M.R.O. 1—89,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 3067 Press ~~Mis.~~

350-354

Dated 30 November 1869

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 350. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th November 1869, No. 8,186:—

Read the following letter from the Officiating Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Narsarowpet, 14th October 1869, Extra No. 181.

I HAVE the honour to return the Board's Petition No. 1,326 of 1869, presented by M. Kotamma, Inamdar of Gogulapad, in Palnaud Talook, and to report briefly as follows:—

2. In the village of Gogulapad there is an Inam consisting of Acres 26 and Guntas 33, assessed with a Jodi of Rupees 16-1-0. The Inam Commissioner issued a Title-deed, No. 1,996, for this Inam in the joint names of Yenamandra Narayana, Surayya, Kistnaya, and Achamma, who having not agreed to pay quit-rent, the Inam was not enfranchised.

3. Of the entire Inam Narayana holds separately Acres 7-20. The petitioner claims a portion of this, and wishes to recover it by a Civil Suit. As the Inam is unenfranchised, there is no objection to grant her the required Certificate.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

SUBMITTED to Government, with the recommendation that they will grant petitioner the requisite permission to sue.

No. 351. ORDER THEREON, 30th November 1869, No. 3,067.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government do not understand the grounds of the Board's recommendation. If the Inam is, as stated, unenfranchised, it is held on the terms of the original grant; and it should be considered whether the petitioner is in such a position as to be entitled to have the restrictive clauses of Regulation IV. of 1831 set aside in her favour, and to the detriment of the present holder of the Inam.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 3067. Rev. Dept.

Proceedings of the Madras Board of Revenue dated the 30th June 1869.

Read the following Report of the Board of Revenue.

Asking permission to sue for a portion of certain Inam land in Kistna District.

M. Kotamma

To the Hon'ble Sir W. Morris, Knt. &c. &c. &c. Member of Council.

The Board do not understand the grounds of the Petitioner's claim to a portion of the Inam in question. If the Petitioner is advised that she has any claim to the land, she must sue for the recovery of it in the Civil Court, and the Board will then consider the matter on the Petition.

Govt. of {1869} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated December, 30th

Read. End.

G. O., 30th November 1869, No. 3,067.

NOVEMBER.

Nos. 350-351.

Certain Inam land in Kistna District.—Proceedings Board of Revenue, recommending that M. Kotamma be permitted to sue for the recovery of a portion of it, with orders thereon.

is in such a position
as to be entitled to
have the restrictive
clauses of Regulation
IV of 1831 set aside in
her favor, and to the
detriment of the present
holder of the Grant



14 Feb 32 B of C Rec'd Dept of Deer
with an Ans.