M.R. O. 1—32,000—16-10-99.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2757	Press ~~Mis.~~
114-115-116	
Dated 9th October 1899.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2757 MW

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 9th October 1869.

Read the following:—

No. 114. From MEER GHULAM HOOSSAIN, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 1st June 1869.

I HAVE the honour to forward herewith a Petition (in triplicate), to the address of His Grace the Duke of Argyll, K.T., Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, for submission to His Excellency the Governor in Council, and to request you will lay the same before Government with all the papers relating to the Mootaliquans of North Arcot, granted by the Mahomedan Rulers and resumed by the British in 1801, when the administration of the country was made over to them.

No. 115. From the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 14th August 1869, No. 6,060.

WITH reference to the Under-Secretary's official memorandum of the 18th June 1869, I am directed to state that the allowance referred to in Meer Ghulam Hoossain's Memorial, which was originally granted to Meer Mahomed Hoossain, is entered in the Moyen Zabitah of North Arcot for Fusly 1221, amongst the allowances of "Suttavaid and Pulicat Hereditary Pensioners." Separate lists are there given of Hereditary, Service, and Life Pensions.

2. In the Madras Moyen Zabitah for Fuslies 1223—25 it is entered amongst the "Mootaliquan or Hereditary Pensions of Suttavaid District," and the names of the members of the family of Hazi Mahomed Hoossain, to whom the allowance had been continued, are given. It is there stated that "the new Purwanah is not yet granted."

3. Hence, up to this time or up to 1815 this allowance as well as other similar Mootaliquan grants had been considered hereditary.

4. In that year the nature of Mootaliquan grants attracted the Board's attention. They are thus described in a Report subsequently received by the Board with regard to Mootaliquan Dehat Inams: "It appears that under the former Government all such Inams, even if declared to be hereditary, were resumable, and were actually resumed on the demise of the incumbents, or on the accession of a new sovereign, and that they were sometimes renewed entirely, sometimes partially, but never were deemed irrevocable."

5. In 1815 the Board issued the following order:—"You will clearly understand that the shares of Mootaliquan are not to be continued to their respective descendants or connections without special authority for that purpose, which will be granted only for special reasons."

C. O., 31st July 1815.
Vide note at page 273 of Circular Orders of the Board of Revenue, from 1820 to 1850.

6. In an order of Government, dated the 4th June 1839, No. 704, the Board were directed to consider and report whether there was any objection to the rules established by them on the 31st July 1815, for regulating Mootaliquan Yeomiahs being made applicable to Mootaliquan Dehat Inams. On receiving the Board's

reply, the Government resolved, in their order of the 24th September 1839, No. 1,204, that the Rules of the 31st July 1815 should be extended to Mootaliquan Dehat Inams.

7. Hence, although it cannot be shown from the records that Government were consulted with regard to Mootaliquan Yeomiahs in 1815, it is certain that they approved of the orders which were then issued by the Board.

8. It was no doubt in accordance with those orders that the allowance of the Memorialist was entered as a life pension in the list considered in Extract Minutes of Consultation, 8th June 1847.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
9th October, 1869.

No. 2,757.

No. 116.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Miscellaneous.

SIR,—I AM directed to forward to the Government of India a Memorial from Meer Ghulam Hoossain, a Yeomiahdar, for transmission to the Secretary of State for India, under Clause III. of the revised Memorial Rules.

2. Memorialist complains of the non-continuance to him of a pension of Rupees 18 per mensem which was enjoyed by his father.

3. He is correct in stating, that from the accession of the British Government down to the year 1815, the allowance enjoyed by his family, which belongs to a class known as Mootaliquan Dehat Inams, had always been deemed hereditary; but in that year the nature of these grants attracted attention. It was discovered "that" to quote the report then submitted "under the former Govern-"ment, all such Inams, even if declared to be hereditary, were resumable and were "actually resumed on the demise of the incumbents, or on the accession of a new "sovereign, and that they were sometimes renewed entirely, sometimes partially, "but never were deemed irrevocable."

4. Accordingly on the death of Memorialist's father who inherited the allowance in 1814, it was resumed.

5. The Governor in Council considers that the perpetuation of petty allowances which are of a purely charitable nature, is undesirable in all cases where their resumption would not be in clear contravention of the original grant; and he has therefore no recommendation to make on Memorialist's behalf.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue Depart.
9th October 1823

No. 2757.

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of India
Financial Dept.

Sir

I am directed to for-
ward to the Govt of India a
Memorial from Meer Ghoolam
Woossain a Trinidadian, for
transmission to the Sec. of
State for India under Clause
III of the revised Memorial
Rules.

2. Memorialist complains
of the non continuance to him
of a pension of 1 Pr. 18 per men-
sem which was enjoyed by his
father.

3. He is correct in stating
that from the accession of the
British Govt down to the
year 1815 the allowance enjoyed
by his family which belongs to
a class known as Moottaliquars

Debat

Received 1820 Department.

Commissioners
Bd of Control
Auditors
Accountants
Examiners
Registers
Secretary
Chief Clerk
Assistant

Dehat Inams had always
been deemed hereditary. But
in that year the nature of these
grants attracted attention. It
was discovered that "to quote the
report then submitted "under
"the former Govt, all such Inams
"were if declared to be hereditary,
"were resumable and were ac-
"tually resumed on the demise
"of the incumbents or on the ac-
"cession of a new Sovereign, and
"that they were sometimes re-
"newed entirely, sometimes par-
"tially, but never were deemed
"irrevocable".

4. Accordingly on the
death of Memorialist's father
who inherited the allowance
in 1814, it was resumed.

5. The Govt in Council
considers that the perpetuation
of petty allowances which are
of a purely charitable nature
is undesirable in all cases
where their resumption would
not

41

not be in clear contravention
of the original grant, and he
has therefore no recommenda-
tion to make on Memorialists
behalf.

90
13

Edwards