

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1412	Press Mis.
94-95.		
Dated	8. 9. 1870	19

Not to be folded or roughly handled

19/9/20 Rev

No. 94. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th July 1870, No. 4,869 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 1st June 1870, No. 229.

In returning the petition noted in the margin from Hanoomaji Row of Omayapuram in the Arcot Talook, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. The village in question was formerly attached to South Arcot, and was transferred to this district, with several others, in Fusly 1217 or A.D. 1807-8. It appears to have been surveyed in Fusly 1212, but the survey accounts are not forthcoming on the records of this Office. There is an account, however, extant, prepared in Fusly 1215, while the village was attached to South Arcot. This account shows the extent of wet, dry, and porumboke lands of the village, and particularizes the several descriptions of Inams appertaining to it. It does not appear from this account that there was any maniem assigned to the office of Monigar of the village, neither does it appear from the Jummahbundy Settlement account of the village for Fuslies 1217 and 1218 that there was any such maniem.

3. From the accounts prepared during the decennial lease, which extended from Fusly 1221 to Fusly 1230, it would appear that the Monigar of the village was paid a ready-money allowance of Pagodas 4-16-17, or Rupees 15-4-2, for each of the Fuslies (1218, 1219, and 1220,) and of Pagodas 4-42-60, or Rupees 17-4-11, for each of the Fuslies (1221 to 1230).

4. The Jummahbundy Settlement account of Fuslies 1231 and 1232 contain no entry of any maniem or ready-money allowance as attached to the office of Monigar of the village.

5. The four descriptions of maniems noted in the margin were resumed in this district in Fusly 1217, a ready-money allowance being granted to the office-holders in lieu. This system of remunerating the village-officers continued till Fusly 1233, when it was inverted, the ready-money allowances being discontinued and the maniems restored.

6. An account prepared for Fusly 1233 shows the extent and assessment of the four descriptions of maniems above referred to, and the value of the mea emoluments appertaining to them. In this account is a major heading "Maniem resumed when ready-money allowances were substituted," and two minor headings "Cultivated in Fusly 1233" and "Left waste in Fusly 1233." Cawnies 6-1-0, with an assessment of Pagodas 25 $\frac{1}{4}$, or Rupees 87-12-4, are found entered in the last-mentioned column opposite to the village of Omayapuram. From this entry, then, it must be inferred that cawnies 6-1-0 of land was assigned as maniem for the office in question, and were resumed when a ready-money allowance was substituted.

7. The Jummahbundy account of Fusly 1233, on the other hand, contains no entry whatever of any Monigar's maniem in this village.

8. In the Jummahbundy account of Fusly 1234 a sum of Pagodas 9 $\frac{1}{2}$, or Rupees 33-0-6, is deducted on account of the Monigar's maniem from the assessment

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th September 1870.*

payable to Government by Kristna Row, a ryot of the village. Similarly, in the Jummahbundy account of Fusly 1235 a deduction of Pagodas $12\frac{21}{16}$, or Rupees 42-7-10, is made on account of Monigar's maniem from the assessment payable by the same ryot.

9. In Fusly 1236 the southern talooks of the district were surveyed by the then Collector Mr. Nisbett. The Paimash Ooloogoo Chitta account then prepared contains two columns headed "Mamool as per Curnum's Account" and "As per survey of Fusly 1236." In the former column cawnies 6-1-0 are entered, and in the latter cawnies 5-14-12, as Monigar's maniem.

10. In Fusly 1244 (A.D. 1834) petitioner's father appears to have purchased $7\frac{1}{2}$ cawnies of land from the three Monigars of the village. On the 22nd August 1865 the Sub-Collector, in consequence of a claim preferred before him, decided that so much of the land assigned to the office of Monigar as was not in possession of the office-holder should be made over to him. As cawnies 2-6-4 of land belonging to the Monigar's maniem was in petitioner's possession, that extent of land was ordered to be made over to the Monigar. It now appears that the cawnies 2-6-4 of land is included in the $7\frac{1}{2}$ of land purchased by petitioner's father.

11. The correspondence noted in the margin has passed between the Board and this Office on the subject of petitioner's claim.
Board's Procs., dated 18th Sept. 1867, No. 5,979.
" " 28th Oct. 1868, " 7,711.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to their endorsement of the 13th March 1869, No. 566.

2. From this report it is clear that the Proceedings of the Board marginally noted were passed under a misapprehension of the facts, the land then reported to constitute the emoluments of the office having been purchased by petitioner's father so long ago as 1834, when it happened to belong to the Monigars, and having been actually in the uninterrupted possession of his father and himself up to the date when it was summarily transferred to the office-holder by the Sub-Collector in 1865 under the provisions of Act VI. of 1831. It seems clear now, from the silence of the old accounts, and from the fact that the Monigar was paid at one time by an assignment of revenue due from a ryot named Kristna Row, that there was no land held as Inam in the village; and therefore as observed before, the Board are of opinion that an erroneous decision was given in 1868 in consequence of the facts not having been fully reported.

3. They cannot but remark the excessive delay there has been in submitting this report, and resolve to issue fresh and more stringent instructions to all Collectors as to the speedier disposal of petitions referred to them either by the Board or Government.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th September 1870.*

No. 95. ORDER THEREON, 8th September 1870, No. 1,412.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government approve the view now taken by the Board as to the justice of petitioner's claim to the occupancy right to the land in question. The Board will therefore instruct the Collector to restore to petitioner the land of which he was deprived in 1865, and to regard its assessment only as Monigar's maniem in future.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 1412

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 8th Sept. 1870

Read the following. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

M.L. 14th July 1870. No. 489

Subj: folbr's report on Gov. Veto
No. 56 of 1869, appealing against
transfer of certain land in Arcot
Taluk, N. Arcot D., held by Pet.
to Morigar of the village.

The Govt approve the view
now taken by the Board as to
the justice of petitioner's claim.
to the occupancy right of the land in
The Board will therefore instruct
the Collector to restore to petitioner
the land of which he was
deprived in 1865.

OK.

111

S. N. S.

N. A.

La. 2nd ed.

and to report
its assessment
as being in
harmony, in place

N.

1. Folio 18 of Rev. m. to an. Quele Despt. 1870

Govt. of 1870: 31st July.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated September, 8th.

Recd.

And

G. O., S/N September 1870, No. 1,412.

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. 94-95.

Land belonging to Hanomaji Row trans-
ferred to a Morigar in North Arcot.—Board
of Revenue to instruct the Collector to restore it
to the owner.