M.R. O. 1—30,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 15-9 Press ~~Mis.~~

140-141

Dated 5 th. June 19 1869

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 140. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th April 1869, No. 2,534:—

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd May 1867, No. 3,202, 11th July 1867, No. 4,320, and 21st November 1868, No. 8,241.

Read also the following paper from the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 9th March 1869, No. 113.

Statement showing the amount of arrears due to the under-mentioned Inamdar in the District of Tinnevelly.

No. of Certificate.	Name of the Pensioner.	Amount of Pension, etc., per annum.	Date of Government authority.	Nature of the grant.	Period for which arrears are due.	Total amount of arrears.	Explanation of the cause of the suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Orders of Government.
	Namasivayam Pillay.	Rs. A. P. 104 12 1	Board's Proceedings, No. 4,320, dated 11th July 1867.	For subsistence.	From July 1845 to July 1867.	Arrears, Rupees ... 1,695 8 10 Interest, ,, ... 735 9 2 Total, ... 2,431 2 0	The accumulation of the arrears is owing to the shares enjoyed by the Inamdar's three deceased brothers having been irregularly resumed on their death in 1845, 1850, and 1862. They were restored to the present Inamdar under the Orders of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th July 1867, No. 4,320. The arrear proceeds of the Maniem, together with interest, is now recommended to be paid with reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st November 1868, No. 8,241. A memorandum showing the particulars of the arrears and interest is enclosed.		

Enclosure No. 1.

Abstract.—Memorandum showing the particulars of arrears and interest due to Inamdar Namasivayam Pillay.

The Board resolve to submit this statement for the orders of Government.

2. The Inam referred to in it comprises 13·77 acres of land, and is part of a grant made in 1780. It therefore falls under the exception in paragraph 5 of the Proceedings of Government, dated 20th June 1848, No. 653, and should have been continued without question when lapses occurred.

3. In 1842 it fell into the possession of the four sons of the previous holder. One of them died in 1845, one in 1850, and one in 1862. Although they were members of an undivided family, a fourth of the Inam was resumed on the death of each.

4. In 1866 the survivor claimed to have the resumed portions of the Inam restored, and when this claim was admitted, he applied further for the proceeds during the time they were in the possession of Government.

5. The Collector now reports that the amount due on this account is Rupees 1,695, and with interest at five per cent. Rupees 2,431-2-0. The Board recommend that this amount be paid in full.

No. 141. ORDER THEREON, 5th June 1869, No. 1,597.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government sanction the payment of the proceeds of the resumed lands, amounting to Rupees (1,695-8-10) one thousand six hundred and ninety-five Annas eight and Pies ten; but they cannot admit the claim for interest for a period in excess of twelve years, and the Board will report the amount which will be payable accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 3,202.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd May 1867.

Read the following letter from R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd May 1867, No. 230:—

1. As requested, I have the honour to report on Board Petition* No. 130 of 1866, herewith returned.

* Government No. 2,183.

2. Ten cottahs (16 acres, and 20 cents) of double-crop wet land, in the Ambasamudram taluk, were granted, in Fusly 1190, (A. D. 1780,) by the Nabob to one Nallatambia Pillay, (marked B. in the accompanying genealogical table,) as Cowle-pattam Inam under a Cowlenamah still held by the petitioner. This is supported by the entries in Mr. Lushington's Register kept in this office, as also by the tabular Statement on lapsed Inams submitted to the Board on the 21st January 1848, Item No. 17.

3. From a Takid issued to a former Tahsildar of Shermadevy, on the 23rd December 1842, by the then Sub-Collector, Mr. Whittingham, it appears that A., who filled an influential post under the Mahommedan Government contrived to obtain this grant nominally in the name of his son-in-law B., though he actually enjoyed it himself till his death.

4. This Inam was subsequently enjoyed by B. and C., the son-in-law and son of A., and after their death by their sons D. and E., who divided it in the proportion of 8½ cottahs (13 acres and 77 cents) to D., and 1½ cottahs (2 acres and 43 cents) to E. D. died in 1842, and his share was inherited by his four sons F., G., H., and I. E. also died in 1842, and his share was inherited by his widow J.

