

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1808

Press
~~Mis.~~

349-350.

Dated 23.8.1870

Not to be folded or roughly handled

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. *1808*

Press
~~Mis.~~

349-380.

Dated *23. 8. 1870* ~~19~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1808

Press
Mis.

349-380.

Dated 23. 8. 1870

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd August 1870.*

No. 349. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th July 1870, No. 5,085:—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 23rd June 1870, No. 237.

In reply to Board's Proceedings dated 9th ultimo, No. 3,097, I have the honour to inform the Board that the Sub-Collector reports that the parties concerned decline to consent to the sub-division of the land referred to in Petition No. 2,602 of 1869, and the grant of separate puttahs to petitioners.

2. He also states that the petitioners filed a Suit in the Moonsiff's Court at Chingleput against the Payakari in the month of January last in respect of the transaction in question.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

SUBMITTED to Government, (together with a copy of the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,097, of the 9th of May last,) with reference to their Order of the 16th February 1870, (Petition Department).

2. The parties concerned do not consent to the proposed sub-division of the land, and petitioners have filed a Suit apparently to establish their claim to the whole of it.

No. 350. ORDER THEREON, 23rd August 1870, No. 1,308.

Miscellaneous.

THE following endorsement will be passed on petition No. 2,602 of 1869:—

"The Government learn that petitioners have sought redress in a Civil Court."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Petition Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd August 1870.*

No. 349. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th July 1870, No. 5,085:—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 23rd June 1870, No. 237.

In reply to Board's Proceedings dated 9th ultimo, No. 3,097, I have the honour to inform the Board that the Sub-Collector reports that the parties concerned decline to consent to the sub-division of the land referred to in Petition No. 2,602 of 1869, and the grant of separate puttahs to petitioners.

2. He also states that the petitioners filed a Suit in the Moonsiff's Court at Chingleput against the Payakari in the month of January last in respect of the transaction in question.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

SUBMITTED to Government, (together with a copy of the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,097, of the 9th of May last,) with reference to their Order of the 16th February 1870, (Petition Department).

2. The parties concerned do not consent to the proposed sub-division of the land, and petitioners have filed a Suit apparently to establish their claim to the whole of it.

No. 350. ORDER THEREON, 23rd August 1870, No. 1,308.

Miscellaneous.

THE following endorsement will be passed on petition No. 2,602 of 1869:—

"The Government learn that petitioners have sought redress in a Civil Court."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,

Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Petition Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

RECEIVED
 THE BOARD OF REVENUE
 MADRAS
 10th May 1870

No. 3,097.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th May 1870.

following letter from W. T. BLAIR, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Saidapet, 8th April 1870, No. 112:—
 copy to Board's Proceedings of the 28th February last, No. 1,422, I have the honour to copy of a report received from the Sub-Collector, and to state that I concur with him in an expressed opinion on the suggestion of Government regarding the sub-division of land held in joint puttah and the grant of separate puttahs to the parties concerned in accordance with their respective shares.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

S. GROSE, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to the Acting Collector of the Madras District, dated Chingleput, 17th March 1870, No. 106.

have the honour to acknowledge your docket requesting a report on Proceedings of Government Department, 16th February 1870, in the Nandimantangul Durkhast Case. As the records of the case are not in this office, I take the facts as stated in Board's Proceedings of 2nd April 1869, No. 2,176.

The feasibility of the arrangement suggested in the last paragraph of the Government question appears to be the sole point for report. With the view of alleviating the annoyances of a partnership with certain Payikaris, which is considered to be the result of parties appearing in one and the same puttah, it is proposed to sub-divide the land and grant puttahs to the petitioners and their opponents in accordance with their respective shares. I have the honour to state that there can be no possible objection to the course proposed, if of the parties being all that is required. Without mutual relinquishments, however, it is not possible to make the change proposed. The parties who are jointly and severally responsible for the whole amount due on the puttah, and must be considered as having been in possession of the whole of the land conjointly with the two petitioners. Whatever rights, then, these Razinamadars possessed in the puttah and the lands entered into, are absolutely necessary. The consent of the latter to any change is, absolutely necessary. Both parties must, in point of fact, first declare the extent of their interest in the puttah, and request that separate puttahs be issued accordingly. I am unable to suggest any other plan.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. T. BLAIR,
 Acting Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Petition.

Collector should ascertain from the Puttahdars whether they will consent to the sub-division of the land by Government.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
 Acting Secretary.

Acting Collector of the Madras District, with petition.

Rodgers.

Revenue Department
No. 1308

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 23 Aug. 1870.

Read the following. Proceedings of
the Board of Revenue

Submitting letter from Collector of Pudukottai stating that certain *Quintaries* and *Pekis* (Here enter 28 July 1870 No. 5085) have not consent to partition sub-division of land held under a joint *puttali* and referred to in Petition No. 2002 of 1869.

The following endorsement will be placed on Petition No. 2002 of 1869 -

"The Government learn that Petitioners have sought redress in a civil court."

At. S.

M. S. B. Rev. Dept. 26
1 - Petition No. 2002 with one Petitioner ✓

One in 25 Nov 1870
208 July 70 No. 5085
1870.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 8th MAY 1870, No. 3097.

Received

Encl.

1 Dec
234 of 70
No. 3097 of 70 & 1870
MAY.
No. 3097.

Land in Nandamangal village in Chingleput Taluk, Madras District held under a joint *puttali* by Petitioners in Government No. 2002 of 1869 and certain *Peikars*—Collector requested to ascertain from the individuals whether they will consent to sub-division of.