

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	467	Press Mis.
³⁹⁷⁻³⁹⁸ Dated	19 - 2 - 1868.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled467
19/2/68

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th February 1868.*

No. 397. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th January 1868, No. 434:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 16th November 1867, No. 316.

1. With reference to the Government Order No. 2,402, dated 12th ultimo, Revenue Department, which accompanied the Board's Proceedings of the 17th idem, No. 6,783, I have the honor to explain on the subject therein alluded to, as follows:—

2. In my Supplemental District Road Fund Budget for the current year, forwarded with my letter, dated 8th May 1867, No. 99, Rupees 7,199 were entered as the expenditure incurred on the road from Nursapur to Viravasaram up to the end of the past official year, as per Progress Reports received from the Range Officer, viz., for March 1867, as shewn in the margin.

	RS.	A.	P.
For 1865-66	1,351	15	10
For 1866-67	5,846	12	2
	7,198	12	0

3. When all the Progress Reports were examined in this Office for the preparation of the Annual Statement of expenditure No. 2, which accompanied my Report on Local Funds for 1866-67, it was found that the expenditure of 1865-66 was entered as particularized below:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Net expenditure in current official year, that is, to end of April 1866	4,351	15	10
Amount expended up to end of last official year (same period as above)	4,351	15	10
(Again) expenditure for same time	1,351	15	10

From the foregoing, I considered that the expenditure up to the end of the official year, 1865-66, was Rupees 4,351-15-10, and that the figure 1,351-15-10 was a clerical mistake; because nothing was mentioned either in the column "Recoveries from current year's expenditure," or in the column of "Remarks," to account for the shortcoming of Rupees 3,000. I therefore entered in my revised Supplemental Budget for the current year, submitted with my letter of the 8th August 1867, No. 216, Rupees 10,198-12-0, as expended up to the past official year considering the 3,000 Rupees to have been expended, and requested sanction for the balance of the estimate, viz., Rupees 13,729, for expenditure in the current year.

4. I communicated the above alteration to Mr. Rundall, the Officer in charge of the Range, for his information on the 9th August last, when he explained in his letter, dated 17th August 1867, No. 292, that the sum of Rupees 3,000 referred to above, had been advanced to the Workshop for bridges, and been subsequently recovered; and deducted from his Progress Report for June 1866. I therefore sent a fresh estimate for the sanction of Rupees 16,729, with my letter, dated 22nd August 1867, No. 231, recorded in the Proceedings above quoted.

5. Under these circumstances, I request that you will be pleased to obtain sanction of Government, for the difference of Rupees 3,000 between the sum of Rupees 13,729 already sanctioned, (Item No. 3 in Supplemental Road Fund Budget in Government Order, dated 21st September 1867, No. 2,212, Revenue Department,) and Rupees 16,729 entered in the fresh estimate in question.

6. I herewith forward, for the information of Government, extracts from the Progress Reports for April, May, and June 1866, and for March 1867, and copies of the correspondence* which took place between myself and Mr. Rundall on the subject.

* To Mr. Rundall, 9th August 1867, No. 172.
From Mr. Rundall, 17th August 1867, No. 292.
To Mr. Rundall, 22nd August 1867, No. 182.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th February 1868.

Extracts from the Progress Reports of works in the District of Godavery, 3rd Range.

Months.	Number and year of the Estimates.	Description of Work.	Number and date of sanction.	Amount of Estimate.	Date of commencing the work.	Amount expended up to end of last official year.	EXPENDITURE IN THE PRESENT YEAR.		Recoveries from the current year's expenditure.	Net expenditure in the current year.	Remarks regarding date of completion, state of progress, &c.
				Rs.		Rs. A. P.	In this month.	Up to this month.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
1866. April...		District road from Nursapuram to Viravasaram.	Budget Grant for 1865-66.	10,800	Septem-ber 1865.	33 1 5	3,399 13 5	4,794 14 5	476 0 0	4,318 14 5	Earth-work for 2½ miles is completed. Three tunnels completed. One 12' span bridge is in progress. Inspected 16th and 28th April 1866.
May ..		Do. do.	Budget Grant for 1866-67.	10,800	Do.	4,351 15 10	206 0 9	206 0 9		206 0 9	Contractor had to stop work, not having got down either bricks or chumam to complete bridge over minor channel before channel was closed.
June ..	No. 33 of 1866-67.	Do. do.		10,800	Do.	1,351 15 10	239 9 2	445 9 11		445 9 11	Earth-work across Baggeswarum Madugu completed.
March 1867.	Do. ...	Do. do.	Do. No. 3425, dated 19th Decem-ber 1866.	23,928	Do.	1,351 15 10	2,868 7 3	5,846 12 2		5,846 12 2	Earth-work nearly completed. Sand-ing in progress. Five tunnels of sizes completed. One building, and materials collected for others and for large bridges.

