M.R.O. 1—30,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 700	Press ~~Mis.~~
35 - 381	
Dated 14th March 19 1869	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th March 1869.

No. 380. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th February 1869, No. 1,097:—

Read the following letter from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chepauk, 9th February 1869, No. 281-9.

1. I have the honor, in reply to the Board's Proceedings of the 8th instant, to state that in the first instance, I based all calculation of demarcation expenses on area.

2. In the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,323, of the 6th May 1868, the cost of demarcation was compared with the revenue, which Mr. Robinson, C. S. I., in his Memorandum, No. 351, of the 3rd February 1868, states generally to afford a fair estimate of the value of land. In paragraph 16 of the same Proceedings, the Board recommended a mode of payment by ryots having reference to the assessment. I was, therefore, under the impression that the Board would judge the cost of demarcation to be fair or excessive by this standard, and therefore thought it best to show at once the proportion of the beriz probably required for demarcation.

Paragraph 8.

3. I should have stated that the total of proposed advance is identical with the estimated cost of demarcation to ryots. The progress of work and proportion of advance expended would afford ample opportunity for ascertaining before-hand the probability of the estimate being exceeded, and would, therefore, permit of any remedial measures, or necessary alteration of arrangements being adopted in advance.

The Board are of opinion that the estimated expense, and not the beriz, should be taken as the basis on which to compute the scale of advances.

2. The estimated cost will vary with reference to area, size of fields, cost of materials, labor, &c.

3. It seems desirable that Colonel Priestley, should state, with reference to past experience, the average cost for wet and dry in lands where no exceptional circumstances prevail as regards the element of calculation above named.

4. Substituting "estimated cost" for beriz, the rules proposed by Colonel Priestley are, in the opinion of the Board, sufficient to ensure the advances being fairly proportioned to requirements.

No. 381. Order thereon, 16th March 1869, No. 700.

Land Revenue.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Revenue Office
No. 578.

BOARD OF REVENUE, MADRAS.

1869.

PROCEEDINGS, 16TH FEBRUARY 1869, No. 1,097.

Read 23-2-69
Issued 2-10-69

Encl.

FEBRUARY.

No. 1,097.

Cost of Demarcation—for wet and dry lands—Superintendent of Revenue Survey to submit certain information regarding

R. 1900.

RECORDED.

16th March 1869.

Govt. of Madras

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated March, 1869.

Read. Encl.

G. O., 10th March 1869, No. 700.

MARCH.

Nos. 330-331.

Demarcation expenses.—Colonel Priestley's letter intimating that he based all calculations for advances on area, recorded.