

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2379	Press
		His
<u>300-301</u>		
Dated	20 th August	1869.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 300. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th July 1869, No. 5,393 :—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Bellary, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 9th June 1869, No. 129.

I HAVE the honour to inform you that the amount of arrears of pension sanctioned by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings, No. 3,230, dated 20th May 1862, to be paid to Badisivalingapah, has now been ordered to be disbursed to him; the Deputy Collector on general duties having in his Docket No. 63, dated 7th April last, reported that he mustered the Yeomiahdar on the said date. The cause of the delay in disbursing the amount in question is attributable to an accidental omission on the part of the Account Department of this office to communicate to the Tahsildar the sanction of the Board for the same.

I beg to submit the accompanying statement of application for the arrears of pension due to the said Yeomiahdar up to May 1869, and beg that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the disbursement of the same. The Deputy Collector reports having mustered the Yeomiahdar on the 7th April last.

3. This accumulation of arrears is entirely owing to the oversight above alluded to in this office. The Yeomiahdar is in no way responsible for the non-settlement of the same subsequent to 1862.

STATEMENT showing the amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Yeomiahdar, in the Talook of Hurpukhully, in the district of Bellary.

No. of certificate.	Name of the Yeomiahdar.	Age of the claimant.		Whether the Yeomiahdar's appearance corresponds with the descriptive roll kept in the office.	Amount of pension, etc., per annum.	Date of Government authority.	Nature of the grant.	Period for which arrears are due.	Total amount of arrears.	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue.	Order of Government.
		Years.	Months.									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
67	Badisivalingapah.	40		Corresponds.	Rupees 4-13-0 per annum or Rupees 58-5-0 per annum.	15th October 1852.	This pension was granted in lieu of the deduction from the Kistbandi Heriz, which was allowed at the time of the survey on the resumption of two villages named Badu and Boodihall.	7 years and 2 months, from April 1862 to May 1869.	RS. A. P. 417 14 6	The Yeomiahdar not having applied for his allowance owing to the sanction of the Board of Revenue, conveyed in their Order, dated 20th May 1862, not having been communicated to Tahsildar.		

(Signed) H. E. SULLIVAN,

Collector.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th August 1869.

SUBMITTED for the Orders of Government.

2. The Board recommend that the Collector be authorised to disburse to Badisivalingapah, subject to the audit of the Accountant-General, Rupees 417-14-6, being arrears of pension due to him from 1st April 1862 to 31st May 1869, or seven years two months, at the rate of Rupees 58-5-0 per annum.

3. This allowance is one of those continued under Government Order, dated 15th October 1852, No. 1,013, and it having fallen into arrears for fourteen months ending 31st March 1862, owing to the illness of the incumbent, the Board in Proceedings, dated 20th May 1862, No. 3,230, sanctioned the disbursement of the arrears amounting to Rupees 68-0-6.

4. Government will perceive from the Collector's present communication, that the arrears for which sanction is now needed, have accumulated entirely through an oversight in the Collector's office, and are in no way attributable to the pensioner.

No. 301.

ORDER THEREON, 20th August 1869, No. 2,379.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED.

2. The Government observe that protracted negligence of this nature on the part of the Collector's subordinates should not be lightly passed over. Accumulation of the arrears of a Yeomiahdar's pension during a period of more than seven years may be the result of mere carelessness, but other causes which cannot be guarded against without great watchfulness are sometimes at work. In the present case, the Government consider that the neglect of the individual or individuals who are to blame for the arrears should be visited in some way, and desire that the Collector will inform them, through the Board, of the nature of the punishment which he has awarded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS,

Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Frd. E. C. Shunker.

Rev. Dep't: No 2589 of 1869.

The mere sanction of payment of more than seven years arrears of payment, seems an unwarranted disposal of their com^{rs}.

The person principally concerned, is a Yeoman entitled to an allowance of a trifle less than 5 Rupees monthly. His right to this dates from the beginning of the present century and arose out of the exemption of two villages &c. at that time he engaged on his personal representation. The allowance became the subject of an order of the Board dated 20 May 1862, from which date it should have been disbursed to the Yeoman as provided. But there has been no such disbursement, and as a collector cannot pay more than 2 years arrears, the matter of the seven years arrears has come before Govt. — The fault lies with a member of the Joint Account Dept^t. who failed to comply with the G. Order to the local Magistrate. Now, it is in matters of this kind that subordinates in our civil offices are enabled to make money in excess of their lawful salary.

It is to be regretted that protracted negligence of this nature on the part of the Collector's subordinates should not be lightly passed over. Accumulations of the arrears of a

The clerk concerned manages to intimate to the individual or family concerned, that he has their little affair in hand & that some consideration will cause it to be expedited. If this be not paid, often & often the subject drops and the recusant, Goundar, Sthendray, or Cudsonar, or whoever that should be, suffers from wilful delay, which, when complained of by a plucky individual or otherwise brought forward, is put down to an "accidental omission", an oversight, clerical, or some other cause of office.

In the present case I think the Collector should be directed to punish the person or persons, whose omission, the Goundar has for seven or eight long years been kept out of his possession of Rs. 4000 a month. Probably he declined to give the usual douceur. Whether or not, the accountants are at fault - as they are paid to do what they have not done, he or they should suffer. The Collector should report the punishment awarded & to whom it is awarded.

8 August 1869.

I agree W.D.
N. [Signature]

C. B. [Signature] R. 18. [Signature]

Revenue Department

No. 2379

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 20. Aug. 1869.

Read the following
Proc. of the S. & of Revenue

Recommending to authorize
payment of arrears of arrears
amounting to Rs. 417 14-6 due to
1 Bedivalingapale of the same
hully, Taluq, Bellary District,
from 1st April 1862 to 31st May 1869

MR 21. July 1869, 5393.

1. Sanctioned.
2. The Government observe that protracted negligence of this nature on the part of the Collector's subordinates should not be lightly passed over. Accumulation of the arrears of a

W.D.

Offomiah's pension
during a period of more
than seven years may be
the result of more careless-
ness, but other causes
which cannot be guarded
against without great
watchfulness are some-
times at work. In the
present case the Court
consider that the neglect
of the individual or
individuals who are to
blame for the arrears
should be visited in some
way, and desire that the
Collector will inform them
through the Board of
the nature of the punish-
ment which he has awarded.

14. To the Secy of the Depy
6 - New York

Wm. D. W.