

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	<i>2464-A</i>	Press
	<i>1-4</i>	<i>115</i>
Dated	<i>12 September 1913</i>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st September 1869.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 1. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th July 1869, No. 5,409:—

Read the following letter from the Officiating Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 25th June 1869, No. 194.

I HAVE the honour to forward herewith (with a translation) an original petition from Vasareddy Kamakshemma, daughter of the late Rajah Vasareddy Lakshmeapati Naidoo, praying that she may be allowed to receive separately her share of the pension of Rupees 750 per mensem, granted to her step-mother, the widow of the late Rajah, for the support of the widow and daughter of the deceased.

2. It appears that the petitioner, who is of age and unmarried, is unable to get on with her step-mother, and is anxious to have a separate allowance for her maintenance. The petitioner was at present residing at Conjeeveram in the Madras District.

3. The pension referred to was granted by Government in G. O. dated 25th May 1866, No. 1,255, Revenue Department.

Enclosure No. 1.—Petition and its translation.

This letter will be submitted for the orders of Government.

2. It relates to a claim made by Kamakshemma, daughter of the late Vasareddy Lakshmeapati Naidoo, to the separate enjoyment of a share of the allowance granted to her step-mother, the surviving widow, for the support of herself and her step-daughter in Government order, 25th May 1866, No. 1,255.

3. She states that she has been compelled to leave her step-mother's house because she was ill-treated by her, and had reason to apprehend that her life was in danger.

4. Kamakshemma made a similar application in 1866, but after full inquiry had been made the Government rejected it. Her allegations as to the cruelty of her step-mother were disproved, and it appeared that she, a child of fourteen, had been induced to leave her step-mother's house by the intrigues of others.

5. The matter wears a different aspect now that Kamakshemma has reached the age of seventeen, and must be considered able to judge for herself, and the Board would recommend the Government to allow her to draw some portion of the allowance separately. In no other way does it appear that peace can be expected.

6. As to the amount which should be granted, the Board observe that petitioner's step-mother is a widow, and will be dependent upon the allowance till she dies, whereas Kamakshemma will probably marry.

7. Kamakshemma seems to wish that half the allowance should be paid to her in arrears from the date of the sanction of Government, that is, the 25th May

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st September 1869.*

1866, though the petition is not quite clear on this point. The Board consider this demand quite intolerable.

No. 2. From RAJAH VASSEREDDY RUNGAMMAH.

Praying, under the circumstances, that out of the half share of the land paid to the late Rajah, Rs. 700 may be paid for the liquidation of debt incurred on account of funeral expenses. (Here enter 6th July 1869.)

No. 3. From VASSEREDDY VENKATA LUTCHUMMAH, widow of the late VASSEREDDY LAKSHMIPARTINADOO BAHADOOR.

Reporting that she has adopted a son, and praying that the existing stipend of Rs. 750 may be continued to her as heretofore. (Here enter 1st August 1869.)

No. 4. Order number 1st September 1869 No. 2466A.

Misallation.

The Government reserves to continue to pay to Venkata Lutchumma the existing stipend of Rs. 750.

For adoption of a son, as for no other.

The Government, said, that the said petition is refused.

For the reasons.

Respectfully,
A. DALYELL.

Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Deputy Secretary,
Madras Government.

1869. 1. 10. 1869.

allowance out of
petitioner's allowance
to her late & dep.
daughter Hamat.
Chee Eumak who
died on the 7th June
last & the Board
has recommended
the same to Court
prays that as the
said Hamatka
Eumak died the
allowance may
be continued to her
as heretofore.

by the Decree of
Her Majesty's Privy
Council dated 2^d
March 1848 Rs 750
has been granted
to her. That in
pursuance of an
authority given to
her by her late hus-
band she adopted
a son named Ven-
kata Latchma. Na
Casimha. Vaidoo
who is prosecuting
his studies in the
Vepey mission school
at Madras.

Understanding
that the collector of
Krishna District
recommended to the
Board of Revenue
a grant of separate
Rs 750

~~Rs 880 of 1869~~
No. 2677A
From Vasireddy
Venkata Lutcham-
mah, widow of the
late Vasireddy
Lakshmi pathi
Vaidoo Bahadur
Mannay Sultan
residing at Triplic-
ane Madras.
Dated 27th August 1869
Recd 3rd Sept
Represents that
out of the monthly
allowance of Rs 1000
continued to her
late husband
as the only lawful
heir to the Vasireddy
estates as recognized
3.

Memo for Anderson #2567

Respectfully, I am
informing you that
the late Ralph Anderson
Lathrop, Jr. and his daughter
are now in the
Lathrop family home
The Government is
interested in the
will be a good thing
granted a number of
dollars of the property
in the Lathrop family
I think only that the
Government is interested

[illegible]

the direct management
of the Collector.
b. Then ensued a
period of maladministration
such as has rarely been
witnessed under the British
rule. But all passed
followed the collection
fell off more and more,
and the revenue became
larger and larger for
in consequence of neglect
the income appeared
to have at that time fallen
the more of course taken
on the station from
year to year till it had
almost sunk down to

[illegible]

the President
in reminding me that
between his American
job when he was in
terms of the great
been strictly addressed
the President would be
have had - But
the President's who
father was not blind
able for the
which had a
the description of the
but, he having been
out of his right

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 1st September 1869

Read the following papers.

Proceedings Board of Revenue.

Submitting for perusal Collet of
Pudukottai Dist^y forwarding petition from
Kama Akshamma daughter of deceased pray-
ing to be allowed to receive separately her share
of the pension granted by Govt. to the widow
of the late Rajah Vasseraddy Lakshman-
patti Naider of that District.

(H.C. 26th July 1869 No 3407)

From Rajah Vasseraddy Ran-
gammah.

Praying under the circumstances
that out of the 100 shares due to Carnat-
chamma on the allowance of Rs. 750
the sum of Rs. 4,800 may be paid for the
liquidation of debt incurred.

(H.C. 6th July 1869)

From Vasseraddy Venkata
Lakshammah widow of the late
Vasseraddy Lakshmanpatti Naider
Rajahdore.

Reporting that she has
adopted a son and praying that
the existing stipend of Rs. 750
may be continued to her as her
pension.

(H.C. 2nd August 1869)

The Government
resolved to continue to
petitioner Vasseraddy

Towards Lulchannah the
existing depend of N. 750.

2 Her adoption of
a son calls for no order.

3. The Government
learn that Kanakahem
was deceived.

Upe

110

14. To the Hon. Sec. of the Interior
Washington D.C.