M.R.O. 1—38,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2973 Press Mis.

162-163

Dated 16th November 1869

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 162. Read the following letter from the Deputy-Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—General,) to the Acting Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department, dated Simla, 12th October 1869, No. 10 A—G:—

I AM directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 2,395, dated 23rd August 1869, requesting that the orders of the Government of Madras, authorizing a refund of Rupees 93,290 from Imperial to Local Funds on account of the expenditure incurred on the road between Vettanum and Dindigul, may be allowed to stand.

2. In reply I am to express the regret of the Government of India that this request cannot be acceded to. The ground on which it is made is, that inasmuch as the Government of India ordered a refund of the income derived from the Delta canals in 1863-65, which had been credited, in the first instance, to Local Funds, it would be unfair to ignore the claims of Local Funds to a refund of expenditure stated to have been erroneously incurred from them. But the Governor-General in Council thinks that the cases are not analogous. The refund of the income derived from the Delta canals was merely the rectification of an error of the Accounts Department in crediting certain revenue to Local instead of to Imperial Funds. The other case is one of expenditure deliberately sanctioned from Local Funds by the Government of Madras, and provided for as such in the Madras Local Fund Public Works Budget-Estimate. And since there is no hard line of distinction between Local and Imperial roads, it would appear perfectly justifiable to debit Local Funds with the outlay on a line of communication which is at the most provincial in its character. And I am accordingly to request that, for the reasons given in my letter, No. 502-A, dated 24th June 1869, the debit made on this account to Local Funds may be allowed to stand.

No. 163. ORDER THEREON, 16th November 1869, No. 2,973.

Local Funds, No. 10.
Account.

From Government of India, dated 24th June 1869, No. 502-A.
To do., 23rd August 1869, No. 2,395.

ORDERED to be communicated to the Board of Revenue together with copies of the papers noted in the margin, for their information.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Public Works Department.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

ground" on which it is made is that

is requested that the
to this letter be ad-
ed to the Secretary to
Government of India
e P. W. Department

No. 10 A—9.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT.

General.

To,

The Secretary to the Government of
Madras,
Revenue Department.

Simla, 12th October, 1869.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 2395 dated 23rd August 1869, requesting that the orders of the Government of Madras authorizing a refund of Rs 93,290 from Imperial to Local funds on account of the expenditure incurred on the road between Vettiamum and Dindigul may be allowed to stand.

2. In reply I am to express the regret of the Government of India that this request cannot be acceded to. The ground on which it is made is that

[illegible] 1869 [illegible]

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated November, 1869.

Read

G. O., 10th November 1869, No. 2,978.

NOVEMBER.

Nos. 162-163.

Local between Vettiaum and Dindigul.—Letter from Government of India, declining to authorize a refund from Imperial to Local Funds of the expenditure incurred on this road, communicated to Board of Revenue.

inasmuch as the Government of India ordered a refund of the income derived from the Delta Canals in 1863/65, which had been credited in the first instance to Local funds, it would be unfair to ignore the claims of Local funds to a refund of expenditure stated to have been erroneously incurred from them. But the Governor General in Council thinks that the cases are not analogous. The refund of the income derived from the Delta Canals was merely the rectification of an error of the accounts Department in crediting certain revenue to Local instead of to Imperial funds. The other case is one of expenditure deliberately sanctioned from Local funds by the Government of Madras, and provided for as such in the Madras Local fund Public Works Budget Estimate. And since there is no ~~hard~~ line of distinction between Local and Imperial

Revenue Department

No. 2973.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 16th November 1869.

Read the following letter from the Govt. of India. P. W. Dept.

(R. & 12th Oct. 1869 No. 10 Rg)

Stating that the request to allow the orders of the Madras Govt. to stand sanctioning a refund from Imperial to Local Funds on account of the expenditure incurred on the road between Vellanmunth Dindigul, cannot be acceded to.—

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue together with copies of the papers noted in the margin, for their information.

From Govt. of India 3/24th June/69. No. 502 A.

To Do. 23rd Augt. 1869 No. 2395.

To the Board of Revenue and the Secretary to Govt. P. W. Dept.
2d Do. Public Works Department
6 Miscellaneous Records

Ex Order