

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>1448</i>	Press Mis.
<i>168-69</i> Dated	<i>13-9-1870</i>	<i>12</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th September 1870.*

No. 168. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th August 1870.

Read again Board's letter dated 7th June 1870, No. 3,937.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to Government Order, 5th August 1870, No. 1,167.

No. 169. ORDER THEREON, 13th September 1870, No. 1,448.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

MEMORANDUM.

Mr. Peter's report on Madura Settlement for Estates 1220 and 1222; Enclosure F to former and G to latter.

Extract Minutes of Consultation, 9th May 1856, No. 475.

Board's letter to Government, 3rd August 1815, paragraph 73. Mr. Rous Peter's letter to Board, 22nd March 1816.

Board's Proceedings, 11th April 1816, paragraphs 11 to 13.

MARUNGAPOORY is one of a group of polliems generally referred to in old correspondence as "the six polliems of Manapura." It was attached to the district of Madura till it was transferred to Trichinopoly in 1856.

2. These polliems were never permanently settled.

3. This is certain both from the fact that no record of the issue of a sunnud for any of them exists, and from the fact that in many reports on the Madura estates they are divided into settled and unsettled and "the six polliems of Manapura" are enumerated amongst the latter.

4. The reason why no sunnud was given to the proprietors is noticed in Board's Proceedings, 3rd August 1815, No. 1,157, where they say that it was fully intended to extend the permanent settlement to them, but that the intention was not carried into effect either because the Collector neglected to submit the necessary report or because of the great discussion as to the expediency of the system of permanent settlement.

5. In these same Proceedings, the Board recommended that the polliems should be permanently settled, and a long correspondence between the Court of Directors, Government, and the Board ensued.


6. At last the Board recorded their Proceedings of the 14th January 1863, No. 210, in which the history of the unsettled polliems is fully discussed. A letter from the Collector of Madura, dated the 30th June 1862, is recorded in these Proceedings, in which he gives a list of the unsettled polliems in his district, but the name of Marungapoory does not occur in it, because it had been transferred to Trichinopoly six years before. It is mentioned, however, in a marginal note to paragraph 11 of the Board's Proceedings.

7. The Board recommended that permanent sunnuds should be issued, and Government consented in Government Order, 10th November 1865, No. 2,730.

8. The Board thereupon submitted a report enumerating all the unsettled polliems in the Presidency. Marungapoory is mentioned in paragraph 13.

Board's Proceedings, 14th January 1863, No. 210.

Government Order, 10th November 1865, No. 2,730.



Board's Proceedings, 7th March 1870, No. 1,596.

9. The permanent sunnuds have been issued, because their form is in discussion. The last paper record on the subject was Board's Proceedings to 7th March 1870, No. 1,596.

10. Having shown how the sunnuds are mixed up with the general history of the polliems, it remains to show how they have gathered from the records of the history.

11. It came into the possession of the English about 1801.

12. Its pesheush was fixed in 1803.

13. It fell into arrears and about 1816.

14. After remaining under the management for 30 years, it was restored to the proprietor under orders from the Collector in 1846.

15. In 1851 the proprietor divided the estate, but Government did not allow any sub-division, on the ground that it was an unsettled estate. Government Order, 10th February 1851, No. 135.

16. The proprietor died. The Collector asked Government to allow Tirumala Poochia Naikur as his successor, stating that it was an unsettled polliem. Government Order, 10th February 1851, No. 135.

17. Tirumala Poochia Naikur and the Collector and the Board of Revenue asked Government to recognize the proprietor who was a minor. The Board of Revenue there was no permanent sunnuds assented in Government Order, 1864, No. 1,710.

18. By some mistake, the Collector of Trichinopoly, although reported that Marungapoor in 1861 said there were no unsettled polliems in his district, in a letter to the Board of Revenue, 9th January 1866, No. 9, but he corrected the mistake and mentioned it as an unsettled polliem in his letter of 13th September 1866, No. 194.

Document not yet found.

Order of attachment cannot be found, but see from Collector of Madras to Board, 18th September 1820.

From Secretary to Government, 14th November 1845.
Board's Proceedings to Collector, 8th January 1846.

Government Order, 10th February 1851, No. 135.

Note.—It is unlikely that the same proprietor continued in possession from 1801 to 1854, but I can find no record of successions to the estate during that time. If the annals gave the dates of any such successions, the records may be searched with better effect.

Government Order, 10th July 1854, No. 2,452.

Proceedings of Court of Wards, dated 6th September 1864, No. 5,900.

From Collector, of Trichinopoly to Board 25th May 1861
No. 52, 24th January 1866, No. 9, 17th September 1866, No. 194.

Ed. G. A. Turtton.

Pro & Pano 1700 45-46
5 Aug 65

Reported to Govt
12/30/1872 Mrs

14 Letter to Govt of Pano & Pano 1700

Rev 1870 Depart
#2600
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Date 25th August 1870.
Dep 25
Red 30 in
Govt

Unsettled Polliems,
Copy of Board's letter to
Government's Reader giving
Cover to a Memoir con-
taining a brief history of
generally, and of Marungapoor
-poor, in particular, sub-
mitted to Government.
No. 17448

13-10-1870

19 Sept 70