

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1000

Press
~~Mis.~~

422-423

Dated 30.6.1970-19

Not to be folded or roughly handled

15

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th June 1870.*

No. 422. Read the following endorsement of the Accountant-General, Madras, dated Fort Saint George, 7th December 1869, No. 285:—

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st December 1869, No. 8,836.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vallam, 23rd October 1869, No. 232.

I HAVE the honour to submit herewith copy of a correspondence* which has taken place between myself and the Officiating Deputy-Accountant-General, relative to a certain retrenchment made by him on the Pay Bills of the Revenue Establishment of this district.

* To the Accountant-General, 8th October 1869, No. 933.
From the Officiating Deputy-Accountant-General, 11th idem, No. 1-4,345.

2. The Board will observe that the Officiating Deputy-Accountant-General considers that the payment of full pay to persons appointed to act for those suspended from duty requires previous sanction of Government. As I could not understand from his remarks in the Result Statement what his objections really were, I wrote to the Officiating Deputy-Accountant-General, requesting that I might

be more fully informed of them, and that officer in his answer has simply referred me to a certain paragraph† of a Circular of the Accountant-General, which, so far as I can see, has nothing to do with the question now raised.

3. The whole of the Circular quoted by the Officiating Deputy-Accountant-General refers to the Uncovenanted Service Absentee Rules, and the particular paragraph quoted, read with the Order of the Government of India therein referred to, and printed along with it, most distinctly and specially refers to the acting allowance payable "*to the substitute of an Uncovenanted absentee.*"

4. I can hardly imagine that it was intended to impose any restrictions on the disbursement of full pay in cases of vacancies in the Uncovenanted Service, caused by the suspension of permanent incumbents from duty as a punishment for misconduct, and in which, therefore, the full salary becomes available.

5. The Board will further observe that in one of the cases objected to by the Officiating Deputy-Accountant-General full pay was paid, not to an acting incumbent, but as I distinctly stated to one permanently appointed to the post of a Talook Revenue Inspector, vacated by the retirement of the former incumbent, so that the Deputy-Accountant-General seems to suppose that, under the Circular of the Accountant-General to which he has referred me, no appointment in the Uncovenanted Service, whether temporary or permanent, can be made with full pay without the previous sanction of Government.

6. I beg therefore to request that the Board will obtain the special sanction of Government in the three cases which form the subject of this reference, and also, in order to avoid the necessity for similar references in future, that they will move Government to issue an express order that the rules relating to deputation and acting allowances under the Uncovenanted Service Absentee Rules, do not apply to the appointments (temporary as well as permanent) otherwise vacated.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th June 1870.*

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From the Collector of Tanjore, to the Officiating Accountant-General of Madras, dated Combanum, 8th October 1869, No. 933.

I HAVE the honour to request that you will obligingly inform me more fully of the grounds

1. Pay of Balakrishnaiah, as. A. P. Acting 4th English Clerk, from 7th June to 31st July 1869, at Rupees 35 per month. 63 0 0;
2. Full pay of Govindaiyar, Acting 5th Goomastah, Tanjore Talook, from 10th June to 31st July 1869, at 15 Rupees per month ... 25 8 0
3. Do. of Venkappaiyar, 3rd-class Inspector of Puttukottai Talook, from do., at Rupees 20 per month ... 34 0 0

"Rejected for want of Government order, sanctioning the full pay to these individuals."

on which you have disallowed the three items marginally noted in your Result Statement on the Pay Bills of this district for August 1869.

2. In the first case, as fully explained in my reply to your letter of the 28th July 1869, the post of 4th English Clerk, to which Balakrishnaiah was appointed, was temporarily vacant in connection with the arrangements made for filling up the Tahsilship of Mannar-

goody, which was vacated by the suspension of the late Tahsildar for six months.

3. In the second case the post of the 5th Goomastah of the Tanjore Talook was also temporarily vacant, in consequence of the suspension of the former incumbent for six months.

* 3rd-class Inspector of Puttukottai Talook.

4. The third was a case* of permanent vacancy, caused by the retirement of the former incumbent.

5. Such having been the case I am at a loss to know why the special sanction of Government is required in these cases for the payment of full salary.