Board's Proceedings 6th January 1845, paragraph 3, and 10th April 1848, paragraph 5, and Government Order 20th June 1848, paragraph 5.

5. In June 1845 F. died, and one-fourth of the larger share, 13 acres and 77 cents, was resumed by Government, as the Inam was entered in Mr. Lushington's Register as "Chillara or Miscellaneous."

6. In 1850 I. died, and in 1862 G. died, and their shares lapsed to Government without any reference made.

Paragraph 5, Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 20th June 1848, No. 653.


7. H., the petitioner and the surviving shareholder, now lays claim to the restoration of the lapsed portions of the 13 acres and 77 cents on the score, that he is a member of an undivided family, and that he is entitled to succeed to the shares of his three deceased brothers, according to the spirit of the Government Order.

8. Petitioner is a member of an undivided family, but the shares of F. and I. lapsed on the assumption that each held a fourth share in the Inam. As these shares have long since reverted to Government, I do not think that the question need be reopened as far as they are concerned, but the lapse of G. is slightly different; it occurred in 1862 after the receipt of the Inam Commissioner's Circular, requesting that such lapses might be reported to him for disposal, but was not reported during the continuance of the Inam inquiry; in other respects the lapse of G. was precisely the same as that of F. and I.

Govt. of Madras
REVENUE.
THE BOARD OF REVENUE,
Dated June 5th, 1867.
Read
G. O. 5th June 1867, No. 1,597.
Fowle & Thew 2 Duplicates
Cy 2166
JUNE.
103. 110-111.
Pr Board of Revenue
Rc 13 Cert/67 & No. 3602
Proceedings of a reference from the Collector of Tinnevelly, dated 2nd May 1867, No. 230, forwarding Board Petition No. 130 of 1866, and requesting to be informed of the orders of the Board.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

GENEALOGICAL TABLE *referred to in the Collector's No.* 230, *dated* 2nd *May* 1867.



TINNEVELLY,
2nd May 1867.

(Signed) R. K. PUCKLE,
Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Petition.

1. The Board suppose that the 13 acres and 77 cents, referred to at paragraph 5 of the foregoing letter, were resumed under the authority of the following words in paragraph 3 of the Proceedings of the Board, dated 6th January 1845, quoted by the Collector—"As to grants not conveyed in "hereditary terms they are justly resumable at once."

2. Those words drew a distinction between Chillera Inams supposed to be hereditary, and those pretending to no such title, and implied the resumption on the death of the incumbent of the latter.

3. The Board presume that the Inam under consideration is not one of the hereditary Chillary Inams. With respect to the resumption, however, adverted to at paragraph 6 of the Collector's letter, the Board observe that in a subsequent correspondence upon the Chillera Inams in 1848, in their Proceedings of that year they stated—"Chillera Inams or Enams granted for the benefit of "private individuals.—In regard to Enams of this nature the Board were of opinion that in cases "where Sunnuds ~~was~~ *were* forthcoming conveying a hereditary right the terms of the grant should be "respected, but where Enams were not especially declared to be hereditary by the Sunnuds under "which they were held, and in all cases where lands were held simply by mamool, it was directed "that they should be resumed on the occasion of lapses." And the Government in replying to the Board's Proceedings, remarked at paragraph 5 of their Proceedings, dated 10th June 1848, particularly of the *non-hereditary* Inams: they "will be resumed or assessed at the full rates on the "demise of the present holders, except such as were enjoyed before the year 1792, the period specified "in Section 11, Regulation XXXI. of 1802, which may be continued without question with reference "to the late instructions of the Honourable Court of Directors." The Board wish to know why the portion of the Enam sequestered in 1850 did not come under this exception, as the whole Inam was originally granted in 1780.

4. They also desire to be informed why, with the Proceedings of 1848 before him, the shares of F. and I., spoken of in the last paragraph of Mr. Puckle's letter, were resumed on the death of those individuals by the Collector.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. R. COCKERELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Tinnevelly.

Exd. J. Wright.

No. 8,241.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 21st November 1868.

Read the following letter from C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 28th October 1868, No. 507:—

I HAVE the honour to report on Board's Petitions, Nos. 1,263 and 2,422 of 1868, from Namasivayam Pillai, Cowle Maniemdar in Manaparanallur, in Ambasamudram talook.

