M.R.O. 1—5000—18-12-20.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 739 Press
461-462
Dated 18th March 1869

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th March 1869.

No. 461. Read the following petition from M. NARASIMHALU, late a Vernacular Clerk in the Collectorate of North Arcot, to His Excellency the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, dated 8th February 1869, No. 387 :—

That as your Lordship's humble petitioner is anxious to appeal to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India against your Government's Order declining "either to direct petitioner's restoration or to declare him eligible for re-employment" he humbly begs to solicit the favor of your Government kindly forwarding to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, the enclosed memorial to his address, as also the papers connected with his (petitioner's) case, three copies of each of which are, as required by the rules relating to the submission of memorials to the Secretary of State, herein enclosed.

No. 462. ORDER THEREON, 18th March 1869, No. 737.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered that the Memorial received with this paper be despatched to the Home Government, and that intimation of the same be given to the Memorialist.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. W WELLESLEY,
Acting Under Secretary to Government.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

12th May 1863

Before the acting Magistrate of Chittoor
District

2nd Andrew Pearson Blackburn Lowe
Father's name Scotland Nam Married Caste
Baptist Religion employed under the Military
Contractor, Age about 45 Place of residence
Palamaner

Deposition was taken in Telugu
Sworn.

I am the Proprietor of Manufacturing Co
since 3 years Commenced January. Ma Coppers
not often to my hands

Answers to Court } about 6 or 7 days I took them
Brought and I sent to Bangalore

Q 2 5. Ke did not tell me why he required
the money, he said to execute Document
and clear the debt within a month. I sent
I had not the money. This is all what I know

Answers
to Court } I do not recollect to what place
Examination } I sent them on the 27th April this
year I have a Letter written from Bangalore
and Kuchna Ram now replied. I did my Cattle
there. On the 27th April 1863 the Bandsmen &c
Coolies are ordered to the my Cattle. I never them
Brought a Pare.

(Signed in Braketts) Thomas Paddaglass Esq
(True Copy) (Signed) J. Pr. Braden Esq Magistrate

4th May 1863

Before the Acting Magistrate of Eastern District
3rd Defence witness Paranagama Sabhayya Horzoon
Vernacular Clerk

Deposition was taken in Tolagu-
a Tamil.-

I am not aware what took place
between Horzoon Kurundadi and Boo Bya
Paranagama now too before the Court. I go to the
defendant's Tom Boo
I am now put up in a Choultry at Palamanay
Colombo 10 or 12 days back Then was a mode of
Expence as Lee Bio Boodhiya Caira there having
a dog in his hand. Not having had for
enquiry I asked him to send me Rupees 2
the said that he brought the money Accor-
dingly I did not again ask him
It appears that the Horzoon Cadeary is now in
Tolagu Cadeary of the place

(Signed) Paranagama Sabhayya

(True Copy) (Signed) J. Fraser
Acting Magistrate

4th May 1865

Before the Acting Magistrate of Colombo
Lisbreck

1st Witness on behalf of the Defendant and
Examined through Interpreter English Clerk
Sworn

Deposition taken in Tamil

Sworn -

I know this Pandaramwanbo belonging
Land during the night for Safety Rogers
Shinetia Cutchery per manent fund 15 for two months
he has been put up with me. I did not know
when he brings the money but I was told by him
that it belongs to Government & accordingly
why the does bring home. There is no proper Guard
in Cutchery, he cannot touch Planting there
The Pandaram does not look upon this
the complainant of Rungone I have brings home for
Safety even the reply. All this happened Tuesday
Afternoon Camm there (Palamarawe) the Tookies took
from Rogers my house in the night Thursday
Morning. Even this I know no thing else whatever
him and the Rungan Pandaram.

Signed in English Examined Interpreted.

Freeman — I want you to come on the night of the 2nd after I open a store. I'll come over & help you get ready (Rev Ray Bernard) & then asked him to come & he promised he would & then I asked him to bring some one with him. He answered that he would bring Mr Collins. I asked him to speak to the people & he said he would. Then I asked him to pray & he said he would. Then I asked him to sing & he said he would. Then I asked him to preach & he said he would. Then I asked him to baptize & he said he would. Then I asked him to marry & he said he would. Then I asked him to bury & he said he would. Then I asked him to do anything & he said he would. Then I asked him to do nothing & he said he would. Then I asked him to do everything

[illegible] on being [illegible] read [illegible] [illegible] of this,

(Signed)

[illegible] Richard Tait

Taken in the Prison Bungalow

[illegible] before [illegible] (signed) [illegible]

5th May 1865

Before the Acting Magistrate of Chilaw District

The 2nd Witness on behalf of the Defendant one

Sinny Pilly Vanarakoddiah Tamaculam Clerk

Deposition taken in Tellugu

Sworn.

