

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue
Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3012	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated 1-22-1918	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

und
712

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st December 1863.*

No. 7. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th October 1868, No. 7,526:—

Read the following letter from the Acting Head Assistant Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Collector of Nellore, dated 8th September 1868, No. 306.

1. I have the honor to submit the following petition for your orders.
2. Two persons, named Totapally Venkatanarasu and Totapally Narasayya, formerly possessed an Inam in Nelatur, which the Jaghiredar of Udayagherry took from them to convert into a garden, and, in consideration, paid them yearly a sum of Rupees 4.

3. On the resumption of the Jaghire in 1839, the payment ceased. The land in question was incorporated with Government land, and given to ryots on puttah. The Committee of Native Officers, appointed to investigate the titles to the Inams of the Jaghire, recommended that an Inam, worth 4 Rupees, should be granted elsewhere, or else that the yearly payment should continue. Nothing was done till 1856, when, it appears, an order was issued by the Head Assistant to the petitioner, through the Tahsildar, directing him to select land to be granted instead of that formerly Inam, but given away on puttah. Nothing was done till 1865, when land was selected by the petitioner. It was enfranchised by the Inam Commis-

Collector of Nellore, to Board, 23rd July 1866, No. 220.

Letter from Inam Commissioner, to the Board of Revenue, dated 8th Sept. 1866, No. 5,436.

Board's Proceedings, 25th Sept. 1866, No. 6,878.
G. O., 9th October 1866, No. 2,724.

sioner under orders of Government in 1866, (*vide* marginally noted correspondence). The petitioner now claims to be paid at the rate of 4 Rupees per annum for the twenty-six years which elapsed between the resumption of the Jaghire and 1865.

4. He admits having received intimation of the Head Assistant's order of 1856, and says, he selected land and wrote an Arzee. Nothing of this can be found in the records.

5. I consider his claim to be good for the time which elapsed between 1839 and 1856, that is, for seventeen years Rupees 68; but that he cannot claim more, as he admits having knowledge of the Head Assistant's order in 1856, yet slept on his rights till now.

6. The first petition was, as you will observe, presented a year ago. The delay in its disposal has resulted from the petitioner's absence, and the consequent impossibility of ascertaining whether or not he was aware of the order of 1856.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue for sanction for payment of Rupees 68, as recommended by the Head Assistant Collector.

NELLORE,
16th September 1868.

(Signed) J. A. C. BOSWELL,
Acting Collector.

To the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board concur in the recommendation of the Acting Collector that the Inamdar should be paid Rupees 68 for the seventeen years which elapsed between

be paid Rs 68, at 4 Rs per

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st December 1868.*

the stoppage of the annual payment of 4 Rupees, and the order to petitioner to select land in lieu.

3. The Inam was finally sanctioned in Government Order, dated 9th October 1866, No. 2,724.

No. 8. ORDER THEREON, 1st December 1868, No. 3,012.

Land Revenue.

1. The Board support the recommendation of the local authorities that an Inamdar of Udayagherry, in the Nellore District, be paid Rupees 68, at 4 Rupees per annum for seventeen of the twenty-six years during which he was deprived of his land. The Head Assistant Collector is of opinion that the Inamdar cannot claim any compensation for the remaining nine years; because although he had knowledge of that Officer's order in 1856, requiring him to select land to be granted to him in lieu of his former Inam, yet he slept on his rights till 1865.

2. The Government do not concur in this view. The land, for which the Jaghiredar of Udayagherry paid Rupees 4 annually to the Inamdar, having been assessed to the revenue, and given out on puttah, has, from the date of the resumption of the Jaghire, been yielding a return to Government equivalent yearly to what he (the Inamdar) would have obtained from the land had it been restored to him, and there is nothing to show that the Inamdar had an evil object in not seeking to secure fresh land in lieu of that of which he was dispossessed apparently by an error.

