

## MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT.

|               |                         |                          |
|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| G.O. No.      | 2364                    | Press<br><del>Misc</del> |
| <u>271-72</u> |                         |                          |
| Dated         | 18 <sup>th</sup> August | 1863.                    |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
18th August 1869.*

No. 271. Read the following letter from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Camp at Chingleput, 16th July 1869, No. 1,535-46 :—

At the request of Mr. Gompertz, Acting Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey, the matter being one of great importance to him (as shown in the latter part of paragraph 7 of his letter), I venture to submit a communication from that gentleman on the subject of my recommendation, that he should be promoted to the higher rate of pay as First Assistant Superintendent, disposed of in G. O., No. 1,660, of the 11th June 1869.

2. For my opinion, I have the honour to refer to my letter forming the subject of the G. O. above quoted, and will therefore only observe with regard to paragraphs 4 and 5 of Mr. Gompertz's letter, that the promise of promotion was purely conditional.

No. 272. ORDER THEREON, 18th August 1869, No. 2,364.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government see no reason to modify the terms of their Order, dated 11th June 1869, No. 1,660.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS,  
*Chief Secretary.*

To the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

Ed. E. C. Shuckcr.

Revenue Separation

Memo. for orders  
on No. 2421 -  
H

In 1865 the salaries of the officers of the Revenue Survey, were brought under the consideration of the Govt of India, and in this Resolution dated 7th October 1865 printed in Madras G.O. 11th November 1865 No. 2732 they decided (para 10 & 11) that the proposals of the Madras Govt could not be sanctioned, but observed (para 12) that if any of the civil officers of the Survey, were considered particularly deserving of an increase of salary & special commendation might be made in their favor. In accordance with the permission H

REVENUE

THE ATTENDANT OF

REVENUE

REVENUE

REVENUE

REVENUE

REVENUE

granted in this resolution,  
Colonel Priestley proposed  
in his letter of 30<sup>th</sup> April  
last, records in 30<sup>th</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> \*  
June 1869, no 1660 that the  
permanent salary of Mr.  
Comper, 1<sup>st</sup> Assistant  
Superintendent in Kainjain  
should be raised from  
Rs 500 (500 + 75 tentage + 75  
personal allowance) to  
Rs 650, consolidated  
per month, retro-  
spectively from the 1<sup>st</sup> April  
1868.

The Govt in their  
order just quoted de-  
cline to accede to Col.  
Priestley's recommendation.  
As the Govt of India has  
specially granted a special  
allowance of Rs 75 to Mr.  
Comper and as that offi-  
cer had been recently  
appointed to act as  
Superintendent.

Colonel Priestley  
now submits a letter from  
Mr. Comper requesting  
a reconsideration of this  
order because he is  
compelled to leave the  
service.

Lobson that the  
order of "leave by order on the  
order of the Government" is  
a recommendation for  
Mr. Comper's "leave" would be  
"quite futile under present  
circumstances". - W.D.

Col. has written  
proposed here  
to "was purely  
oral" W.D.

cannot weigh  
the order. Mr.  
Lobson had believed  
that the order was  
a recommendation for  
Mr. Comper's "leave" would be  
"quite futile under present  
circumstances". - W.D.

not much the order  
the order is  
difficult one  
W.D. Paper

one who has  
written W.D.

and it will make a  
great difference to him  
whether he does so on a  
consolidated salary of Rs  
500 instead of a salary  
of Rs 500 with allowance  
and further because the  
promise made to him by  
Colonel Priestley in 1869  
to recommend him for the  
increased rate of allow-  
ance was an induc-  
ement which led him  
to remain in the service  
rather than  
adopt the profession  
of a barrister.

It remains for  
Govt to decide whether  
under these circum-  
stances they will ac-  
cede to this order  
and if so, whether they  
will recommend the  
higher rate of pay to be  
given in arrears as re-  
quired - it is submitted  
that the case is a hard  
one and that Mr. Com-  
per should not be  
made to suffer because  
Colonel Priestley was  
unable to do his  
duty.

promised visit to San-  
-jour - Had he done  
so in the early part  
of 1868 as he intended,  
the present communica-  
-tion would then have  
been made -



*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Small handwritten mark or signature]*

*Handwritten notes on the left page, mostly illegible due to fading and bleed-through.*

Under Sec-  
Administration

Revenue Department

No 2364

Proceedings of the Madras Govt  
under date the 28<sup>th</sup> Aug 1869

Read the fol-  
lowing letter from the  
Supt of Revenue Survey.

Submitting a  
communication from Mr.  
Comptroller, Supt of  
Revenue Survey on the sub-  
ject of declassification  
that he should be promoted  
to the higher rate of pay as  
1st class Supt, and stating  
that the promise of promotion  
was purely conditional.

(D.L. 16<sup>th</sup> July 1869,  
No. 1565/46)

The Govt all  
no reason to modify the  
terms of this order dated  
11<sup>th</sup> June 1869, No 1660-  
C. H.

13 To the Secy of the Survey  
and the Secy of the Revenue

Edwards