I Sinnadurai Vanarakoddiah complaining to the Fiscal's Court of the want of safety and for safeguard as the box in which Tamarind fruit &c. were deposited I do not know how he were robbed. Once as I was I Sinnadurai Vanarakoddiah complaint I want about my work. I do not recollect whether similar complaint made about Vellore and Pallignadu. There is Palmyra [illegible] I see Sinnadurai coming to Thuduvai [illegible] I saw put up with the money bag in his hand. I [illegible] between the contents of the bag & the Rupees by hand [illegible] I saw my friend Sinnadurai with the money [illegible]

(Signed) in Tellugu

Sinny Pilly Vanarakoddiah

Taken (Signed) Tamaculam

Acting Magistrate

(Signed) [illegible]

4th May 1863

Before the Acting Magistrate of Cuddalore District

The Defendant Witness Govinda Venkatasamy
& Paidah Moorgan Pandeen
Defendants were taken in Oath
Interpreter.

The Plaintiff Narasimmaloo before the Court
saith Being Sworn Examined Cross Questioned Says me
& Brother my Father Comah Solomon Pillah in
Pallore Resides & A. M. Sadaic Saheb Andandee
Comah Pillah Plaintiffs Father Mortgaged
Land & money by Sadaic Saheb Defendants
Father & Brother & Plaintiffs Father which
Plaintiffs Mortgage Deeds put in mark & my
Name.

(Signed) Venkatasamy. Paidah Moorgan
(True Copy) (Signed) Fraser Ag Magistrate

(Signed) [illegible]

Dec the 2d & 4th May 1863

In the presence of the acting Magistrate

of the Chittoor District.

The Telugu deposition of Chinnodu Satyayah

Hazur Attender the 5th witness for the defendants

(Sworn.)

The prisoners & Panchayatdar Standing before the

County informed the Secretary in Telugu Instead,

that the two Containing the Cowries of the Kannam

Panchayat Jeeds of the Hazur Circuitdar Cutcherry

in rows & properly guarded & Leave the Secretaries

when he told the prisoners that the seals Kept &c

in safety and he give me Bundle after and after he Given

the prisoners called them when he kept &c, Given the

Circuitdar Called him he kept &c returned to Cutcherry and

delivered the money return Receipt, this my first Examt

Interpreter.

Sworn the Same before the prisoners same

Attorney in Court Interpreter & marked the Deposition

Cowries & Copper money Cap &c the day & date the seals &c

& the money & Cowries with Jeeds Sworn before

Interpreter

(Seal)

(Signed)

To His Most Honorable
The Secretary of State for India
in Council
The humble Address of the
undersigned Merchants
and Traders of the City
of London in the Counties of
Kent and Middlesex
of Lancaster

Most humbly Sheweth

That your Petitioners have
perused the Papers laid before Parliament
by your Right Honorable Board
relative to the Cultivation and Manufacture
of Cotton Wool in India and
are of opinion that the Measures
therein proposed for encouraging
the Growth of Cotton and
increasing the Exports thereof
from India to this Country
are highly deserving the

the parties connected with the case.

Rule as to proceedings of the Registrar generally in Criminal Causes of Piracy which shall be instituted in the Court, and as to fees to be taken by the Registrar and other officers of the Court in such Causes.

Rule as to Registrar's Taxation and Allowance of Criminal Costs.

Rule as to Registrar's Duties in Criminal Causes.

Rule as to Registrar's Duties in Criminal Causes.

Rule as to Registrar's Duties in Criminal Causes.

Depositions

2nd May 1863

Before the Acting Magistrate of Chilton District

The 12 witness on behalf of the defendant one Baly
Ram Kenaram Can Clerk Collectors Office
Sworth

I know that Ganeshmanto used to bring the peons around from this house for safe guard
Expenses. I dare the money Rs 1 was in his hand
at Pelon. He said to me that since the money could
not be safe at Cudderry he had the permission from
Mr Secretary of taking it down. While we were at
Cuddapah I heard the Secretary say that the
responsibility of procuring the money safely did
belong to the Ganeshmanto under the conglomeration of
the words of safety at Cuddapah. I merely saw the
money beg in his hands of Ganeshmanto while
at Pelon but heard nothing talked about it.

I merely heard that the money belonged to the
Government but never saw it taken from the boxes.
I do not recollect whether it was decided any thing
about the money while at Pelon.