3. Under these circumstances, the Government resolve to recognise the Inamdar's claim in full, and to sanction the repayment to him of Rupees (104) one hundred and four, for the twenty-six years, at Rupees 4 a year.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

be paid Rs 68, at 4 Rs
per
resolved

It seems to me that if this matter is in any way to be treated as a question of a petitioner having "slept on his rights," he is to be allowed, as much for the period between 1839 and 1856, as between 1856 and the present time.

The land for which the Saghirao of Oadapherry paid 4 Rs. annually ^{to the P. & T.} having been assigned to the revenue which was on put to rest, has from the date of the resumption of the Saghirao, been yielding a return to the P. & T. equivalent yearly to what the P. & T. would have got out of the land had it been restored to him.

In the confusion of all these things the resumption due this ignorance of what was being done with his land, the P. & T. may not have known how to proceed to recover his due; or to right himself; and if, when he is said to have received the 4 Rs. per annum

Govt. of 1868 Madras

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Secy, Secy, Secy,

Dated December, 1st.

Recd.

End.

G. O., 1st December 1868, No. 3,012.

DECEMBER.

Nos. 7-8.

From land taken by the Oadapherry Zemindar.—Payment of the compensation to the Inamdar from the date of its resumption by Government, sanctioned.

20. 2. 88.
broken to select fresh land, Petitioner
still held back, I don't see that their
objection to come forward should
affect ^{the matter} all that has now to be
done, as I see the question is to repay
to this man the several sums of
which the State has been the recipient
since the Saphire was resumed -
We all know that a man associated
to a revenue officer, whether Collector,
or a private, or a Marshal, do so
as to say have lost sight of. Therefore
unless it can be shown - and this is
not even alleged - that Pet. had an
evil intent in not seeking to secure
fresh land for that of which he was
deprived, & an error on the revenue
department of the Assistant, I would
recognize his claim in full. That
is, I would repay him for 26 years
at 4 Rs a year

2 Nov 88. Certainly WDH

Inamdar from the date of its resumption by Govern-
ment, sanctioned.

77A

Rev. Sept 5

No 3012

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 1st November 1868.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue.

Certain Inam land in
Nellore District - taken
up by Jaghiredar of Odaga
giri. Recommendation of
Collector for payment of
Rs 68 to owners of, for period
which elapsed between
stoppage of annual payments
allowed to them as compen-
sation by Jaghiredar, and
Head Assistant Collector
to select land in lieu, sub-
mitted to Government.

(J. E. 25th Oct/68. No 7526)

The Board supports
the recommendation of the
local authorities that
an Inamdar of Odagashi-
ri in the Nellore District
be paid Rs 68, at 4 Rs
per

resolved

for 14 of the 26 years
per annum, during which he
was deprived of his land.

The Head Assistant Collector
is of opinion, that the
Inamdar cannot claim

any compensation for the
remaining 9 years, because

although he had knowledge
of that officer's order

in 1836 requiring him to
select land to be granted

to him in lieu of his former
Inam, yet he slept on

his rights till 1865.

2. The Government do
not concur in this view.

The land for which the
Jaghiredar of Udayer.

Sherry paid Rs 4 an.
nually to the Inamdar

having

6
having been assigned to the
Revenue and given out

on puttah, has from the

date of the resumption

of the Jaghure, been

yielding a return to

Government equal almost

yearly to what he (the

Inamdar) would have

~~got out of the land had~~

it been restored to him

and there is nothing to

show that the Inamdar

had an evil objection

not seeking to secure

fresh land ^{in lieu of} for that of

which he was disposed

ed apparently by an

error.

3. Under these cir-

cumstances, the Govt

resolved

resolve to recognize the
Inauder's claim in full,
and to sanction the re-
payment to him of Rs (104)
one hundred and four,
for the 26 years, at
Rs 4 a year.

[Signature]

[Signature]
23/11/68

10 Copies To the Secy of the Dept of
6 *[Signature]* Secy to the Govt

[Signature]

33
[Signature]

[Signature]