of the
Salt Department
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo
No 67 dated 15th January last, I have
the honor to report on the subjects referred
to in Vaziapatti Vaithianadha Pillai's
Petition No 112 of 1867, which accompanied
the above.

2. Petitioner's requests are threefold.

(1). That as he has been assessed
on the cultivation he raised on Dry lands
situated within the recognized boundaries
of his Salai Village, and a Quit rent
of Rupees 202 has been fixed on
the entire Village, a refund of the
assessments paid by him be made.

(2.) That as Kasalgasti has been
levied

levied for 2d crop, raised on 1st crop land registered as single crop, a refund of the extra assessment levied be made to him.

(3.) That the rents due to him by under tenants may be recovered for him by Government.

3. In respect to the first, I beg to state that of 1318·94 Acres of Dry land in the Village, 750·12 Acres only were under cultivation prior to the Inam settlement, which was accordingly entered in the Inam Register. 43·144 Acres of Dry land was subsequently cultivated and this claim was rejected (on the ground that it exceeded the Extent shown in the Title Deed) from Faslies 1275 to 1278; but was written off the accounts from Fasli 1279, on the Petitioner's representing and proving that the whole Village was granted to him as Inam. The assessment levied from Faslies 1275 to 1278 amounts to Rupees 87-2-8; this, Petitioner requests may be refunded, and I request your sanction for refunding this sum as it has already been credited to Government.

× to be returned.

4. As regards the 2d point, I beg to observe that the 2d Crop raised on single crop land has been properly taxed with Trasalgaste, under Standing Order No. 172.

5. As to the 3d point, it has been pointed out to the Petitioner that he must proceed under the Rent Recovery Act.

6. The records of the case are herewith forwarded.

I have &c.
(signed) C. F. Longley
Acting Collector.

(True Copy)

2d Member of the Board of Revenue, in charge of the Inam department.

Comp'd

No 1105.

Official Memorandum.

Under Government Order dated 27th April 1868 No 1122, the Collector of Tinnevelly is requested to respond to the Head Dar named in the margin, the sum of Rupees 87-2-8 referred to in para 3 of his letter dated 9th May 1870 No 197.

Tirunamallam Pillai the Manager for the time being of the Pagoda of Viswanatha = = Swami Deity in the village of Vijayapathi, in the Taluq of Srivaigundi.

Ltr to Collr No 167.

2. As regards the question of Vijayapathi, the Collector will inform this Board how the lands are irrigated. The Deputy Collector who settled the case recorded in the Register, that the tank under which the lands are irrigated is kept up by the Inamdar. If so, it is not clear why Nasalpatti should be charged at all.

3. The records of the case are herewith returned.

(signed) W. Robinson.
2d Member in charge of the Inam department.

Revenue Board Office
Inam department.
Madras 6th July 1870.

To
The Collector of Tinnevelly.

(True Copy)
J. R. Wardlaw
2d Member of the Board of Revenue
Inam department

Enclosure No 2.

Ltr from the 2d Member of the Board of Revenue, Inam department to the Collr of Tinnevelly & Madura & Superintendent of Police, Tinnevelly & Madura dated 6th July 1870 No 1105.

Rec 8th July
Ans 18th Oct No 1123

No. 437. Tinnivelly Collector's Circuit Cutchery, Courtallum 10th September 1870.

From,

C. T. Longley Esquire,
Acting Collector

To,

W. Robinson Esquire,
2d Member of the Board of Revenue
in charge of the Rám department.
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo = randum etc. 1105, dated 4th July last, I have the honor to state that the tank which irrigates the lands, is reported to have been kept up by the Irándár, and to request that the Fasli (2d crop raised on one crop land) assessment of Rupees 11-9-1 already collected for Fasli 1276 may be refunded to the Irándár.

2. I beg also to state, that the Manager Tirumambalam Pillai therein referred to, died in 1866 leaving no male issue, and that his

his Son-in-law Vayethianádha Pillai (the Petitioner) performs all the duties of the Manager.

3. The above named Vayithianádha Pillai's Petition dt. 112 is herewith returned.

I have &c.

(signed) C. O. Langley

Acting Collector.

(True copy)

W. Brunton

2d Member of the Board of Revenue in charge of the Marine Department.

Revenue Dept.

No. 1580.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt. under date the 18th October 1870

Read the following letter from the 2d Member of the Bd. of Revenue in charge of the Marine Dept.

Soliciting sanction of Govt. for Rs. 87-2-8 refunding to the Managers of certain Cocoanuts in the Taluk of Ramnad, in the Zillah of Madura, the Duplicate Licenses on the Indian

(M. D. 4th Oct. 1870 No. 35)

The order is issued by Mr. Robinson for the Refund of Rs. 87-2-8 is confirmed, and sanction is granted for the further Refund of Rs. (11-9-1) eleven, nine & fifty one

8 Indian Mr. Dept. 19
6 Accts. Genl.