

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3171

Press
Mis.

190-191

Dated 14th December 1869

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th December 1869.*

No. 190. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 6th November 1869, No. 8,219:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 16th October 1869, No. 251.

With reference to paragraph 3 of the Board's Proceedings of the 4th May 1869, I have the honour to enclose copy of the Proceedings of the Civil Judge of Rajahmundry passed on my petition, objecting to the over-demand of Rupees 148 on account of the shist of Fusly 1277, and of Rupees 218-14-4 on account of interest, as I have stated in paragraph 2 of my letter, dated 21st April 1869, No. 75, embodied in the above quoted Proceedings. It will be seen from it that the above two sums have been ordered to be struck off the amount awarded to the Zemindar of Vizianagram.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Rajahmundry, dated 15th September 1869.

Read Petition No. 732 of 1869 presented by C. Kamaraz, Government Vakeel, in Suit No. 3 of 1867, requesting to deduct Rupees 366-14-4, being the amount of two items from the amount of the Warrant.

ORDER.

The amount of the Warrant has not yet been paid, although a long time has elapsed since the Warrant was issued. It appears from what the Government Vakeel states, that application for payment has been made by the Collector to Government through the Board of Revenue.

The plaintiff admits the correctness of the mesne profits for Fusly 1277 as stated by the defendant, and therefore Rupees 148 is to be deducted from the amount of the Warrant on that account.

The Civil Judge observes that the sum of Rupees 218-14-4 was charged in the Warrant as interest on the costs, instead of Rupees 2,090-1-10 as applied for by the plaintiff, including subsequent interest on the mesne profits. The defendant objects even to this sum, as subsequent interest was not mentioned in the Decree. The Civil Judge is of opinion that interest on costs should not be allowed, as it has not been provided for in the Decree.

15th September 1869.

(Signed) H. MORRIS,
Civil Judge.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government, with reference to their Order, dated 21st May 1869, No. 1,427. It will be seen that the amount due to the Zemindar of Vizianagram, in satisfaction of the Decree in Suit No. 3 of 1867, is now Rupees 19,495-3-0 instead of Rupees 19,862-1-4, sanctioned in the Order above quoted.

2. The Board observe from the Progress Report of Collections, etc., received from the Collector for September last, that the full amount sanctioned has already been disbursed to the Zemindar. The Collector will have, therefore, to recover Rupees 366-14-4 from the Zemindar, and credit it in the accounts, reporting the fact to the Board.

was not mentioned in the decree. The Civil Judge is of opinion that interest on costs should not be allowed, as it has not been provided for in the decree.

15th September 1869.

(Signed) H. MORRIS,
Civil Judge.

(True Extract.)
(Signed) N. LAKSHMINARAYANA,
Sheristadar.

(True Copy.)
(Signed) J. FRASER,
Collector.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government, with reference to their order, dated 21st May 1869, No. 1,427. It will be seen that the amount due to the Zemindar of Vizianagram, in satisfaction of the decree in Suit No. 3 of 1867, is now Rupees 19,495-3-0, instead of Rs. 19,862-1-4 sanctioned in the order above quoted.

2. The Board observe from the Progress Report of Collections, etc., received from the

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th December 1869.*

No. 191. ORDER THEREON, 14th December 1869, No. 3,171.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. WELLESLEY,
g Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Dantleman,