

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	954	Press ~~Mis~~
223 Dated	8-4-	1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th April 1868.*

No. 223.

ORDER THEREON, 8th April 1868, No. 954.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Government concur in opinion with the Board that the subdivision and transfer cannot be recognized until registered and the assessment distributed, as required by Section 8, Regulation XXV of 1802.

2. It appears that the grants in question were made by the Rani, and it would seem matter for consideration, if registration be claimed, whether by Hindu law she had not incapacitated herself from performing any such act, by the adoption which she states that she made of Poonoosawmy Taver's brother.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

registered at the office of the Collector, and unless the public assessment shall have been previously determined and fixed on such separate portions of land, by the Collector.

(True Copies and Extract.)

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of Madura.

Ed. J. Wright.

On 7 Sept. 1863 No. 93794
30 Oct. " " " 1341370
11 Dec. " " " 187-188
24 " " " 1421-1422
12 Sept. 1864 191-192
27 Feb. 1865 390391

Secretary.

Rev. 1868. Department.
No of Cont. for April

No. 907.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 14th March 1868.

Resp 19
Recd 21st.

No. 1,882.

S. C. 1868 907-804pl

Submitting for orders with remarks, letter from Collector of Madura requesting instructions as to whether Supplemental Return furnished by Manager of Ramnad Zemindary with reference to Road Cess Act in which present manager is entered as Sub-division Zemindar should be accepted or rejected.

No. 222.

C. 1. 1868/1868

The Govt. Com-
we in opinion with the
3rd that the sub-division
and transfer can
not be recognised un-
till registered and the
assessment distributed
required by Section
8. Reg. 25 of 1802.
JH

Pro: of the Madras Government.

Under date the 8th apt 1868.

Read the following Pro: of the Bd. of Rev:

Submitting for orders, with remarks, letter from Bd. of Madras respecting the instructions as to whether Suppl. Returns furnished by Muzaffar of Ramnad Zemindary with refer to Road Cps set in wh: present Muzaffar is entered as sub-division Zamin- to be accepted or rejected

A.C. 14 "Month 1868 No. 1882.

The Govt. con- cur in opinion with the Bd. that the sub-division and transfer can not be recognised until registered and the assessment distributed as required by Section 8. Reg. 25 of 1802.

N.
W.H.
G.R.

1. I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 17th September last, No. 237, regarding the Supplemental Statement of the road set of the estate, forwarded with my letter No. 26 on the 10th inst. It is inadvisable, in consequence of Ponnasamy Thayar's name being entered in the returned Landholders' names, as Sub-Division Zemindary, and directing that the statement should be sent in the name of the Zemindary.

2. The circumstances of the above statement having been submitted on behalf of the Zemindary is too clear from the above letter No. 26, addressed by me as before, and the accompanying statement, moreover the income given in the above Supplemental Statement is also included in the statement submitted for the entire Zemindary together with my letter of the 29th inst.

3. If you suppose that the entry of my name in the Zemindary's account as sub-division Zemindary is open to objection, I beg to say that such supposition is not correct, because there exists no objection on the part of the Zemindary, in regard of the statement of sub-division though it may be a matter of dispute between me and the Government. The Zemindary being the person who made the statement, is bound to insert as a sub-division Zemindary in the accounts which I submit on her behalf as her agent. From such an entry, no one would infer that no matter of dispute exists between me and the Government, regarding the statement of sub-division, has been decided.

4. Under the above circumstances, I beg to retransmit the statement returned by you. If you have any objection still on your part to enter the name, I request you will submit the matter to the Board of Revenue, and, if they see, I further request that you will submit the matter also for their perusal.

(True translation)
(Signed) SESHAGIRI IYER
For Collector.

It appears that
the grants in question
were made by the same
and it would seem a
matter for consideration
if registration be
claimed - whether by
Hindu Law she had
not incapacitated her-
self from performing
any such act - by the
adoption which she
states that she made
of Ponnasami Saver's
brother. —

L.B.