M.R. G. 1—39,690—16-10-39.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	Go 88	Press ~~His.~~
15-9-60 Dated	11-12-	No. 1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 159. Read the following letter from the Government Pleader, Madras, to the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 28th November 1868, No. 181 :—

1. With reference to the Proceedings of Government and of the Board of Revenue noted in the margin, I have the honor to report that the Suits Nos. 3 and 4 in the Civil Court of Guntur came on for first hearing on the 12th instant, when I appeared, as instructed, on behalf of Government.

Proceedings of Government, Revenue Department, dated 1st April 1868, No. 860.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th October 1868, No. 7,756.

2. The filing of documents and discussion of various preliminary matters occupied three days, and on the fourth, the 17th of November, the Court decided that as the Suit No. 3 of 1867 was framed, it was barred by the Act of Limitation, and the Plaintiff's Vakil was given until the next day to decide whether he would withdraw the Suit. On the 18th November the Plaintiff's Vakil not applying for leave to withdraw the Suit, it was dismissed with costs.

3. After the decision in Suit No. 3, the Vakil for the Plaintiff in Suit No. 4 applied for leave to withdraw that Suit, which was granted upon terms of his paying the costs of all parties.

4. I am expecting daily to receive copies of the Proceedings of the Court, and of certain documents filed on the part of Government, without which I am not in a position to advise as to the next step to be taken to assert the claim of Government to the bonds, the subject of these Suits. As soon as I receive the above papers, I shall have the honor to make a further communication on the subject.

5. I may, however, mention that the result of these Suits does not in the least affect the Government claim, but simply leaves matters as they were before. Suit No. 3 failed, because the Plaintiff only sued for the money secured by the bonds, and did not seek to enforce the bonds by sale of the lands hypothecated by them. The Civil Judge expressed a decided opinion, that if he had taken the latter course the Suit would not have been barred.

No. 160. ORDER THEREON, 11th December 1868, No. 3,088.

Miscellaneous.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Government Pleader.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 3088

C? to the Bd of Revenue

Wol

A-1-A N°

11 Decr 68

14 Copies Extra Writ Pur Duplicate
3 Govt Pleaders

Govt. of Illinois Exhibit

No. REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated December, 1868.

Read, Read.

G. O., 11th December 1868, No. 3,088.

DECEMBER,

Yrs. 1869-1870.

Sent from Board of the Civil Courts of Cantonment—Question for Decision regard to the Jurisdiction of the Civil Judge in matters relative to Board of Revenue.

Pro-1 April 1868 N° 30-31

Revenue 1868. Vest

No. 3733.

From

The Govt. Pleader

Madras—

Dated 28th Sept 68.

Received 30th Sept 68.

No. 181.

N° 3088- 11th Dec.

Reporting the result of certain Suits on the file of the Civil Court of Guntoor which came on for hearing on the 12th Instant—

M: 157-160.