(Signed) J. W. RUNDALL,
Executive Engineer.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th February 1868.

the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Executive Engineer in charge No. 3 Range, dated Cocanada, 9th August 1867, No. 172.

I have the honor to inform you that the amount required to complete the road from r to Viravasaram is Rupees 13,729 as shewn below, and not Rupees 16,729-4-0 as paragraph 4 of your letter of the 3rd April last, No. 7 :—

Actual expenditure up to the end of April 1866, as per Progress Report, dated 11th May 1866, received from your Office	RS. A. P.
Do. do. during 1866-67, as per do., dated 3rd April 1867.	4,351 15 10 5,846 12 2

Amount of Estimate...	10,198 12 0 23,928 0 0
-----------------------	---------------------------

Balance ...	13,729 4 0
-------------	------------

I beg to point out to you that the difference of Rupees 3,000 between the amount asked and that shewn in this letter, seems to have been occasioned in consequence of the figure having been erroneously entered as 1,351 in your Progress Report for June 1866, dated y.

In the Supplemental Budget Estimates which I submitted to the Board of Revenue for n, I have entered Rupees 13,729 as the allotment required for the completion of the road tion. I request you will rectify the error in your accounts.

from the Executive Engineer, to the Collector of the Godavery District, dated Nursapur, 17th August 1867, No. 292.

With reference to your No. 172, of the 9th instant, I have the honor to inform you that I was correct in stating* that Rs. 16,729-4-0 was required to complete the Viravasaram

the current year, and request you will obtain sanction for that sum.

The expenditure up to 30th April 1866 was Rupees 4,351-15-10, but Rupees 3,000 of amount, which was advanced to the Workshop for bridges, was subsequently recovered and ed from my Progress Report for June 1866, forwarded to you on the 6th July.

The total expenditure up to 31st March of this year was, therefore, Rupees 7,198-12-0, the balance now required to be appropriated is Rupees 16,729-4-0.

the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Executive Engineer, No. 3 Range, dated Coca-nada, 22nd August 1867, No. 182.

n reply to your letter of the 17th instant, No. 292, I have the honor to inform you that I your Progress Report up to 30th April 1866 that Rupees 4,351-15-10 are entered as , been expended on the road from Nursapur to Viravasaram, and the same was repeated in llowing month. But in your Progress Report for June 1865 only Rupees 1,351-15-10 entered as having been expended up to the past official year without any mention either in lumn of Recoveries, or in the column of Remarks, of what you now state, that is, Rupees 00 advanced to the Workshop for bridges subsequently recovered and deducted." I was, there-mable to believe the correctness of the figure 1,351-15-10, and have accordingly applied for notation of Rupees 13,729 to make up the amount of estimate for the work. I have now, upon planation given in your letter under reply, applied again to the Board for sanction of the f Rupees 3,000 for expenditure in the current official year, as you have requested.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to their Order, dated 12th ber 1867, No. 2,402.

2. The Board request that sanction may be accorded for the additional sum of Rupees 3,000 required for the completion of the road from Nursapur to Virava-saram from the available surplus balance of Rupees 77,664, shewn in paragraph 9 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 11th September 1867, No. 5,840.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th February 1868.

No. 398.

ORDER THEREON, 19th February 1868, No. 467.

Local Funds, No. 18.
Civil Works.

