

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	3100	Press Mis.
<u>47-48</u>		
Dated	3 rd December 1869.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 47. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 27th August 1869:—

Read the following Statements showing the occasions on which Revenue Officers were absent from head-quarters, during the quarter ending 29th June 1869.

From South Canara, dated 13th June 1869.

„ Trichinopoly	„ 8th July	„ No. 156.
„ Kurnool	„ 13th „	„ 240.
„ Vizagapatam	„ 13th „	
„ Godavery	„ 15th „	
„ Neilgherries	„ 16th „	
„ Kistna	„ 17th „	
„ Tanjore	„ 19th „	
„ Madura	„ 19th „	
„ Salem	„ 21st „	
„ Tinnevelly	„ 21st „	No. 290.
„ Bellary	„ 21st „	
„ Nellore	„ 21st „	
„ South Arcot	„ 22nd „	
„ Ganjam	„ 22nd „	
„ Malabar	„ 27th „	
„ Coimbatore	„ 28th „	
„ Cuddapah	„ 29th „	
„ North Arcot	„ 4th Aug.	
„ Madras	„ 11th „	No. 263.

RESOLVED that the above statements be submitted to Government with reference to their Order in the Revenue Department, dated 4th August 1866, No. 1,968.

2. It is observed that the names of Messrs. Fraser, Pearse, and Maltby, do not appear in these Returns. If no absence had to be recorded, this fact should have been mentioned.

3. The movements of the Salt Deputy-Collector of Ganjam again appear to the Board not to have been quite satisfactory.

4. The itineration of the Salt Deputy-Collector of Madras also calls for remark. He seems to have been absent only three days in May. He will be called upon to state when he last visited Cheyur.

5. The other Returns do not call for any particular observations from the Board.

No. 48. ORDER THEREON, 3rd December 1869, No. 3,100.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. WELLESLEY,

Acting Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Officers.

The

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the October 1869
Read the following Proceedings of the
of Revenue.

Submitting statements
showing the occasions on which
Revenue Officers were absent from
Head Quarters during the quarter
ending 30th June 1869.

(H.C. 2) 4th August 1869

The Government
having examined the several
returns submitted with the
Board's Proceedings above
recorded, deem it necessary
to make the following obser-
vations.

2. The movements of the
Salt Deputy Collector should
be shown in detail, as is
done in the case of the other
officers.

The

Work
I question the propriety
of sending out these returns
at the present time within
Board. I am not sure that
it is not better to wait until
as much as possible for the
rule of the
account given
and simply record
the Board's proceedings.
H.A.

3rd Revenue
No. 68

Dist. of 1869

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated December 3rd.

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 3rd December 1869, No. 3,100.

DICTIONARY.

Nos. 47-48.

Absence of Officers from head-quarters.
Statement for the quarter ending 30th June
69, recorded.

one reason & description
of numerous appeals, and
writing village servants' re-
port to Board ^{not} as an expla-
nation of "cause of absence"
from Head Quarters.

Trichinopoly
Mr. G. Banbury, Collector.

4. The movements of
the Salt Deputy Collector
should have been shown
in detail.

Godavery

5. As stated to have
been absent at Point
Calimere from 31st May
to 28th June to see the District
Collector. The necessity for
this long absence from Head
Quarters should be more
clearly explained.

Tanjore
Mr. L. R. Burrows,
Asst. Dist. Collector.

6. Has not travelled
sufficiently in the months
of April and May. He
was absent from Head
Quarters

Mr. Ricketts,
Salt Dy. Collector

As a rule the movements
in range of a Salt Deputy
Collector should be frequent.

A. Strinivasa Rao,
General Duty Dy. Collector

Madurai
Mr. G. M. Waters,
Asst. Dist. Collector.
He does not visit his office
except on a proper day of
superintending.
Mr. Ramaswamy Pillay,
General Duty Dy. Collector

Ramaswamy Moodelly,
Salt Dy. Collector

may for work connected with
the Salt Department. His
movements in June should
have been stated in detail.

7. This Officer was absent
from Head Quarters for less
than three weeks in the
whole quarter. He should
have travelled more within
his Division.

8. Has travelled only one
week in May and three days
in June. Brief absence such as

9. Has not travelled
sufficiently through his
division.

10. Has travelled
only 6 days in April and 8 days
in May. The Government ex-
pect the Salt Dy. Collector
to be almost constantly
on the move.

Spent

the Quarter at ^{least} because it was a cool retreat. ^{and a retreat} The Government desire to know how the payment of octroi tentage is regulated on such occasions.

12. Does not appear to have travelled at all in the months of May & June.

13. Has not travelled through the District except for two days in the Quarter.

14. Has not travelled at all in May and June. The Board have already noticed the omission. An explanation should be called for.

15. This officer has travelled only 18 days during the whole quarter. The infrequency of his movements has been noticed on more than one occasion, and the

Secretary
Mr. F. R. G. Sharp,
Asst. Sub. Collr.

South Arcot
Mr. C. W. Read, Collr.

Ganjam
Mr. J. H. Ellis.

D. V. Narasimha Rao,
Salt Dy. Collr.

Machas
Mr. Smith, Salt Dy. Collr.

submitting no portion of it.

no amount to travel through his Salt Division more constantly. The Board are requested to submit to Government the explanation called for in para 14 of their Proceedings. —

MA

Robert Coll

Revenue 1869 Vol 2
722921
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue.

Dated 24th August 1869
 Despatched 27
 Received 30
 Entered 20

116
No

Submitting State-
ments showing the oc-
casions on which Rev
Officers were absent from
duty quarters during the
quarter ending 30 June 69.

No 3100. F. Dur

RECORDED.

Mr. John B. Brown, Dept. of
Justice, Wash. D.C.

09/06/1945