

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue . DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2985.	Press Mis.
<u>186-187.</u>		
Dated	18 th November	1969..

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th November 1869.*

No. 186. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th October 1869, No. 7,362:—

Read the following letter from R. J. MELVILLE, Esq., Acting Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 31st August 1869, No. 1,684.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 5,041, dated 14th July 1869, I have the honour to state that I deputed the Deputy Collector of Naidupett, C. Cundasamy Moodelliar, to proceed to Vencatagherry, to deliver to the Rajah of Vencatagherry, His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor's letter of congratulation on the birth of his sixth son, with the Khilaat received with the above Proceedings.

2. I now beg to forward the Rajah's reply (with translation) acknowledging the receipt of His Excellency's letter, and returning thanks for the Khilaat, and the honour done to him in its presentation.

1 Kummerbund.
1 Turbund.
2 Pieces of Karchobee.

3. The Rajah has presented to the Deputy Collector, the articles noted in the margin. As similar presents made on the previous occasion were allowed

to be retained by the Deputy Collector, I request sanction to pursue the same course now.

4. The value of the Khilaat presented to the Rajah was stated to be Rupees 500. The Rajah has paid into the Treasury double the sum, namely, Rupees 1,000, as Nuzzer, although he was informed of the actual value.

5. In the Proceedings under acknowledgment, two pieces of Karchobee and two pieces of Kinkhob are entered as forwarded. But I observed that only one piece of each was forwarded, and it appears that in 1867, on the occasion of the birth of the Rajah's fifth son, only one piece was received, although two were entered in the Proceedings as sent.

6. The Rajah has not stated his reasons for paying 1,000 rupees instead of 500 rupees. I have, however, been given to understand that it has been done in the hope that on future occasions the Khilaat sent may be equal in amount of cloths to those sent previous to 1867.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From RAJAH VELUGOTI KUMARA YACHANA NAIDU, BAHADUR, C.S.I., PUNCHA HUZOOR
MUNSEEDAR, Rajah of Vencatagherry, to LORD FRANCIS BARON NAPIER, K.T.,
Governor of Fort Saint George in Council, dated 21st August 1869.

(All complimentary words omitted.)

I HAVE received, through R. J. Melville, Esq., the Acting Collector of Nellore, your letter No. 1,891, of the 1st July 1869, together with Khilaat, presented with sincere good-will for the birth of my sixth son.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th November 1869.*

2. The present has afforded me the highest pleasure, and according to custom, I have sent, for transmission to your Government, Rupees 1,000, to the Acting Collector of Nellore, as a Nuzzer in lieu of your Khilaat.

3. I beg that your Lordship will be pleased to accept the Nuzzer.

(Complimentary words omitted.)

(True Translation.)

NELLORE,
1st September 1869.

(Signed) R. J. MELVILLE,
Acting Collector.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board observe that the two pieces of Karchobee and Kinkhob, forwarded to the Collector, contained the same quantity as those presented to the Venecatagherry Zemindar on previous similar occasions, as explained in the margin of their letters to Government, dated 19th October 1867, No. 6,813, and 3rd August 1869, No. 5,692.

No. 187.

ORDER THEREON, 18th November 1869, No. 2,985.

Miscellaneous.

It would appear that the Rajah of Venecatagherry is under a misconception as to the value of the Khilaat sent

to him, which it was not the intention of Government to reduce. A suitable occasion may perhaps be found hereafter for reimbursing him for that portion of the Nuzzer which he has paid over and above the value of the Khilaat.

2. The Government permit the Deputy Collector to retain the articles presented to him by the Rajah, which are noted in paragraph 3 of the Collector's letter.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

PA 2
Memo for Anderson #3517

In his letter
printed with Proceedings
of the Board of
Revenue dated 4th Decr
1869, the Dy Collector
of Nilore reports that
in accordance with
the order of Govt dated
1st July 1869, #1090, he
had deputed the Dy
Collector of Naidupett
B. Gundasami Mudaliar
to visit the Rajah of
Venkataperry and
deliver to him His
Excellency the Governor's
letter of congratulations
on

Govt. of 1869, Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

NOVEMBER.

Nos. 153-157.

