

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

434

Press
Mis.

319-321
Dated

15-2-1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Dr.

4784

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th February 1868.*

Read the following :—

No. 319. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th November 1867, No. 7,491.

Read again letter from the Collector of Kurnool, dated 3rd July 1867, No. 203, recorded in the Board's Proceedings of 17th August 1867, No. 5,324.

Read also the following letters :—

From the Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th October 1867, No. 321.

1. In reply to the Board's Proceedings, dated 17th August 1867, No. 5,324, I beg to enclose a statement shewing the amount collected on the Inams in question, the Fuslies to which they belong, the date of collection, and the quit-rent imposed by the Inam Commissioner.

2. It will be seen from that statement that, after deducting the quit-rent, a sum of Rupees 22-14-0 will have to be refunded, for which sanction is requested.

Statement shewing the assessment collected on the Inams of Appiah, and the amount to be refunded.

Village and Talook.	Fusly.	Assessment according to Pymaish.	Assessment collected and credited to Land Revenue.	Date of collection.	Deduct quit-rent, including Jodi.	Remainder to be refunded.	Remarks.
		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	
Pesarawayi, in Nandial Talook.	1274 ...	6 9 3	0 9 9 5 15 6 6 9 3	23rd February, Fusly 1274. 2nd June do.	0 9 9	5 15 6	
	1275 ...	6 9 3	6 9 3	20th April, Fusly 1275.	0 9 9	5 15 6	
	1276 ...	6 9 3	Settlement assessment. 2 0 0 3 1 0 5 1 0	19th December, Fusly 1276. 19th January, do.	2 12 0	2 5 0	
	1276 ...	6 11 0	3 6 0 2 5 0 5 5 0	11th December, Fusly 1276. 24th January do.	4 4 0	1 1 0	Attached in Fusly 1276.
Pedda Denalapuram, in do.	1276 ...	10 5 0	Pymaish assessment. 4 0 0 6 5 0 10 5 0	22nd December, Fusly 1276. 28th January do.	5 0 0	5 5 0	Do.
			Settlement assessment. 6 12 0				
	1276 ...	9 0 1	6 12 0	24th March do.	4 8 0	2 4 0	Do.
	Total...	45 11 10	40 9 6		17 11 6	22 14 0	
	Deduct Patti.	1 3 6					
		44 8 4					

* This sum was mentioned in my former letter by mistake. The correct amount collected is Rupees 40-9-6, as now shown.

KURNOOL,
16th October 1867.

(Signed) T. A. N. CHASE,
Collector.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th February 1868.*

From the Officiating Inam Commissioner, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
dated Madras, 9th September 1867, No. 20.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th August 1867, No. 5,324.

2. The Inams in question are personal, but they were resumed on the ground that the present Inamdar had no title to hold them, his alleged adoption into the family of the original Inamdar not having been satisfactorily proved. On an appeal from the Inamdar, however, the Government sanctioned their confirmation.

3. Copies of my letter to Government, and of their Order thereon, are enclosed.

4. Title Deeds were issued for these Inams in July last. Consequently the quit-rent, imposed by this department, should only be collected from the current Fusly, the difference between the full assessment and the already existing Jodi on the Inams being refunded for the past years.

From the same Officer, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras,
23rd February 1867, No. 10.

1. I have the honor to make the following report on Petition No. 3,453 of 1866.

Village.	Extent.	Assessment.
	Acres.	Rs. A. P.
Terripad Dry Field No. 206	3 3 1	6 11 0
Pesarawayi..... do. „ 303	5 27	5 4 3
Chindukur..... do. Wet No. 255	4 50	9 0 1
Pedda Denalapuram do. „ „ 44	2 06	10 5 0

2. The petitioner claims the Inams noted in the margin, as the adopted son of one Nagojee Rao, to whom they belonged.

3. In the inquiry held by the Deputy Collector of this department, the alleged adoption

of the petitioner was not proved, and it was also established that the "Upanayana," or ceremony of investiture with the sacred thread was performed by his natural father, who belonged to a different family altogether.

4. The alleged adoptive father of the petitioner died four years before the Inam Settlement, and the lapse was then pending inquiry.

5. Under these circumstances, the petitioner's claim to the Inams was rejected, and the lands in four villages fully assessed.

6. In the fifth village, Karemadilli, however the Inam was confirmed to the petitioner on half assessment by Mr. Taylor, because, I presume, he considered the circumstances of the case to be doubtful, and half assessment to be consequently a fair compromise, although, in reviewing the other cases, such an idea did not occur to him.

