

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

2487

Press

*11/12**66-457*

Dated

2nd September

1889

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd September 1869.*

No. 44. Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Nellore, 27th July 1869, No. 1,440 :—

I HAVE the honour to submit the report called for on the enclosed petition No. 579 of 1869, presented to Government by the thirteen persons named in the margin.

1. Chetti Sangana Setti.
2. Peta Chellappa Setti.
3. Polam Chellappa Setti.
4. Kullukara Chengadu.
5. Andari Muttappa Setti.
6. Bhimadu.
7. Tada Veerasami.
8. Kalisetti Ramudu.
9. Tummami Chengadu.
10. Kuppi Chelladu.
11. Chinnakari Kotudu.
12. Chetti Naraidu.
13. Yenunru Swami.

2. The petitioners are boatmen and fishermen of Striharikottah, and complain of the tax imposed upon them, under Act XXI. of 1867, in 1867 and 1868, and, under Act IX. of 1868, in 1868 and 1869.

3. In 1867 and 1868, the second, third, and fourth petitioners were assessed Rupees 10, and the rest at Rupees 4 each; while in 1868 and 1869 they were all taxed at Rupees 8 each.

4. It appears from the report of the Deputy-Tahsildar that petitioners, Nos. 2 and 6, are the owners of three boats each; the rest of the petitioners, with the exception of Nos. 3, 8, and 10, who have no boats, own a small boat each.

5. The petitioners do not appear to have appealed against the assessment in the manner provided by the Act under which they were taxed; but, under the circumstances, I would recommend the tax collected in 1868 and 1869 from the third, eighth, and tenth petitioners, who have no boats at all, should be refunded to them.

No. 45. ORDER THEREON, 2nd September 1869, No. 2,487.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Board of Revenue for any remarks which they may have to offer.

2. Adverting to their Proceedings, dated 1st May 1869, No. 1,189, the Government observe that the large scope which the Income-tax Act affords to the discretion of Revenue officers, may possibly lead to a want of uniformity in enforcing its provisions in the several districts. The Government therefore take this opportunity of requesting the Board to submit, at their earliest convenience, a comparative statement showing the amount collected up to date in each district under the various heads embodied in Schedule A. of the Act.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,

Rev^d Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the August 18 69.

Read the following
letter from the Dy. Col^o
of a letter.

Subj. the report called
for on the subject of petition being
of 1869 presented to Gov^{ty} by
the fishermen and boatmen of
the fishery of the
Lop imposed upon them.

(H. C. 2 of July 69 has been)

The Government
resolves as a special order
to authorize the report of
the two committees under
date 18 of April 1869
and the following
from the letter of the

CONFIDENTIAL (1869) JULY 18 69
REVENUE
THE BOARD OF REVENUE
SINGAPORE
1869
To the Dy. Col^o of Madras
1869

sent. That the
 License Act is
 no longer in force -
 the way for
 the income tax
 from the 1st April
 last. — W.D.A.

I have been whole
 in the above subject, to
 suggest to you that we
 should use the 10th of June
 to submit a brief statement showing
 the position of the Province, thereby
 showing the public a case that is interesting
 and that has a claim to the public mind
 and a large & is an animated that
 has captured the attention & great interest
 of the public. The effort is to this that will
 and to any kind of state and it will be
 in the public mind that the first
 step should be taken. Any kind of a then one
 to be made. I would suggest in the
 statement the use of the word
 responsibility.

I have doubts about the reliability of
the course we are taking in this matter.
The red document we have elicited
by inquiry - says it would have been passed
in 1911. I don't see, however, that
it has been now too late - indeed it is better
for an opinion. W.D.K.

Managers

I hope the more regular business
would be to refer the letters to Mr. Brown
for their remarks.

J. C. Brown

inherent to the detailed
simulation of the detailed
structure. What amount has been
in fact involved.

The following is a list of the
 names of the persons who
 were present at the meeting
 of the Board of Directors
 of the American Museum of
 Natural History, held on
 the 10th day of June, 1864.
 The names are given in
 alphabetical order.