

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

2487

Press

*11/12**66-457*

Dated

2nd September

1889

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd September 1869.*

No. 44. Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Nellore, 27th July 1869, No. 1,440 :—

I HAVE the honour to submit the report called for on the enclosed petition No. 579 of 1869, presented to Government by the thirteen persons named in the margin.

1. Chetti Sangana Setti.
2. Peta Chellappa Setti.
3. Polam Chellappa Setti.
4. Kullukara Chengadu.
5. Andari Muttappa Setti.
6. Bhimadu.
7. Tada Veerasami.
8. Kalisetti Ramudu.
9. Tummami Chengadu.
10. Kuppi Chelladu.
11. Chinnakari Kotudu.
12. Chetti Naraidu.
13. Yenunru Swami.

2. The petitioners are boatmen and fishermen of Striharikottah, and complain of the tax imposed upon them, under Act XXI. of 1867, in 1867 and 1868, and, under Act IX. of 1868, in 1868 and 1869.

3. In 1867 and 1868, the second, third, and fourth petitioners were assessed Rupees 10, and the rest at Rupees 4 each; while in 1868 and 1869 they were all taxed at Rupees 8 each.

4. It appears from the report of the Deputy-Tahsildar that petitioners, Nos. 2 and 6, are the owners of three boats each; the rest of the petitioners, with the exception of Nos. 3, 8, and 10, who have no boats, own a small boat each.

5. The petitioners do not appear to have appealed against the assessment in the manner provided by the Act under which they were taxed; but, under the circumstances, I would recommend the tax collected in 1868 and 1869 from the third, eighth, and tenth petitioners, who have no boats at all, should be refunded to them.

No. 45. ORDER THEREON, 2nd September 1869, No. 2,487.

Miscellaneous.

COMMUNICATED to the Board of Revenue for any remarks which they may have to offer.

2. Adverting to their Proceedings, dated 1st May 1869, No. 1,189, the Government observe that the large scope which the Income-tax Act affords to the discretion of Revenue officers, may possibly lead to a want of uniformity in enforcing its provisions in the several districts. The Government therefore take this opportunity of requesting the Board to submit, at their earliest convenience, a comparative statement showing the amount collected up to date in each district under the various heads embodied in Schedule A. of the Act.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,

Rev^d Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the August 18 69.

Read the following
letter from the Dy. Col^o
of a letter.

Subj. the report called
for on the subject of petition being
of 1869 presented to Gov^{ty} by
the fishermen and boatmen of
the fishery of the
for imposed upon them.

(H. C. 2 of July 69 has been)

The Government
resolves as a special order
to authorize the report of
the two committees under
date 18 of April 1869
and the following
from the letter of the

CONFIDENTIAL (1869) JULY 18 69
REVENUE
THE BOARD OF REVENUE
SINGAPORE
1869
To the Dy. Col^o of Madras
1869

best. That the
License Act is
no longer in force.
It made way for
the income tax
from the 1st April
last. — W.D.N.

[illegible]

These doubts about the validity of
the course we are taking in this matter
are unfounded. The evidence we have collected
by report, and by direct observation, is
so abundant that it is impossible to doubt
the truth of the facts. The only
question now is to take action to
prevent further loss.

The first thing I noticed
 would be to visit the lake with the
 for their recreation. ~~Off~~
 The first thing I noticed
 for the first time in the
 embraced the detailed
 circulation of the lake
 and the lake was
 a lake.

[illegible]