

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1168	Press ~~Mis.~~
⁶¹⁻⁶² Dated	5-8-1820	19

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th August 1870.*

No. 61. Read the following letter from the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 6th July 1870, No. 23 :—

AMONGST the Carnatic property, of which I was appointed Receiver in 1867, there was a house situated at SELLAHOO Moolk Delair Jung Street in the village of St. Thomé. On the 4th November 1869 I sold it to Hyder Jung Bahadoor for Rupees 700. It then came to my notice, for the first time, that the Collector of Madras was charging quit-rent on the site, and that the charge had been regularly paid by unauthorized occupants of the house.

2. I applied at once to the Collector for information as to why quit-rent was levied on this site, in spite of the general exemption accorded to gardens and houses belonging to the estate of His Highness the late Nawab of the Carnatic. In a letter, dated the 30th April 1870, No. 141, he says that it was levied on the completion of the Survey in 1862, because "His Highness' Agent had not come forward in accordance with a Notice then issued to establish before the Collector His Highness' claim for exemption of Government rent."

3. I understand that my predecessor, Mr. Dale, who was then in charge of the estate, knew nothing about the Notification, and took no action with regard to it.

4. I have the honour, therefore, to request that a Title-deed in the Form D., annexed to G. O., 5th August 1859, No. 1,053, may be issued for the land in my name. A specification of situation, boundaries, etc., is enclosed. A similar certificate was issued by G. O., 13th August 1866, No. 2,062, on the Receiver's showing evidence of his title to a certain plot of land. In this case there is no doubt about my title, and it is unnecessary to enumerate the documents on which it rests.

5. The amount of the quit-rent is only Rupees 2-4-0 per annum, but great importance is attached to the possession of a Freehold Certificate under the Seal of Government, and it was only on my engaging to endeavour to obtain such a certificate that I was able to sell the house.

No. 62. ORDER THEREON, 5th August 1870, No. 1,165.

Land Revenue.

ORDERED accordingly that a Freehold Title-deed be issued for the property in question, the boundaries being entered as described in the enclosure to the above letter.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, with Deed.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th August 1870.*

No. 61. Read the following letter from the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 6th July 1870, No. 23 :—

AMONGST the Carnatic property, of which I was appointed Receiver in 1867, there was a house situated at SELLAHOO Moolk Delair Jung Street in the village of St. Thomé. On the 4th November 1869 I sold it to Hyder Jung Bahadoor for Rupees 700. It then came to my notice, for the first time, that the Collector of Madras was charging quit-rent on the site, and that the charge had been regularly paid by unauthorized occupants of the house.

2. I applied at once to the Collector for information as to why quit-rent was levied on this site, in spite of the general exemption accorded to gardens and houses belonging to the estate of His Highness the late Nawab of the Carnatic. In a letter, dated the 30th April 1870, No. 141, he says that it was levied on the completion of the Survey in 1862, because "His Highness' Agent had not come forward in accordance with a Notice then issued to establish before the Collector His Highness' claim for exemption of Government rent."

3. I understand that my predecessor, Mr. Dale, who was then in charge of the estate, knew nothing about the Notification, and took no action with regard to it.

4. I have the honour, therefore, to request that a Title-deed in the Form D., annexed to G. O., 5th August 1859, No. 1,053, may be issued for the land in my name. A specification of situation, boundaries, etc., is enclosed. A similar certificate was issued by G. O., 13th August 1866, No. 2,062, on the Receiver's showing evidence of his title to a certain plot of land. In this case there is no doubt about my title, and it is unnecessary to enumerate the documents on which it rests.

5. The amount of the quit-rent is only Rupees 2-4-0 per annum, but great importance is attached to the possession of a Freehold Certificate under the Seal of Government, and it was only on my engaging to endeavour to obtain such a certificate that I was able to sell the house.

No. 62. ORDER THEREON, 5th August 1870, No. 1,165.

Land Revenue.

ORDERED accordingly that a Freehold Title-deed be issued for the property in question, the boundaries being entered as described in the enclosure to the above letter.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, with Deed.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

5.
Specification of Situation, boundaries &c. of a House and Ground at Saint Thome.

District	Talug	Village	Name if any	Boundaries	Extent
Madras	Madras	Saint Thome	A House and Ground in Shikool Moolks Delain Jung Street No. 12.	East by Shikool Moolks Delain Jung Street. South by premises belonging to the Estate of the late Hamed on Nissa Begum and now in the occupation of Hyder Jung Bahadur. West by a garden belonging to Kapaleswaran Swamy Bagoda. North by premises belonging to Shyprodeen Hoofra Khan	Three Grounds and One thousand two hundred and Eighty Nine Square feet or thereabouts

4-5-18

Sold. at 18701 3/4

REVENUE.

THE RECEIVER OF THE CARNATIC
PROPERTY.

Dated August, 5th.

G. O. 566, August 1870, No. 1, 165.

AUGUST.

Nos. 61-62.

Freehold Title-deed for certain Carnatic property at St. Thome, ordered to be issued in the name of the Receiver of the Carnatic Property, the boundaries being enclosed as described in the enclosure to this order.

Enclosure in a letter
from the Recd of the
Lamarite Property to
Chief Secretary to Gov
6th July 1870 No. 23

Rev 2039. 6/7

Rev. 5. Aug 6/70 L. L. L.

Rev. 2039. 6/7

FOR ORDERS

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 2039

From *The Receiver of the Land Revenue No. 23*
Dated *6 July* 1870.

FOR ORDERS.

*I would give a check, as
requested.*

L. S. P.

1859
 1860
 1861
 1862
 1863
 1864
 1865
 1866
 1867
 1868
 1869
 1870
 1871
 1872
 1873
 1874
 1875
 1876
 1877
 1878
 1879
 1880
 1881
 1882
 1883
 1884
 1885
 1886
 1887
 1888
 1889
 1890
 1891
 1892
 1893
 1894
 1895
 1896
 1897
 1898
 1899
 1900

For 1/2 year interest

Order
 1861

C. 27 July 170 R. 3rd Aug

Blue Sept

16-10-29
 E.

Memo:
 on Rs 2039

order dated 21st Augt
 1869, No 1053 -
 do do 21st Sept 1869 No
 1271 -

Yes. But he may
 give a deed in
 any form in for
 to the land. Just
 as he may conclude
 an agreement in any
 form
 L. B. S.

The Receiver
 of the Carnatic Property
 applies for the title
 deed under the rules of
 1859, but these rules have
 been cancelled in 1863.
 Vide clause XVII of the
 revised rules approved
 in the order of Govt dated
 27th August 1863 No 1575.
 These latter rules provide
 only for the redemption
 of the land revenue on
 lands "subject to cesses
 -ment, quit-rent or other
 public land tax" & not
 to cases in which lands
 in towns are actually
 occupied & not liable to
 quit-rent.
 But

E.

Handwritten notes at the top of the page, possibly a title or header.

2030

Handwritten notes in the middle section, including the phrase "with value" and "the" followed by a crossed-out word.

Handwritten notes at the bottom of the page, including the word "Bull" and "with".

g

L