7. When the appeal was presented to me by the petitioner, he did not refer to the case in Karemadilli, and I was not aware that one of the Inams claimed by him had been confirmed to him. I therefore at once rejected his petition.

8. I am still of opinion that the petitioner has no right to the Inams claimed, but would not disturb the settlement made in the case of Karemadilli. The assessment of the Inam is only Rupees 1-13-0, half of which has been charged as quit-rent.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th February 1868.*

Order of Government on the Petition from Appiah, the adopted son of Nagojee Rao, Inamdar of Chindakur and four other villages, Nandial Talook, Kurnool, No. 3,453 of 1866.

From this report it would appear that the petitioner's claim, as an adopted son of Nagojee Rao, was considered as at worst doubtful, and that it was admitted in the case of one of the five villages composing the Inam.

Under these circumstances, the Government are of opinion that the Inam rights on the other four villages should be made over to the petitioner on the same terms as Karemadilli.

(By order,)

FORT ST. GEORGE,
28th February 1867.

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Officiating Inam Commissioner for information, with reference to his report dated 23rd February 1867, No. 10, (with Petition No. 3,453 of 1866, and enclosures.)

The statement received with the second letter recorded above, shews the difference between the full assessment and the quit-rent as the amount to be refunded to the Inamdars. But from the information furnished by the Officiating Inam Commissioner in the third letter above recorded, it appears that the Title Deeds to the Inams were issued in July last, and that the quit-rent charged therein is leviable only from the current Fusly. The original Jodi only should, therefore, be deducted from the full assessment, in order that the remainder may be refunded to the parties. The Collector is accordingly requested to furnish the Board with a revised statement prepared on the above principle.

No. 320. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th January 1868,
No. 711.

Read again letter from the Collector of Kurnool, dated 3rd July 1867, No. 203,
recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 17th August 1867, No. 5,324.

Read again also letter from the same Officer, dated 16th October 1867, No. 321,
and from the Officiating Inam Commissioner, dated 9th September 1867,
No. 20, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 16th November 1867,
No. 7,491.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 29th November 1867, No. 361.

I have the honor to submit a revised statement, called for in Board's Proceedings No. 7,491, dated 16th instant.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th February 1868.*

Statement shewing the assessment collected on the Inams of Appiah, and the amount to be refunded.

Village and Talook.	Fusly.	Assessment according to Pymaish.	Assessment collected and credited to Land Revenue.	Date of collection.	Deduct quit-rent including Jodi.	Remainder to be refunded.	Re-marks.
		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	
Pesarawayi, Nundial Talook.	1274	6 9 3	0 9 9	23rd February, Fusly 1274.	0 9 9	5 15 6	
			5 15 6	2nd June do.			
	1275	6 9 3	6 9 3	20th April, Fusly 1275	0 9 9	5 15 6	
			Settlement assessment. 2 0 0	19th December, Fusly 1276.			
Terripad, in do.	1276	6 9 3	3 1 0	19th January do.	0 9 9	4 7 3	
			5 1 0				
	1276	6 11 0	3 0 0	11th December, Fusly 1276.	1 10 0	3 11 0	Attach- ed in Fusly 1276.
			2 5 0	24th January do.			
Pedda Denalapuram, in do.	1276	10 5 0	4 0 0	22nd December, Fusly 1276.	1 3 6	9 1 6	Do.
			6 5 0	28th January do.			
	1276	9 0 1	10 5 0		1 3 6	5 8 6	Do.
			Settlement assessment. 6 12 0	24th March, Fusly 1276.			
Chindukur, in do.			45 11 10		5 14 3	34 11 3	

KURNOOL,
29th November 1867.

(Signed) T. A. N. CHASE,
Collector.

The revised Statement now submitted with reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 16th November 1867, No. 7,491, shews that the refund due to certain Inamdars is Rupees 34-11-3, as a portion of the sum was collected more than two years back. The Board request the sanction of Government to the refund.

No. 321. ORDER THEREON, 15th February 1868, No. 434.

Land Revenue.

Refund sanctioned, Rupees 34-11-3.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Inam Commissioner.
" the Accountant General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Rev. 1868. Department.
Pro. of Govt. for Refund
No. 377.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 29th January 1868.
Do. 4 Feb
Rev 54
C. I.

No. 711.

Requesting sanction of Government to the refund of Rupees 34-11-3, being assessment collected on certain Inams in Nundial taluk, Kur-nool district.

W. 434
Refund sanctioned
34-11-3
N 711
28 Feb 1868