Signed in Teluga language
Chilton Collgr Rom.

On the 5th May 1863

In the presence of the acting Magistrate of the
Chilaw Division

The Telegu Interpretation of the written Palaveanda

Veerasamy the Deputy Constable of the Palavanary
Police Station bearing No 1316 marked K.
the written Sworn

The prisoner C Varadanada, Standing before the Court
had a parcel, with him, when a Search was made
as he was brought to the Police Station in the
manner given by the Magistrate. No Counterfeit of
50 Rs - 6 - 1. The Police inspector observing this
put the same Sealed with the Seal of the 2d class
Subordinate Magistrate of the Palavanary Talegun
and kept it under the guard of the police at the
Station.

(Signed)

(Signed)

Mr. Magistrate

No 1235

4/1/61

1039
6 enclosures
9-4-46

[illegible] the sentence of the Magistrate and ordered forthwith

[illegible]

[illegible] for the Magistrate and Justices for the

Justices to the Board forgery for the Colony

and the Board refuse upon the refusal of the Justices

and Justices to the Governor for the Governor

[illegible] Justices can refer evidence and declare [illegible]

Governor Justices and the [illegible] Magistrate therefore to Governor

of Board.

[illegible] Justices forgery to Governor and [illegible]

Justices Justices [illegible] for Governor and for

[illegible] Justices forgery Board Governor [illegible]

[illegible] Governor [illegible] Governor [illegible]

[illegible] Justices [illegible] Justices [illegible]

[illegible] Justices [illegible] Justices [illegible]

[illegible] Justices [illegible] Justices

Case No 24 of 1883 in the
Court of Sessions
Complainant
Prisoner
Petitioner
Respondent
Charge

A

To

The Honorable the President and
Members of the Board of
Revenue.

The humble Petition of Thomas
Hancock late Pinnacle Clerk
in the Secretaries Department
the Collector and Vice Collector
Humbly sheweth,

That on the 9th September 1764
your Petitioner addressed a Letter to
Edward Ashley Esqr the then Secretary
desiring he would lay the Petition
of your Petitioner before the Board
for their Consideration setting forth
that your Petitioner had served
the Company in the Secretaries
Office for the Space of Eight Years
and upwards and that he had
been appointed Pinnacle Clerk
in the Year 1760 and that
your Petitioner had been
Collector and Vice Collector
of the Pinnacle Office for
the Space of Two Years
and upwards and that
your Petitioner had

gave an Endorsement on the 9th October following in No. 0031 of 1863 to the effect that they have no further election in the Matter. Your Petitioner unfortunately misread the Petition and the Boards Endorsement.

2. Your Petitioner then appealed to the High Court and that authority had likewise declined to interfere in the matter as will be seen from the following remarks entered in Court on the enclosed Petition ⊗ Marked C. by the Registrar of the Court. "The Magistrate requested to state under what order or Regulation the Petitioner addressed to the High Court."

3. Your Petitioner begs therefore to enclose a Copy of the former Petition to the Hon'ble Board marked ⊗ and would request that your will be pleased to peruse the reasons assigned by the Magistrate for the refusal of the Petitioners application marked A. B & C.

4. The former conviction of the Petitioner on Bail (your Petitioner) pending the Appeal to the High Court, and the latter the grounds of his unconditional acquittal and to order the restoration of your Petitioner together with his salary from the date of dismissal as he is unjustly deprived of his profit since 1st day last the date of his conviction by the Magistrate.

Marked C

4. The Magistrate in his Endorsement of the 29th July 1863 on your Petitioner's Petition ⊗ which is also enclosed observes that although your Petitioner is fully acquitted of the Charge brought against him he is not desposed to restore him to service on the face of the Records connected with the Case. Your Petitioner begs to submit that it is not at present of these very Records which the Magistrate therein refers on the Endorsement that the Petitioner stands charged and acquitted on the opinion of your Petitioner as regards he is therefore not at loss to know how these Records can be used to militate against your Petitioner

Per Londonderry. We therefore beseech you
on the behalf of your Honourable Board
and each of you to do your duty.
Herewith we send you Petitioner
on 12 duly sworn.

Madras 29th
January 1864

Recd. 30th Jany. 1864
Read 30th Jany. 1864
Ans. 1st Feby. 1864

No. 8 Petition of [illegible] dated 29th January 1864 praying for the appointment of Petitioner to the Office of Sheristadar of the Court of Requests at Madras

To
The Honble
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Petition

[illegible]

To
The Honble the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

The Petition of [illegible] Petitioner humbly sheweth

That your Petitioner has been for the last [illegible] years employed in the Court of Requests at Madras and has served in the capacity of [illegible] for the last [illegible] years

That your Petitioner has been duly sworn as a Vakeel of the Supreme Court and has been practising as such

Re No 3857/59

2918 2012/59

Rec'd 7/10/59.

