

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	628	<u>Press</u> <u>Mis.</u>
¹⁶²⁻⁶⁶ Dated	10 - 3 - 1868.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th March 1863.*

Read the following :

No. 112. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st February 1863, No. 678.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Cuddalore, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th January 1863, No. 22.

1. In continuation of my letter, No. 25, of the 29th February 1863, I have the honor to inform you that the plaintiff, therein alluded to, has appealed to the Civil Court against the Lower Court's Decree, translated copy of which is enclosed, and beg to append translation of the Memorandum of Appeal.

2. The statement, for filing which sanction was recorded in Board's Proceedings, No. 198, of the 15th February 1863, will hold good in this Appeal case also.

3. I therefore request that I may be permitted to defend the Appeal Suit at the public cost.

Translation.

Decree.

District Munsiff's Court of Ceylon

2nd August 1863, Wednesday

Suit No. 102 of 1863.

Proctor.—T. V. Ponnusawmy Pillay, District Munsiff

Plaintiff.

Defendants.

Siranga Chetty, aged sixty-four years, son of Saranga Chetty, Cultivator, of Davanga caste, residing at Alagumachipuram, hamlet of Karamattampatty, village of Palladam talook, Cuddalore, Vaikal.

1. Krishna Rao, aged fifty years, son of Babaji Rao, of Brahmin caste, Tahsildar of Pulliam talook

2. Umashy Mutu Pillay, twenty-five years' old, son of Sriya Nayanam Pillay, of Vellala caste, Cultivator, and Member of Senaya Nallur, village of Pulliam talook

3. P. Gnan. The Collector of Cuddalore, on behalf of Government.

Venureshaya Aiyangar, Vaikal for the first and second defendants

Shankara Velayan Mahaling, Vaikal for the third defendant.

Plaintiff claims possession of one eighth Billa of land as family part of his father's Field No. 427, 1/8 Billa is in extent of which he has the right and enjoyment, but with houses, 2/8 Billa of land lying on the northwestern side of the Porumboko, has been annexed to it by the second defendant as per Taluk of the first defendant dated 1st December 1862.

Particulars of the land.

The plaintiff's 1/8 Billa of land, assessed at Annas 8 and Pies 0, and valued at Rs. 31-7-3, forms part of Field No. 427, 1/8 Billa in extent, which bears the name of Avaramakalottam, and which is situated north of an lity, or public way, west of Avaramakalottam, south of another lity or footpath, and east of a burning ground entered in the public accounts as a portion of a boy named Porumboko, No. 159, in Sengulathattay, hamlet of Karamattampatty, village of Pulliam talook.

2. The second defendant with cost, and the plaintiff is also charged with the costs of the 1st defendant.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this twenty-third day of August 1863.

Signed T. V. PONNUSAWMY,

Proctor.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department.
19th March 1903.

Confidentiality: D.

[illegible]

L. l.

[illegible]

Notwithstanding the fact that the above conditions have been taken into consideration, the Board of Directors shall have the right to terminate the contract at any time without any liability for damages.

[illegible]

THE T. V. DONNELLSAWMY,
Post Office Malacca

Free Trial at 99c

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

To the Hon. the Memorandums of American Congress, U.S. 42 of 1883, in the file
of the late Chief of Bureau, Mr. J. C. [unclear]

[illegible][illegible]

1. The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various committees of the Board of Directors of the American Telephone and Telegraph Company, for the year ending December 31, 1910:

1. The Lower Court proposed, Pursuant to the provisions of the above agreement, which was based on the results of its investigation and in coming to its conclusions that the Fund formed a part of the Argentine Public Debt 1927. The Appellate Court will be pleased to cause the said Fund and its ongoing Payments to be inspected by its Agents.

2. The declarations of the late Monigan, Appujayan, who was examined on behalf of the third defendant, also go to confirm the validity of the appellant's claim.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th March 1863.*

The Vakool, therefore, begs that the Appellate Court will be pleased to reverse the Decree of the Original Court and pass a just one.

(S'no.) SUBRAMANIAM AYAR,
 District.

Originally presented on the 11th October 1895, and the amended one on the 23rd October 1895.
Filed the 24th November 1895.

(Signed) G. A. HARRIS,
Circuit Judge.

(True Translation)

(Signed) P. GRANT,
Collector.

The defence of the Appeal Suit is sanctioned on the usual terms.

No. 113. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th February 1898,
No. 1,125.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 28th January 1868, No. 23.

1. Adverting to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to report that the Appeal Suit preferred against me, as Collector of Chinnabotore, by Siranga Chetty, has been dismissed by the Appellate Court, as will be seen from the accompanying copies of Judgment and Decree.

2. A statement of costs incurred in defending the suit, both in the Original and Appellate Courts, is herewith transmitted, from which it will appear that, out of the aggregate expenditure of Rupees 33-4-0, Rupees 21-6-10 have been recovered from the plaintiff, leaving a balance of Rupees 11-13-2 to be borne by Government. I request sanction for the latter sum.

JUDGMENT.

Appeal Suit No. 224 of 1865.

PRESENT.—H. D. Cook, Esq.

From the Decree of T. V. Ponnuswamy Pillay, District Munsiff of Chingleput, in Original Suit No. 162 of 1865.

	In the Court of First Instance.	In the Appellate Court.
Sirunga Chetty	 Plaintiff	App'nt.
L. Krishna Rao		Vakeel Soobramanyam.

1. Krishna Rao,	} Defendants.	Vakeel Subramanyam.
2. Inayamsetty Pillay,		Respondents.
3. P. Grant, Esq., Collector,		Vakeel Ayyanahally.

This plaint was brought for possession of one-eighth Batta of Panchal land.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th March 1868.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th March 1868.*

This Court do hereby order that the Decree of the lower Court be, and the same shall be, this Appeal dismissed with all costs.

Costs incurred by Appellant in Appeal Suit—

	RS.	A.	P.
Value of Stamped paper for Appeal Memorandum	2	0	0
Value of additional Stamped paper	0	8	0
Do. of do. for Vakalatnamah	0	8	0
Receiv. Summons' Poen	2	13	0
Plaintiff's fees	1	8	10
Costs incurred by first and second Respondents in Original Suit	7	5	10
Costs incurred by third Respondent in Original Suit	6	11	7
Do. by do. in Appeal Suit—	2	9	7
Value of Stamped paper for Vakalatnamah	1	0	0
Plaintiff's fees	1	8	10
Total Rupees	19	3	10

Of this,

Amount to be borne by Appellant	7	5	10
Do. to be paid to the first and second Respondents by do.	6	11	7
Do. to be paid to third Respondent by do.	2	9	7
Do. to be paid to Respondents by do.	2	8	10

Given under my hand and the seal of the Zillah Court of Coimbatore, this 22nd March 1867.

(Signed) H. D. COOK,
Civil Judge.

(True copy of a copy.)

(Signed) P. GRANT,
Collector.

Enclosure No. 3.

Abstract—Statement showing the costs incurred in defending the suit preferred by Siranga Chetty.

1. Submitted with copy of the Board's Proceedings, dated 1st February 1867, No. 678, for the sanction of Government.
2. The balance of cost to be borne by Government is Rupees 11-13-2.

No. 144. ORDER THEREON, 10th March 1863, No. 623.

Miscellaneous.

Sanctioned, Rupees 11-13-2.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant General.

Exl. S. T. Augustin.