6. I am not aware of any rule or order which requires that where a vacancy occurs either permanently by the death, resignation, or removal of the former incumbent, or temporarily by his suspension from duty for misconduct, the full salary of the appointment should not be given to the person who is appointed to the vacant post. I have always understood that the rule with regard to the payment of only a deputation allowance or a portion of full salary applies to those cases only in which one officer officiates for another during the latter's absence on leave.

7. I do not suppose that your objection refers to the Collector's power of appointment; for the appointments in question are those of Clerks and Talook Inspector, which the Collector is competent to fill up; neither do I fancy that it refers to Examination Rules, for it is only the payment of *full pay* that is objected to.

8. I would, however, take occasion to observe that, in the first case, the acting nominee was already a Clerk on Rupees 20 per mensem, and, as must have been necessarily shown in the Pay Bill, a passed candidate; in the second case, the pay of the vacant post was only Rupees 15; and in the third, the nominee was a person who had entered the public service previous to the 1st January 1859—a fact which, I presume, was likewise shown in the Pay Bill.

9. If the special sanction of Government is necessary I should have no difficulty in obtaining it; but in applying for such I shall, of course, have to state the reason why it is required, and as I cannot gather it from the remark in your Objection Statement, I am obliged to trouble you with this reference.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From the Officiating Deputy-Accountant-General, to the Collector of Tanjore, dated Fort Saint George, 11th October 1869, No. 1-4,345.

Vide your office letter, No. 933, dated 8th instant.

Calls attention to paragraph 7 of this Office Circular, No. 15, dated 6th September 1869.

THE Government have held that the rule regarding acting allowances to which the Collector refers applies to cases in which there is no permanent incumbent. T

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
30th June 1870.*

Board accordingly resolve to submit the first and second cases for the favourable consideration of Government, through the Accountant-General.

2. The objection of the Audit Department in the third case must be the result of some mistake, and will no doubt be removed now that attention is called to it.

THE undersigned has the honour to state that the acting incumbents referred in the 1st paragraph under the Orders of Government of India of 12th July 1869, No. 1,821, published in the *Fort Saint George Gazette*, dated 4th August 1869, page 151, may be allowed either the full pay or acting allowance in excess of the ordinary rate, viz., 20 or 50 per cent. if it be considered by Government that these rates are on public grounds insufficient.

2. The objection referred to in the 2nd paragraph has been removed, and full pay will be admitted as the vacancy appears to have been caused by the retirement of the permanent incumbent.

(Signed F. LUSHINGTON,
Accountant-General.

No. 423.

ORDER THEREON, 30th June 1870, No. 1,000.

Miscellaneous

THE Government sanction the disbursement of full salary to the two servants under reference in the foregoing Proceedings and docket.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

77-1000. Rev. Dept.
 Proceedings of the Madras Government
 Under date the 30th June 1870

Read the following indorsement
 from the Secy. Genl.

(H. E. G. Secy. No 285)

The Govt sanctions the
 disbursement of full
 salary to the two
 servants under reference
 in the foregoing Proceeds
 and docket

L. D. L.

A. A.

[Signature]

The disbursement of full salary
 to the two servants under reference
 is sanctioned. The same
 allowance for their servants, but
 upon a further communication with
 the Govt. Secy. it appears that
 no new rules are needed.

14. To the Secy. Genl. 5 July
 6- Secy. Genl.

Govt. of Madras.	
REVENUE.	
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.	
20	Ac, Ac, &c.
Noted June 30th.	Ind.
G. O. 30th June 1870, No. 1,000.	
JUNE.	
Nos. 422-423.	
Acting incumbents in the Tanjore Collector's office.—Disbursement of full salary to them, sanctioned.	

Received of the Hon. Secy of the
 Treasury the sum of \$2000
 for the purpose of the
 collection of the
 duties on the
 importation of
 the goods of the
 United States

The sum of \$2000
 being the amount of
 the duties on the
 importation of the
 goods of the United States

Full salaries to
 Acting Secy and
 Collector's Office

and

Dec
 1800

The sum of \$2000
 being the amount of
 the duties on the
 importation of the
 goods of the United States