M.R.O. 1—39,000—16-10-28.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3074 Press ~~Mis.~~

131-132 Dated 10-12- 19 1828.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th December 1868.

No. 131. Read the following Despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, (Revenue,) to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, dated India Office, London, 14th October 1868, No. 43 :—

I have had under my consideration, in Council, the Memorial of Cunnageram Pillay, late Curnum of the village of Codymungulum, in the Madura District, appealing against the refusal of the Board of Revenue, confirmed by your Government, to entertain his claim to a restoration to his office after a lapse of nine years.

2. The case is not very intelligibly reported, but I see no reason to interfere with your decision. It appears to me, however, that the Memorialist is not disqualified for further employment by the authorities of the district.

No. 132. ORDER THEREON, 10th December 1868, No. 3,074.

Miscellaneous.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue, who will instruct the Collector of Madura to communicate the decision of the Secretary of State to the Memorialist.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

Ltr from Govt dated 11th Dec 1868

The

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 10th December 1868.

No. 131. Read the following Despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, (Revenue,) to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, Fort St. George, dated India Office, London, 14th October 1868, No. 43:—

I have had under my consideration, in Council, the Memorial of Cunnageram Pillay, late Curnum of the village of Colymungulum, in the Madura District, appealing against the refusal of the Board of Revenue, confirmed by your Government, to [illegible] re-entertain[illegible]. The case is not very intelligibly reported, but I see no reason to interfere with your decision. It appears to me, however, that the Memorialist is not disqualified for further employment by the authorities of the district.

No. 132. ORDER THEREON, 10th December 1868, No. 3,074.

Miscellaneous.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue, who will instruct the Collector of Madura to communicate the decision of the Secretary of State to the Memorialist.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

3074
48

Govt. of {1868} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated December, 10th.

Rcd. Encl.

G. O., 10th December 1868, *No.* 3,074.

DECEMBER.

Nos. 131-132.

Memorial from Cunnageram Pillay, late C[illegible]m in Madura District.—Despatch from [illegible] Government, declining to interfere with the de[illegible]on refusing his restoration to office, communi-ca[illegible] Memorialist.

The Hon'ble

Cont. of {1868} 3[illegible].

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated December, 10th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 10th December 1868, No. 3,074.

DECEIVED.

Nos. 131-132.

Memorial from Cunnageveram Pillay, late Curnum in Madura District.—Despatch from Home Government, declining to interfere with the decision refusing his restoration to office, communicated to Memorialist.

Revenue Department

P. 3074.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 10th ~~November~~ December 1868.

Read the following
Despatch from the Secretary
of State.

(Here enter 11th Oct.r/68 No. 143.)

Stating, with reference to
Memorial of Cunnageveram Pillay
late Curnum in Madura Distt. to be
restored to his office, that ~~the Consular~~
~~is not very intelligibly reported, but~~
sees no reason to interfere with the
decision of the Madras Govt. and
observing that it appears the Memorialist
is not disqualified for further employment
by authorities of the District.

Forwarded to the
Board of Revenue, who
will instruct the Collector
of Madura to communi-
cate the decision of the
Secretary of State to the
Memorialist.

The

16 Copies to Bd of Rev. Desp. 11th

2. The Board will, after communication with the Collector, report on the point noticed in the concluding sentence of the Despatch

Is a check required? I do not think so. The Supt State Cmte do. In our opinion, our whole Cmte an obstruction of Govt when an obstruction appears, playing unmanded officers, the Govt would be at liberty to act by issuing Mr Mann's instrument - but the opinion of Secretary State means that Govt would be compelled. I would respectfully urge it upon Mr Mann herewith, & upon again & again