

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1130	Press Mis. —
247-68 Dated	29-7-	12 6820

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th July 1870.*

No. 247. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th July 1870 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd July 1870, No. 296.

With reference to Board's Standing Order No. 151, (Dalyell's Edition,) I have the honour to forward herewith, for the Seal of Government, a Title-deed, in duplicate, (with the necessary plan drawn on the deed itself) for a piece of unassessed waste land at Tuticorin, put up to auction at an upset price of twenty-five times the assessment of similar punjah lands in the village, as directed in Board's Proceedings, No. 4,316, dated 16th June 1869, and purchased by one A. Manuel.

ORDERED that the Title-deed and Plan, in duplicate, received with the above letter, be submitted for the Seal of Government, in accordance with Rule VII. of the "subsidiary instructions relating to the sale of unassessed waste lands in the Madras Presidency", annexed to the Board's Standing Circular, No. LII., dated 1st May 1863.

2. The deed having been executed in the present year, should be numbered 1 of 1870 and not of 1869. All the erasures and corrections in the deed should be initialled by the Collector, and the blank spaces should be filled up with ruled lines.

3. The calling or profession of the person who purchased the land should be given.

4. The name of the witness at the foot of the second page of the deed should have been simply written below the word "witness."

5. The word "approved" should be entered above the Collector's name on the plan, and the same boundaries should appear on the plan as are entered in the Schedule.

6. The area should be entered on the plan in letters as well as figures.

7. The deed was executed in January last, and the Collector should explain the delay which has occurred in its submission for the Seal of Government.

8. The Collector should have stated whether the price of the land (Rupees 4-6-0) includes the cost of survey and demarcation.

No. 248. ORDER THEREON, 29th July 1870, No. 1,130.

Land Revenue.

ORDERED that the deed be sealed and returned.

2. It is observed that the witness to the Collector's signature at the foot of the deed has not given his surname in full, nor are his profession and residence

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
29th July 1870.*

specified, and the blanks in the docket on the deed have not all been filled up. These omissions as well as those pointed out by the Board in the foregoing Proceedings should be supplied.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with Deed in duplicate.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Colt. of 1870: 24th.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated July, 29th.

Recd.

G. O., 29th July 1870, No. 1, 180.

JULY.

Nos. 247-248.

*Title-deeds for Mr. A. Manick's land at
Tulicora in Tinnelly.—Sealed and returned
with remarks.*

No 2129.

29th July 1900 -

1. Shows that
the Deed be sealed
and returned.

2. It is observed
that the witness to
the Collector's signa-
ture at the foot of
the Deed has not
given his surname
in full nor are his
profession and
residence specified
and

and the blanks in
the Docket on the
Deed have not all
been filled up.

These omissions, as
well as those pointed
out by the Board
in the foregoing Profs
should be supplied;

Let.

~~11~~

11. To the Prof. But with Deed in Duplicate Depts to Augt

32
3

1/2 1/2 1/2