

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	494	Press Mis.
⁴⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶ Dated	22-2-	1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd February 1868.*

No. 455. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the
Public Works Department:—

Submitting further report of the Superintend-
ing Engineer, 2nd Division, on the project } (Here enter 17th February 1868, No. 535.)
for the extension of irrigation from the Pen-
nair Anicut.

No. 456. ORDER THEREON, 22nd February 1868, No. 494.

Irrigation, No. 14.

Communicated to the Revenue Board.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with Proceedings Public Works Department, No. 535.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

2

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
21st February 1868.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 454A. From the Sub-Collector of Tanjore, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated — February 1868.

I have the honor to request you will submit, to the favorable consideration of the Government, my application for a month's privilege leave in addition to, and in continuation of, the month's leave granted to me by the Board of Revenue in the "Fort St. George Gazette" of the 10th January 1868, page 47, and availed of by me on the 27th ultimo.

Referred to the Examiner of Claims, through the Board of Revenue, for the usual report.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

15th February 1868.

Secretary to Government.

Re-submitted to Government, through the Examiner of Claims, with the Board's recommendation that the leave be granted.

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

17th February 1868.

Secretary to Board of Revenue.

No. 454B. Memorandum from F. LUSHINGTON, Esq., for Examiner of Claims, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 19th February 1868, No. 10.

Ineligible to extension of privilege leave, as Mr. Vans Agnew was not twenty-two months uninterruptedly in service from date of his return from last similar leave. He overstaid his joining time from 31st December 1866 to 9th January 1867, and drew no pay or subsistence allowance for that period.

No. 454C. ORDER THEREON, 21st February 1868, No. 493 A.

Miscellaneous.

1. Mr. Vans Agnew applies for an extension of his privilege leave on the ground that he was, before ~~taking his~~

~~leave on~~ the 27th January last, entitled to two months' privilege leave. The Examiner of Claims considers Mr. Vans Agnew to be ineligible to extension of leave, on the ground that having broken his continuous service by overstaying the joining time allowed to him by the Rules in December 1866, when appointed Sub-Collector of Tanjore, he was entitled to one month's privilege leave only.

2. Mr. Vans Agnew exceeded the time allowed for joining under the mistaken supposition that the Christmas holidays, as *dies non*, were not included in that period, and as he has suffered for his mistake by loss of pay disallowed by the Audit Department, and has, irrespective of the break caused ~~thereby~~, completed twenty-two months' continuous service, the Government resolve, as a special case, to grant the extension of leave applied for.

3. It is observed that the application should have been submitted through the Collector of Tanjore.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Examiner of Claims.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 10

Ineligible to extension of Privilege

Leave, as Mr. Van Agnew was not 22 months ~~uninterruptedly~~ in Service from date of his return from last military leave. However laid his furlough time from 31st December 1866 to 9th January 1867 & drew no pay or Subsistence Allowance for that period.

F. Huntington

9th February 1868. for Documentary Evidence

To The Secy of War

Rev Secy

To Mr. Van Agnew Esq.
" Board of Revenue

Communicated to Mr. Van Agnew.

2. The extension of leave applied for cannot be granted for the reason stated by the Secy of War.

743

on the 4th Dec^r, the en-
Gazette reaching him
on the Buluey hills
on the 10th -

Mr. Agnew consi-
dered that the Christmas
holidays were 'dies non'
and therefore did not
join Regapataun till
10th January -

This was not al-
lowed by the Audit
Department, and Mr. Agnew
lost pay - He contends
that he labored under
a natural impression
as to the Christmas
holidays, and although
he does not raise the
question of his etrenche
allowances submit
that he should not
also lose his privilege
leave - In Mr. Thomas'
case a refund was
allowed -

allowed by Govt, and
such refund would
it is submitted, amount
constructively to a re-
cognition of his right
to count the time for
which such refund
was allowed, as ser-
vice qualifying for
leave - Had Mr. Kane
Agnew applied for a
similar refund he
might be considered
to be entitled to a simi-
lar indulgence -

Mr. Agnew's absence
during the Xmas holidays,
was absence without leave.
He has paid the penalty
attaching to such absence.
apart from this little
episode, he had been at
his duty for a period which
gave him a right to two
months privilege leave
and as such privilege was
acquired by 22 Nov the privilege
without any absence or
leave, I consider him
entitled to the extent of
one month for which he
has applied. W.D.

The rulings of the Govt of
India on a reference from
Bombay, are however adverse
I think to Mr. Agnew's
claim. W.D.

W.D. Ball

under the circumstances
I would grant the
leave if the
application had been
made through the proper
channels.

W493A-

Revenue Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

21st July 1868.

Read the following.

Letter from
Mr. G. Vans Agnew,
Sub Collr. of Tanjore

Applying for a month's
privilege leave in addition
to, and continuation of the
month's leave granted
him by the Board of
Revenue.

Here enter Feb 1868.

Mr. Vans Agnew
applies for an extension
of his privilege leave
on the ground that
he was, before taking
his leave on the
27th January last,
entitled to two
month's privilege leave.
The Examiner

= of

of Claims considered
Mr. Vans Agnew to
be ineligible to
extension of leave
on the ground that
having broken his
continuous service
by overstaying the
joining time allowed
to him by the rules
in December 1866,
when appointed Sub
Col. of Tanjore,
he was entitled to
one month's privilege
leave only -

2. Mr. Vans Agnew
exceeded the time
allowed for joining
under the mistaken
- supposition

supposition that the
Christmas Holidays,
as "dies non", were
not included in that
period, and as he
has suffered for
his mistake by loss
of pay disallowed
by the Audit Dept.
and has, irrespective
of the break caused
thereby, completed
22 Months continuous
service, the Govt.
resolve as a special
case to grant the
extension of leave
applied for -

3. It is observed
that the application
should have been
- submitted

Submitted through
the Collector of
Tanjore -

W.D. 78.

14

Board of Rev. Dec 28th
3 Count of Claims ✓

W.D. 78.

No. 1868. Dated
10 of Court for July 562.

Proceedings of the
P. P. D. H. H.

Dated 17th July
Received 20th 1868.
82-535.

Delivering further
re to it of the ship
large 2nd on the
subject for the pleasure
of navigation from
the Penair Street.

18494. 22 July

North and

82-494.

Communicated
to the Treasurer
Board.

713
22nd July 1868.

11. Copy of the 22nd July 1868.

18455-456.