

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1345	Press Mis.
428-30 Dated	29-8-19	18.70

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th August 1870.*

Read the following:—

No. 428. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th June 1870, No. 4,082.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Malabar, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 24th May 1870, No. 183.

IN February and March last Mr. Richardson had occasion to travel from and to Madras, and two bills of travelling allowance, amounting respectively to Rupees 79-7-0 and 34-8-0, were paid to him in March. The Accountant-General has rejected the items for want of Government Order sanctioning the charges. I therefore request that Government sanction may be accorded to the payment of these bills, as well as to two since presented for the sums noted in the margin.

2. I have further the honour to request that necessary orders may be issued to pass any similar charges incurred on account of the Nellambur Suit.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Bills.

SUBMITTED to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Accountant General may be authorized to pass the travelling allowance and the charges incurred* by Mr. Richardson while on special duty in connection with the

Nellambur Suit. The charge is debitable to "Law and Justice."

No. 429. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd August 1870, No. 5,159.

Read the following letter from the Deputy-Collector on special duty, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 15th July 1870.

WITH reference to the official memorandum of the Acting Under-Secretary to Government, dated 23th June 1870, No. 124, calling for an explanation of the circumstances which rendered it necessary for me to travel three times to and from Madras within the short period of four months, and your official memorandum, dated 1st July 1870, I have the honour to state that I proceeded to Calicut in the first instance in January last, in conformity with the Government Order, published in the *Fort Saint George Gazette*, dated 21st December 1869, page 834.

2. With reference to my proceeding to Madras and back in March last, I beg to state that at the first hearing of the Suit, the Acting Collector pleaded non-jurisdiction of the Calicut Civil Court, but as some doubts existed as to whether this plea could be sustained at the next hearing, which was fixed for the

14th idem, I was directed* by the Acting Collector to proceed to Wynaad and report exactly on the subject; I did so,

* Vide Acting Collector's letter to the Board, dated 5th March 1870.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th August 1870.*

and on my return here on the morning of the 7th idem, the Acting Collector found that some errors existed in the maps as to the boundaries of the lands in dispute, and consequently, the Board's orders were required prior to the next hearing, and as certain explanations were required to be given to the Board, which could best be done personally, it was considered advisable for me to proceed to Madras at once. I therefore left Calicut an hour after my return from Wynaad. That the Board considered the point at issue of importance will be seen from their having had a conference on the subject with the Advocate-General and Government Solicitor, and passed the orders* with which I returned to Calicut in time for the hearing—"that the defence of the

* *Vide* Board's Proceedings, dated 11th March 1870, No. 1,682.

Suit should be conducted on grounds other than that of the Court's non-jurisdiction."

3. And again on the settlement of the issues in the Suit, I was ordered in Government Order, dated 12th April 1870, No. 517, to proceed to Vizagapatam at once, and therefore went to Madras to embark for that port, when I was again ordered back to Calicut by Government Order, dated 5th May 1870, No. 644.

4. I trust this explanation will satisfy Government that my travelling to and from Madras so frequently was from sheer necessity and not choice, and that I spared myself no pains or trouble in the performance of my duty.

SUBMITTED to Government, with reference to their official memorandum, dated the 28th June 1870, No. 124.

2. The Board consider that the Deputy-Collector's visits to Madras were necessary.

No. 430.

ORDER THEREON, 29th August 1870, No. 1,345.

Miscellaneous.

RS.	A.	P.
79	7	0
34	8	0
76	10	0
39	11	6

THE Right Honourable the Governor in Council sanctions the charges noted in the margin which were incurred by Messrs. Richardson and McNair in connection with the Nellambur Suit.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,

Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
.. the Accountant-General.

Exd. P. R. Bantleman.

P.B.

No 1315

No 2436 V 1835

The St Hamb^l the for
 a Council sanction
 the charges noted in
 the margin which were
 incurred by Mr Richardson
 & Mc'hain
 in connection with the
 hellamby sail

£. 79. 7. 0
 R. 34. 8. 0
 R. 75. 10. 0
 R. 39. 11. 6

P.B.

29 Aug/70

11. Followed up by Mr. ...
 6. ...

to

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Secy. Secy. Secy.

Dated August, 20th.

Recd. ...

G. O. No. 100 of 1870, No. 1315.

AUGUST.

nos. 423-430.

Mr. Nicholson, Deputy-Collector,
 charges incurred by him while on special duty in
 Malabar District in connection with the Nilas
 as sanctioned.