

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	374	Press Mis.
<i>193-194</i> Dated	11-2-	1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th February 1868.*

No. 193. . Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—Irrigation,) to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Simla, 8th August 1867, No. 10 A. I. :—

As the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company's works are coming into operation, the Government of India will be glad to be informed of the arrangements under which the water-rate is to be levied. If it is to be done through the Government Revenue Officers, as is understood to be the intention, it would seem to be proper that a certain share of the cost of the Collectors' establishments should be charged to the Company, in addition to any direct outlay specially incurred for the purposes of the collection of the water-rate. The Governor General in Council requests that information may be given as to these points.

Referred to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) J. H. M. SHAW STEWART, Major, R. E.,

22nd August 1867.

Under Secretary to Government.

No. 194. ORDER THEREON, 11th February 1868, No. 374.

Irrigation, No. 3.

1. The Government observe that the contract between Government and the Company leaves to Government the

option of taking the water supply available for irrigation at a price to be agreed on with the Company, and expressly provides that such price shall also be the price to be paid to Government by the cultivators using the water.

2. It was manifestly inevitable that the Government should exercise its option of taking the supply from the Company, instead of leaving them to deal directly with the individual ryots, which system would have precluded the establishment of any proper arrangement for regulating demand and supply, claims for remission for insufficient supply, and the innumerable questions of detail which must necessarily arise in practice; but the contract does not make any provision for charging the Company with any of the costs of collection from the ryots, and in an analogous matter the Secretary of State has declared that in the absence of any such provision in the contract, the liability must be borne by Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department.

Erd. S. T. Augustin.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
11th February 1868.

No. 375.

No. 195.
To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT PUBLIC WORKS.

SIR,

Irrigation, No. 4.

1. In reply to your letter of 8th August 1867, requesting information as to the arrangements under which the

water-rate is to be levied for irrigation from the works of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, I am directed to inform you that the contract between Government and the Company leaves to Government the option of taking the water supply available for irrigation at a price to be agreed on with the Company, and expressly provides that such price shall also be the price to be paid to Government by the cultivators using the water.

2. It was manifestly inevitable that the Government should exercise its option of taking the supply from the Company, instead of leaving them to deal directly with the individual ryots, which system would have precluded the establishment of any proper arrangement for regulating demand and supply, claims for remission for insufficient supply, and the innumerable questions of detail which must necessarily arise in practice; but the contract does not make any provision for charging the Company with any of the costs of collection from the ryots, and in an analogous matter the Secretary of State has declared that in the absence of any such provision in the contract, the liability must be borne by Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

Copy

Revenue Department

N^o. 374-

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 11th February 1868.

Read the following
letter from the Secretary to
the Government of India.

Requesting information re:
garding the arrangements under
which the water rate for land
supplied by the Madras Irriga-
tion and Canal Company's Canal
is to be levied.

(Here enter 8th August 1867)
N^o. 10 A.I.

WOL
L

The Government
observe that the Contract
between Government and the
Company leaves to Govern-
ment the option of taking
the water supply available
for Irrigation at a price to
be agreed on with the Com-
pany - and expressly provides
that

That such price shall be the price to be paid to Government by the cultivators using the water.

It was manifestly inevitable that the Government should exercise its option of taking the supply from the Company - instead of leaving them to deal directly with the individual Ryots, which system would have precluded the establishment of any proper arrangement for regulating demand and supply - claims for remission for insufficient supply and the innumerable questions of detail which must necessarily arise in practice - but the contract does not make any provision for charging the Company with any of the

Let the function
Office 1st
In Bupatich para 3.
in Prof. of 26 Jan/64
No. 137

The costs of collection from the Ryots - and in an analogous matter the Secretary of State has declared that in the absence of any such provision in the contract the liability must be borne by Government.

L.P.

21 Copies Let to Mr Dept. Despatch No 12

35
4

Cons. R. M. Smith

No. 1938/194

G. O.
Notice for the
Sanitation Com.
under

11th July 1887
To the
Government

No. 374

Car

7th July 1887

Revenue Department
11th July 1887

No. 375

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Department Public Works

Sir,

In reply to your letter
of 8th August 1887 requesting
information as to the arrangements
under which the water rate is
to be levied for irrigation from
the works of the Madras
Irrigation and Canal Company,
I am directed to inform you
that the Contract between Govern-
ment and the Company leaves
to Government the option of
taking the water supply
available for irrigation at a
price to be agreed on with the
Company, and expressly pro-
vides that such price shall also
be

WDL
L/A
N

be the price to be paid to
Government by the culti-
vators using the water.

It was manifestly
inevitable that the Govern-
ment should exercise its
option of taking the supply
from the Company instead
of leaving them to deal
directly with the individual
Ryots, which system would
have precluded the establish-
ment of any proper ar-
rangement for regulating
demand and supply, claims
for remission for insufficient
supply and the innumerable
questions of detail which must
necessarily arise in practice,
but the contract does not
make any provision for
charging the Company
with

6
with any of the costs of
collection from the Ryots
and in an analogous matter
the Secretary of State has
declared that in the absence
of any such provision in the
contract the liability must
be borne by Government.

20
L.R.

Ind. and India
Water rule for navigation
from Singapore Company
1875

From the day to the day
of India and the India
1875

1875

1875
1875
1875

1875

1875
1875
1875

1875

1875