1940 of 1864

TO

THE HONORABLE SIR W. T. DENISON, K. C. B.

GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL.

FORT ST GEORGE.

The humble Petition of M. Narasimooloo late Vernacular Clerk in the Sirastadar's Department of the Collector's Office of North Arcot.

MOST HUMBLY SHEWETH.

That your Petitioner most respectfully begs to bring to your kind notice the undermentioned regorous and unjust Proceedings of Mr. Fraser late Acting Magistrate of Chittoor as regards his case.

1. That your Petitioner was entrusted with the Permanent Advance Fund of 50 Rupees to meet the contigent charges which was always kept at the responsibility of Sirastadar. That Whilst the cutcheery was in Fusly 1272 on zemabundy term there being no sufficient guard to the Sirastadar's cutcheery, Your Petitioner used to protect the money by keeping the same in his custody as was ordered to him by the Sirastadar. The Sirastadar being subsequently prejudiced against Your Petitioner complained to the magistrate that Your Petitioner appropriated the public money to his own use and that he had given no orders to keep the money in his custody as he first directed your Petitioner.

2. Although it was fully proved by the public Servants that the Sirastadar's cutcheery was very ill-gaurded, that the Sirastadar directed your Petitioner to protect the same by keeping it in his custody, that your Petitioner in obedience to such orders used to save the money for a long time since and that the balance according to the accounts was in his possession when accidentally arrested, the Acting Magistrate, on the false representation of the Sirastadar and quite in opposition to the proved public Records sentenced your Petitioner on the 6th May 1863 to one year's rigorous imprisonment with a fine of Rupees 50/6/1 which was the balance of the Permanent advance fund.

3. But on an appeal made by Your Petitioner, the Session Judge on perusal of the very Records by wich the Magistrate sentenced him declaring that the Petitioner did not carry the money with intent to defraud the Govt, that his reasons are credible, that the charge was altogether ungrounded, and that he was unnecessarily imprisoned, cancelled the sentence of the magistrate on the 13th July last and released your Petitioner.

4. That your Petitioner afterwards presented a Petition to the magistrate praying to be restored to his former Post as his innocence was established by the Session Judge beyond all doubt, but to his grief received an endorsement Nombor 32 of 1863 from the magistrate that he was not of opinion to entertain your Petitioner in public service in accordance with the previous records of his case although his sentence was cancelled by the Session Judge.

5. That your Petitioner consequently appealed to the Honorable the Board of Revenue who endorsed that they are unable to interfere on his behalf as the circumstances proved in the enquiry before the magistrate were quite sufficient to show that Petitioner is unworthy of Trust.

6. When the Sentence of the Magistrate was cancelled by the proceedings of the Session Judge, the same Records with which it was grounded were likely cancelled. While so Your Petitioner is sorry to see the Honorable the Board of Revenue arrive at the same conclusion as that of the magistrate and quite contrary to the Decision of the Session Judge.

7. The Government have empowered the Judges of the High Court to decide the criminal charges brought against Sub-magistrates who are dismissed. The High court have in many instances ordered the restoration of those who are sentenced by the magistrates, and afterwards released by the Session Judges though their restoration was refused by the magistrate.

8. As Justice is equally distributed to all servants without distinction under your Honorable Government, and as Petitioner is under the circumstances detailed in the foregoing paras prevented from entering any branch of Public service although his innocence has been well proved, Petitioner humbly solicits that on perusing the several papers herewith submitted, the Government will be pleased to order his restoration to his post and thus enable him to get his former means of livelihood.

And for this act of Justice your petitioner as in duty bound,

Madras, 20 June 1864.

Shall ever pray.

M. Narasimooloo

with a fine of Rs 50-6-1
on the charge of mis-
appropriation of certain
money although the
Session court convicted
the said sentence and
acquitted him under
appeal.

2 Says that records
the circumstances
herein set forth &
on the perusal of the
papers herein and the
sum so alleged
appears to be
proved further to be
of his office

In W.P. 38[illegible]
of 1960 [illegible]

1. Upon the petitioner
also the late Veerasu
Gopalan the respondent
of the order of the Sessions
Rs 100 of Sessions Court
also 30 days
Court Rs 2150
Vide Exhibit

2. Appeal against the
Second Respondent
of the Board of Revenue
Revision to this place
with the subject
in this office please
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]