The provision of Rupees 16,729 for the road in question, instead of Rupees 13,729 originally provided in the current year's Local Fund Budget, is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. BRANDT,

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.
,, the Department Public Works.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

column of Recoveries, in the column of Remarks, of what you now state, that is, Rupees "3,000 advanced to the workshop for bridges subsequently recovered and deducted." I was, therefore, unable to believe the correctness of the figure 1,351-15-10, and have accordingly applied for the sanction of Rupees 13,729 to make up the amount of estimate for the work. I have now, upon the explanation given in your letter under reply, applied again to the Board for sanction of the sum of Rupees 2,000 for expenditure in the current official year, as you have requested.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Collector.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to their Order, dated 12th October 1867, No. 2,402.

2. The Board request that sanction may be accorded for the additional sum of Rupees 3,000 required for the completion of the road from Nursapur to Veeraswaram from the available surplus balance of Rupees 77,664, shown in paragraph 9 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 11th September 1867, No. 5,840.

(True Copies and Extract.)

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of the Godavery District.
,, to the Accountant General.
,, to the Controller of Public Works Accounts.
,, to the Superintending Engineer, First Division.

Exd. J. Wright.

Submitting to Government explanation afforded by Collector of Godavery District on certain points connected with expenditure on road from Nursapur to Veeraswaram, and requesting that sanction may be accorded for additional sum of Rupees 3000 required for the completion of that road.

No. 484.

Dated 20th January 1868

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

No. 255.

Department.

1868

Rev.

Rev. of Govt. Secy.

Secretary.

The provision of R.P. 16,729 for the road in question instead of R.P. 13,729 originally provided in the current year's Local Fund Budget is sanctioned.

19th Feb/68
J. Fraser

14 Copies to the Secretary to Government
6 Copies to the Collector of the Godavery District
21 ——— J. Fraser

12.2.68

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From J. FRASER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to the Executive Engineer in charge No. 3 Range, dated Cocanada, 9th August 1867, No. 172.

1. I have the honour to inform you that the amount required to complete the road from Narsapur to Veeravasaram, is Rupees 13,729 as shown below, and not Rupees 16,729-4-0, as stated in paragraph 4 of your letter of the 3rd April last, No. 7:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Actual expenditure up to the end of April 1866, as per Progress Report,			
dated 11th May 1866, received from your office	4,351	15	10
Do. do. during 1866-67, as per do., dated 3rd April 1867.	5,846	12	2

	10,198	12	0
Amount of estimate...	23,928	0	0
Balance ...	13,729	4	0

2. I beg to point out to you that the difference of Rupees 3,000 between the amount asked by you and that shown in this letter, seems to have been occasioned in consequence of the figure 4,351 having been erroneously entered as 1,351 in your Progress Report for June 1866, dated 6th July.

3. In the Supplemental Budget estimates which I submitted to the Board of Revenue for sanction, I have entered Rupees 13,729 as the allotment required for the completion of the road in question. I request you will rectify the error in your accounts.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., Executive Engineer to the Collector of the Godavery District, Narsapur, 17th August 1867, No. 292.

1. With reference to your No. 172, of the 9th instant, I have the honour to inform you that I was correct in stating* that Rupees 16,729-4-0 was required to complete the Veeravasaram road in the current year, and request you will obtain sanction for that sum.

2. The expenditure up to 30th April 1866 was Rupees 4,351-15-10, but Rupees 3,000 of this amount, which was advanced to the workshop for bridges, was subsequently recovered and deducted from my Progress Report for June 1866 forwarded to you on the 6th July.

3. The total expenditure up to 31st March of this year was, therefore, Rupees 7,198-12-0, and the balance now required to be appropriated, is Rupees 16,729-4-0.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.

From J. FRASER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to the Executive Engineer No. 3 Range, dated Cocanada, 22nd August 1867, No. 182.

In reply to your letter of the 17th instant, No. 292, I have the honour to inform you that I find, in your Progress Report up to 30th April 1866, that Rupees 4,351-15-10 are entered as having been expended on the road from Narsapur to Veeravasaram, and the same was repeated in the following month. But in your Progress Report for June 1866, only Rupees 1,351-15-10 were entered as having been expended up to the past official year without any mention either in the