2. On the strength of the Board's Proceedings, Nos. 3,202 and 4,320, dated 23rd May and 11th July 1867, awarding the lapsed shares of petitioner's three deceased brothers, the petitioner now lays claim to the proceeds of the Maniem in question, which proceeds have accumulated from the date of the resumption of the Inam in Fusly 1255, (A. D. 1845-46,) to the date of the Board's Proceedings above quoted, amounting to Rupees 1,695-9-0.

3. Under the circumstances detailed in Mr. Puckle's letters of 2nd May and 11th June 1867, Nos. 230 and 309, should the Board see fit to order the amount in question to the petitioner, an application, in the prescribed form, will be submitted for sanction.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Petitions.

THE Board are of opinion that Namasivayam Pillai is entitled to the arrear proceeds of the Maniem, which was irregularly resumed in 1845-46, and restored to the petitioner last year, together with interest. The Collector is, therefore, requested to submit an application, in the prescribed form, for the sanction of Government.

2. The petitioner will be informed that the Collector has received instructions on the subject of his petition.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) F. BRANDT,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly.
MS. copy of endorsement to petitioner.

Exd. J. Wright.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd May 1867.

No. 3,202.

Passing orders on letter from Collector of Tinnevelly reporting on Petition No 130 of 1866 claiming certain land which has lapsed to Government.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 1263.

From Board of Revenue

Dated 14 April 1869.

FOR ORDERS.

Re Usilampatti Zemindary Claim

Rec'd in Bd's Rev Sec't & D.
dated 14 April 1869 No 2534

BOARD OF REVENUE, MADRAS.

1868.

PROCEEDINGS, 21ST NOVEMBER 1868, No. 8,241.

Rev: 1263/69.

Encl.

Received

S Ewing Esq
Civ: Ld -141

NOVEMBER.

No. 8,241.

Arrear proceeds of a Maniem—in Tinnevelly District, which was irregularly resumed in 1845-46 and subsequently restored to Petitioner in Nos 1,263 and 2,422 of 1868.—Collector to submit application, in prescribed form, for payment to Petitioner, with interest, of,

I would grant the interest.
We might have
resumed. It is right that
in should pay the penalty
attached to an unjust
concealment of the property
I am after.

Court
1/6/69.

FOR ORDERS.

Chittle

Board's order
from Mr Pitts Dep.
Paymr

c 22d May 1864 18-27 May

Revenue Department

Memorandum No 1263

The Board request sanction for the payment of Rupees 2431-2-0 to an Inamdar in Tinnevelly as constituting the profits which accrued from three portions of an Inam which lapsed from time to time and were incorporated with Government lands, but were restored to the said Inamdar years afterwards the first instance of lapse occurred 25 years ago; the 2d 18 years ago, and the 3d 7 years ago. The above sum includes Rs 735-9-2 on account of interest above the principal or rather the

the proceeds from the land being Rs. 1695-8-10.

2. The Board themselves directed the restoration of the lands. The circumstances of the case are explained in their Proceedings dated 23rd. May and 11th. July 1867, Nos. 3202 and 4320 which were submitted to Government with reference to a petition and implicedly approved by the endorsement given to the Petitioner.

3. As the Board state the survivor of the deceased Inamdar did not put in his claim for the resumed lands till 1866, the lapses having occurred in 1845, in

in 1850 and in 1862, the point for consideration now is whether the claimant having slept over his rights for a considerable number of years has any claim to the whole of the proceeds, and the large amount of interest.

Sd. H. Bell

Revl Department

W. 1097.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 5th June 1869.

Read the following

Proceedings of the Board

of Revenue.

Recommending to sanction payment of proceeds collected on portions of an Inam in Tinnevelly } (R.C. 14th April 69 No. 2534)

The Government sanction the payment of the proceeds of the resumed lands amounting to Rs. (1695-8-10) one thousand six hundred and ninety five, annas eight and pice ten

But

But they cannot admit the claim for interest for a period in excess of 12 years, and the Board will report the amount which will be payable accordingly.

14 To the 5th Rec'd Des 7
6 ——— what Quebec Rec'd to Genl. V.

Edwards.