

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>100</i>	Press
		<i>Mis.</i>
<i>282-293</i>		
Dated <i>17th Feb</i> <i>1909</i>		

Not to be folded or roughly handled*282-293*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th February 1869.*

No. 292. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th February 1869, No. 772:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Madras, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 18th January 1869, No. 16.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 1st December 1865, No. 7,700, sanctioning the defence of Original Suit No. 20 of 1865 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput, I have the honor to forward copy of the Decree passed by the Civil Judge, dismissing the Suit and striking it off the file, on the ground that the value of the Suit does not bring it within the Court's jurisdiction.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Decree.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government. No principle is involved, and no costs have been awarded against Government.

No. 293. ORDER THEREON, 17th February 1869, No. 405.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. WIGRAM,
Acting Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue:

Exd. G. A. Turton.

In the Civil Court of Chingleput.
Original Suit No. 20 of 1865.
Present M. S. Whiteside Esquire J. Civil Judge.
Under Act VIII of 1859.

Plaintiffs.	Defendants.
1. Subbaraya Pillai.	1. Saranana Mudali.
2. Akemirasa Pillai.	2. Vajithayalinga Mudali.
alias Ardinarayana Pillai.	3. G. P. R. Sundar Esquire Collector of the Madras District.
3. Vedagiri Pillai.	1 st & 2 ^d Defendants Vakeel Mr. Coopersamy Aides.
	3 ^d Defendants Vakeel. Mr. Ranga Rao.

(S.D.)

The Plaintiffs in this suit sue to recover from the Defendants possession of 89 $\frac{43}{100}$ Acres of Tanjabs and Panja land of the value of Rs. 1511-7-9, for the cancellation of the Pattahs at present held by the Defendants, and the issue of fresh Pattahs in the Plaintiffs' names, and for an order to the Revenue Authorities to receive the rents on these lands in future only from the Plaintiffs as their owners.

This suit coming up for hearing the Court considers that it cannot be proceeded with and for the following reasons.

The 1st Defendant brought summary Suit No. $\frac{308}{1863}$ in the Court of the District Munsiff of Triplicane under the provisions of Section XX Act XXV of 1859 to recover possession of a considerable portion of these very lands from the Plaintiffs and obtained a Decree. The Plaintiffs then instituted C. S. $\frac{206}{1864}$ for the same purpose in the same Court, and the decree was hostile to their claim. Against that Decree the Plaintiffs have preferred an appeal No. 25 of 1867 which is still pending in this Court.

Jan. 11 1869

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated February, 17th.

Recd.

Per.

G. O. 17th February 1869, No. 405.

FEBRUARY.

NO. 292-293.

Suit No. 20 of 1865, against Collector of Madras, in the Civil Court of Chingleput.
Report of the Board of Revenue.

Matters being in this position the Plaintiffs have now brought this Original Suit to recover the same lands they have already twice sued for in vain in the Lower Court and with regard to the 2nd of which suits their Appeal is still unheard. It was clearly incompetent for them to sue in this manner when it is not certain that their claim to those lands will not be upheld on the appeal they have filed, coming up for disposal. In the next place the statement and valuation of the lands sued for has been prepared with the grossest carelessness and inaccuracy. It appears that no less than 7 plots or extents of lands have been entered twice over in the list, and their annual income in a similar manner entered so as to swell the total amount of the Plaintiffs' claims. The Plaintiffs' Valuer lately obtained permission to correct certain mistakes in this document, but he omitted to make any alteration of this flagrant misstatement and in making his corrections he withdrew from the list of the lands sued certain portions of Lachhraj Land originally claimed by the Plaintiffs and in their place inserted several portions of land the extent and value or annual income of which he has not specified. and this being so it is impossible to know what is the exact extent and value of the property sued for. Lastly it appears to the Court that after deducting the

7 repetitions of land which has, as stated above, been entered twice over and also those portions of land now sued for but which form the subject of A. S. $\frac{25}{1867}$ now on this Court's file the amount remaining would form a total that would not bring this suit within the jurisdiction of this Court.

Order.

For the foregoing reasons the Court rejects the Plaint under the provisions of Sections 29 & 32 of the Civil Code and directs that this suit be struck off the file of the Court.

Pronounced in Court at Chingleput the 26th November 1867.

Signed / M. S. Whiteside
Civil Judge.

(True Copy)

S. Peiser
Clerk

No. 772.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 4th February 1869.

Read the following letter from E. G. R. FANE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 18th January 1869, No. 16:—

WITH reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 1st December 1865, No. 7,709, sanctioning the defence of Original Suit No. 20 of 1865 on the file of the Civil Court of Chingleput, I have the honor to forward copy of the Decree, passed by the Civil Judge, dismissing the Suit and striking it off the file, on the ground that the value of the Suit does not bring it within the Court's jurisdiction.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Decree.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government. No principle is involved, and no costs have been awarded against Government.

(A true Copy, and Extract.)

W. H. Fane
Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with an enclosure.

Copy to the Collector of Madras.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

100-405
Ordered **REPORT** *Recorded - 1869*
W. H. Fane
A. O. Secy
17th Feb/69
17th Feb/69
12 copies to the Secy of the Board of Revenue

100-408/69
17th Feb/69

Letter from the Secy of the Board of Revenue dated 18th Jan. 1869.

100-405-17th Feb/69

100-408/69

20 Jan/69

08/16/80

in the Office
January - 1899

January 1899

69/171

6/69.

Year	Percentage
1950	7
1960	8
1970	10
1980	12
1990	14
2000	16
2010	17
2020	18
2030	18
2040	18
2050	18

Williamson