

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

14/18

Press
Mis.104-05
Dated

9-9-1870

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th September 1870.*

No. 104. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th June 1870, No. 4,436:—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 13th June 1870, No. 127.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings, under date the 4th April last, (received on the 25th May only,) and beg herewith to submit the explanation required by the Right Honourable the Secretary of State in his despatch of the 31st January last.

2. Having been absent from Tanjore for five years I had of necessity to refer to the records of that Collectorate, and I now find that there are no documents or papers to show under what circumstances T. Moodookistna Moodelly was removed by me from the Sub-Magistracy of Vallam to that of Anditoray in March 1865. There was thus nothing against him on record, but as far as my memory serves me the grounds of my removing him were generally that he had been a long time at Vallam, and also that I was not satisfied with the way in which he had conducted a magisterial inquiry. I beg, however, to observe that the transfer of T. Moodookistna Moodelly to Anditoray, and also his subsequent transfer by Mr. Morris to Arantangy, involved no degradation or loss of pay.

3. With reference to the testimonial which I am stated to have given, I beg respectfully to observe that the same is simply an extract from the Public Service Register kept in the Collector's Office, and that it has reference to the services of T. Moodookistna Moodelly as a Revenue-officer only. I had *not* a high opinion of his character as a Magistrate, and purposely, therefore, said nothing more; and the Board will observe that the delinquencies of which T. Moodookistna Moodelly was subsequently found guilty did not take place till March 1868, or three years after I had left the district.

4. I trust therefore this explanation will be deemed satisfactory.

SUBMITTED to Government, with reference to paragraph 2 of their Order, dated 18th March 1870, No. 368, Revenue Department.

No. 105. ORDER THEREON, 9th September 1870, No. 1,418.

Miscellaneous.

RESOLVED that Mr. Cadell's explanation be submitted to the Secretary of State, with reference to paragraph 4 of his despatch, dated 31st January 1870, No. 3.

2. The Government consider it necessary to inform Mr. Cadell that he erred in not also stating in the testimonial alluded to his opinion of Moodookistna Moodelly in his judicial capacity, but as he confined himself to the merits of the

521

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th September 1870.*

individual as a Revenue-officer, and appears to have conveyed to Mr. Morris a poor impression of Moodookistna Moodelly as a Sub-Magistrate, the case calls for no further notice.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

Recd Sept 1870

3

11418

Proceedings of the Madras Court
under date the 9th Sept 70

Read the following Pro: of the Bd of Revenue.

Submitting letter
from Mr. W. M. Cadell, Ag-
t of Trichinopoly, for-
warding explanation
with reference to the tes-
timonial given by him
to S. Moodookistna Moo-
deeli who was dismiss-
ed from office of Dyah-
sildar & Sub Magt of
Arantangy in Tanjore St
for misconduct.

(H. E. 27th June 1870,
No. 4436)

Resolved
that Mr. Cadell's expla-
-nation he submitted
to the Secy of State with
reference to para 4 of
his despatch dated
31st January 1870, No. 3.
The Court con-
-siders it necessary to
inform Mr. Cadell that
he

Reported to England

124th Sept 1870 No. 28 of 1870

29.5.29 Cr

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

September, 9th.

Encl.

G. O., 9th September 1870, No. 1,418.

SEPTEMBER.
Nos. 104-105.

Testimonial given to J. Moodookistna,
late Deputy-Jahildar in Trichinopoly Dis-
trict.—Explanation of Mr. Cadell in connection
with it, ordered to be submitted to the Secretary of
State.

he erred in not also
stating in the testimo-
-nial alluded to his
opinion of Moodookis-
-tna Moodelly in his
judicial capacity, but
as he confined him-
-self to the merits of the
individual as a Blue-
-nue officer & appears
to have conveyed to Mr.
Morris a poor impression
of Moodookis &na Moo-
-delly as a sub magt.
the case calls for no
further notice -

14 Bd of Civil Dept 14

179/17

Ed