For the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras.
By the Secy to the Board of Revenue, Madras.
For the Secy to the Board of Revenue, Madras.

a Kummierbund
a Surbaud and two
pieces of Karchabee.
As similar presents
made on former occasions
were allowed to be re-
tained by the Byfollers
who conducted the cere-
mony (not Cundasami
Mordelliar) Mr Melville
requests that in this case
also the Byfollers may be
allowed to retain them.

And first as to the
Nuzzer of Rs 1000 which
the Rajah has presented
to Fort. The question
of accepting it is
left unnoticed by the
Board in their Report
now before us. On the
occasion of the birth of the
Rajah's

Rajah's fifth son, a similar
ceremony was gone through,
and the only difference
was that on that occasion
the Rajah gave in return
only Rs 500 (the value of the
Khilaak).

5. In the order dated
1st July 1869, N^o 1890, in
which the Government
authorized the presenta-
tion of the last Khilaak,
they directed the Secy
Collector to be guided
by the instructions of the
Government of India,
conveyed in their letter
of the 30th March 1835.

This letter prescribes that
in these cases a Nuzzer
"not less in value than
the cost of the Khilaak
is to be paid to Gov^t by
the native chief who
receives

receives the Khilash. It
is clear that the Govern-
ment of that day were
not averse to receiving
more than the value
of the Khilash, for in an
Order dated 21st April
1835, in which they com-
municated the aforesaid
letter to the Board of
Revenue, they directed
that in a case then
under consideration, the
Collector should require
from the Zemindar of
Calcutta a Muzur of
at least equal value
to the cost of the Khilash.

6. It is however, sub-
mitted that on this
occasion it would be
consistent with the
dignity

dignity of Govt to return
Rs 500 of the Muzur given by
the Venkatabherry ^{Rajah}. The occasion
was purely congratulatory.
It was not as if a fresh
Rajah by succeeding to an
independent territory had
incurred fresh obligations
at the hands of Govt, in
which case a Muzur
pure and simple might be
justified. Besides (vide
para 6 of the Collector's
letter printed in Board's
Proceedings dated 4th in
October 1869) it appears
that the Venkatabherry ^{Rajah} in
paying the additional
Rs 500 was influenced
by a hope which was
based on a false
assumption.

7. As to the acceptance
by the D^y Collector of the
clothing

clothes given to him
by the Rajah - this
has always been usual,
and no objection to the
course suggests itself.

He

The value of the Khilats
bestowed on the Raja
before 1867, seems not to have
been in excess of 500 Rupees.
Therefore, unless better in-
formation, the Acting Collector's
belief must be deemed
correct.

I would not return 5000 Rs.
to the Raja. When this 74
shows ahead, it will be
time enough to consider

whether the Bd. of Rev. in this
means they adopt for buying Khilats
have correct & safe data for
deciding what is the value of such articles.
See report to them. They may even now be
in error - and a discrepancy with the Raja as
to the worth of what he got from the Bd. would
be an unnecessary discrepancy.

The retention of this Bd. Collector of what the
Raja has been - may be sanctioned
22 Oct 67.

I should be disposed to
return the 5000.

W. H. Hall

H. R.

The Rajah is a person
of great fortune and
distinction. I would not
peradiscipin with
him concerning a sum
which is insignificant
on some future occasion.
I would take care to
make the present
fully equivalent to
the sum he has now
paid in. P.

W 2985 Rev. Sept

Proceedings of the Madras Fort
Under date the 18th Nov. 1869

Read the following
Proc^s of the Board of Revenue

Subm^d letter from Coll^r
requesting sanction for retention
of proceeds given by Venkataswamy
Rajah to by C. L. Chandraswamy
Mordely, of Melore Dist when he
delivered the Khilaat, stating
that Rs 1000 has been paid into
the Treasury by the Rajah as
Muzgar.

N^o 4th Oct 1869, 7362

will
N^o 4th Oct 1869

It would appear
that the Rajah of Ven-
kataswamy is under a
misconception as to the
value of the Khilaat
sent to him, which it
was not the intention
of Govt to reduce. A
suitable occasion may
perhaps be found
hereafter.

hereafter for reimbursing
him for that portion of
the *Suzer* which he
has paid over and above
the value of the *Shilang*

2. The Govt permits
the Dy Collr to retain
the articles presented
to him by the *Rajah*,
which are noted in
para 3 of the Collector's
letter.

Edw

14 To the Board of Directors 17 Nov

Edw

Edw

338/100
103/100
100/100