

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1482	Press Mis.
236-37 Dated	21-9-1870	N

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 236. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th August 1870, No. 5,290:—

Read the following letter from the Commissioner of the Neilgherries, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Ootacamund, 20th July 1870, No. 99.

IN Government Order, dated 15th June 1870, No. 876, the Government say that it should be explained what A-52 and similar letters and figures used in describing boundaries in the plans are intended to denote.

I have the honour to forward a letter from the Assistant Superintendent, Revenue Survey, on the subject.

2. On re-consideration, I trust the Government will allow the Survey Department to continue the use of the letters and figures which as boundary marks are permanent and convenient.

3. I have in my possession Ordnance Survey Maps of the County of Westmorland on the 25 inch to the mile scale, and each enclosure therein has a specific reference number and nothing else.

On turning up the number in the "Register" the area and description are found.

This is the plan Captain Sargeant is adopting here.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From the Assistant Superintendent of Revenue Survey, in charge of Neilgherry Survey, to the Commissioner of the Neilgherries, dated Kotergherry, 16th July 1870, No. 388.

I AM in receipt of the Order of Government, dated 15th June 1870, No. 876, regarding the classification of the boundaries of waste land applications.

2. I beg to submit the following remarks for your consideration.

3. I consider the substitution of proprietors' names for the registered number of the holding objectionable.

4. It is much easier to stamp or print a number than a long name, a saving of time and therefore expense is consequently effected by using a number.

5. Land is constantly changing hands; whenever such change takes place, if the Government Order above quoted is carried out, the map attached to the title-deeds will require to have the change of name entered. If the Register numbers are used as heretofore, no change is necessary. The numbers are permanent.

6. Should you approve of the above reasons for adhering to the original classification, I shall feel obliged if you will address Government on the subject. The Ordnance Survey of the United Kingdom have always used numbers as being the readiest method of classification. I think we cannot improve on their example. The name of the proprietor can always be found by turning to the Register.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government, with reference to their Proceedings of 15th June 1870, No. 876.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
21st September 1870.*

No. 237.

ORDER THEREON, 21st September 1870, No. 1,482.

Land Revenue.

It was never intended by the G. O. of 15th June 1870, No. 876, quoted in the foregoing paper, to interfere with the practice of the Survey Department in the description of boundaries. It was pointed out in that Order that it should have been noted in the Schedules of Title-deeds and in the plans attached to those documents what the letters and numbers there used in describing boundaries were intended to denote, and the Commissioner was requested to supply the omission. This requisition would have been met by simply having the word *Estate* or *Block* prefixed to the letter and No.—*e.g.*, Estate A-52 or Block A-52. The Commissioner will see that this is done in future in the Schedules of the deeds as well as in the plans. The name of the proprietor of the land need not be mentioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,

Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ld. F. R. Bannerman.

Memo for Orders
on N^o 2523.-

G. O. 15th June 1870
N^o 896 ordered two Title
Deeds for lands on the
Neilyhorries to be sealed; and
it was remarked, in re-
ference to one of these
Deeds, that it should
have been noted in the
Schedule and Plans
as $\frac{1}{32}$ and similar
letters and figures used
in describing boundaries
and intended to denote

This Order seems
to have been misunderstood
as requiring the substitution
of proprietors' names for
the N^o; for the explanation
given

* Captain Langkham's letter
16th July/70, No 388, p 3.

65001. of 1870: 211000.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated September, 21st.

Recd.

Ans.

G. O. 21st September 1870, No 1,422

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. 236-237.

*Title Deeds for lands on Neilyhorries—
Passing Orders with reference to the use of letters
and numbers in describing boundaries.*

given in the current paper (N^o 2523) turns upon that point. It is urged that the Survey Department find it more convenient to use a No. than a long name, and further that the former is permanent while the latter will have to be altered whenever land changes hands; and the request is that the Survey Department should be allowed to continue the use of letters and figures.

It was never contemplated by the Order in question to interfere with the practice of the Survey Department in the description of boundaries. What was intended by that Order was simply that in addition to the Letter

Commissioner's letter
20th July 190 N^o 99 para 2.

Letter and No. of the holding, the name of its then Proprietor should be specified in the Title Deeds, and in the Plans attached thereto; the latter information when not given in the Survey Department Plan being entered therein by the party preparing the Deed, and a corresponding entry made in the Schedule. This to the best of my recollection, is done in the Deeds for lands on the Shernaroy Hills.

Memo:

About the system
of preparing title-deeds
for lands on the hill
-gherries.

252¹³

2nd Arch

Reference
under memorandum
draft 40. 11/11

Revenue Department

No 1482

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 21st Sept 1870

Read the following
Pro: of the Board of Revenue

Submitting letter from Com-
-missioner of Neilgherries, forward-
-ing copy of one from Asst-Supt
of Revenue Survey, recommending
continuance of present system of
using letters and figures in descri-
-bing boundaries of Waste lands
in plans attached to Title-deeds for
them. -

(F. O. 15th Aug^t/70, No 5290)

J. D. L.

It was never intend-
-ed by the G. O. of 15th June 1870,
No 876, as quoted in the fore-
-going paper, to interfere
with the practice of the
Survey Department in the
description of boundaries.
It was pointed out in that
Order that it should have
been noted in the Schedule
of Title Deeds and in the
Plans attached to those

documents



About preparation
tion of Little fields
for lands on the
Melphianis -

Mr. Turner

Dr. J. W. Turner