MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1579 Press ~~Mis.~~

(86-07

Dated 4th June 1969

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th June 1869.

No. 106. Read the following letter from W. D. HORSLEY, Esq., Cuddapah, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Cuddapah, 7th May 1869:—

I HAVE taken up, on puttah, 30 acres of land on a hill in the sub-division of this district, and I wish to occupy 30 acres more, will you be good enough to obtain for me the sanction of Government in this matter? It is not my intention to trade with the produce of the land. My object is, if possible, to plant trees and to secure pasturage for my cattle. If I do grow grain or Coffee, it will be entirely for home purposes.

No. 107. ORDER THEREON, 4th June 1869, No. 1,579.

Miscellaneous. SANCTIONED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL

Acting Secretary to Government.

To W. D. Horsley, Esq., Cuddapah.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantlemam.

1145
11—5—69
Qu

Dear Sir

I have the honour to
state 30 acres of land
on a hill in the 2nd Division
of this District & Parish
to accompany 30 acres more.
Will you be good enough
to obtain for me the sanc-
tion of Govt in this matter.
I have not any intention
to trade with the produce
of the land, & my objection

if possible to plant the
& to secure pasturage for
my cattle. If I do so
shall or offer it wholly
entirely for home purposes

I remain
Sir truly
Your Humble
Servant
Andrew Jackson
Aug 7th 29

For Orders

... W. W. D. Hanley Esq.
Civil & Sessions Judge
of Cuttack, in the matter
of petition of ... of land
taken up in portion, and now
desires the sanction
of Govt to his taking
up 30 acres more.

It is not his intention
to trade with the produce
of these 60 acres, but he
wishes if possible to save
trees and obtain pasture
for his cattle. If he
does grow grain or coffee
it will be for private
consumption only.

The Court of Directors

many years ago pro-
hibited Civil Officers from
holding lands for
farming purposes under
any circumstances
whatever (see the Boards
Standing Order No 376) and


The first however on
a recent occasion allowed
a Collector therein under
to take up 16 acres of
land for the purpose
of farming. On the
understanding that he
was immediately

I was aware of the
Secretaries case, but
as the Govt have engaged
the rule in regard of the
head nature residence officers
of the district, there can be
little or no harm in granting
a similar indulgence to
the principal medical
European functionary of the
Zillah

Will Govt kindly bring
this to Council. I am
not disposed to think
that the Judge of a District
should hold 60 acres
of land for agricultural
purposes. The latter
ought not to be treated
as a Station. And

I therefore think that
30 acres is exactly for
the domestic wants of
a European officer. The
Judge does not literally
reside where the land
is. N.

Memo



Council