M.R.O. 1—89,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2446	Press / Mis.
320-21 Dated	21-9-	M/868

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2446
21/9/68

Rev 1868. Department.
Pro. of Govt. for September

No. 2971

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 11*th September* 1868.
Recd 15 ditto
Ans 16 ditto
From the Inam Commissioner
dated 9th Octr/68 C No 3208

No. 6,482.

1 Encl

Requesting sanction for refund of Rupees 53-4-8 to certain Kandrikadars in Nellore District being quit-rent charged in excess.

No 2446-21 Sept
With order

No 320 & 321

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 21st September 1868.

No. 320. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th September 1868, No. 6,482:—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 8th August 1868, No. 2,820.

1. From Docket No. 194 of the 1st instant, and its enclosures, received from the Acting Sub-Collector, it appears that in the village of Chimakurti, in the Ongole Talook, a certain Kandrika was, in the first instance, charged with a quit-rent of Rupees 89, which was subsequently reduced to Rupees 49 by the Inam Commissioner on appeal.

2. From Fusly 1276 only Rupees 49 have been carried to account, and the Acting Sub-Collector recommends Rupees 53-4-8 being refunded to the Kandrikadars, on account of Fuslies 1274 and 1275, as shewn below:—

Fusly.	Amount carried to account.	Revised demand.	Difference.	Collected.	Balance.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
1274	89 0 0	49 0 0	40 0 0	35 8 3	4 7 9
1275	89 0 0	49 0 0	40 0 0	17 12 5	22 3 7
Total...	178 0 0	98 0 0	80 0 0	53 4 8	26 11 4

3. The Board will thus observe, that in Fuslies 1274 and 1275, Rupees 80 were charged in the accounts in excess of the proper demand, and that of this excess, Rupees 53-4-8 have been collected already, leaving a balance of Rupees 26-11-4.

4. The Acting Sub-Collector simply requests sanction for refunding Rupees 53-4-8, and does not say how he would dispose of the balance of Rupees 26-11-4 outstanding in the accounts. On referring to the Jummahbundy accounts received from the Tahsildar, I find that this amount is included in the balance recommended to be written off as irrecoverable. It will, therefore, be disposed of in the Jummahbundy Report about to be submitted.

5. The Acting Sub-Collector does not say whether the Inam Commissioner has decided to give retrospective effect to the reduction of quit-rent allowed in Fusly 1276.

6. As the quit-rent is stated to have been reduced on appeal, I presume the reduction holds good for the Fuslies in question, and I beg to recommend that the refund of Rupees 53-4-8 be sanctioned.

The Board request the sanction of Government for the refund of Rupees 53-4-8 under the circumstances stated by the Collector. A portion of this amount must have been carried to account more than two years ago.

No. 321. ORDER THEREON, 21st September 1868, No. 2,446.

Land Revenue.

Referred to the Acting Inam Commissioner for his observations.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Inam Commissioner.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 6,482.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th September 1868.

Read the following letter from J. A. C. BOSWELL, Esq., Acting Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 8th August 1863, No. 2,820:—

1. From Docket, No. 194, of the 1st instant, and its enclosures, received from the Acting Sub-Collector, it appears that, in the village of Chimakurti, in the Ongole talook, a certain Kandrika was, in the first instance, charged with a quit-rent of Rupees 89, which was subsequently reduced to Rupees 49 by the Inam Commissioner on appeal.

2. From Fusly 1276 only Rupees 49 have been carried to account, and the Acting Sub-Collector recommends Rupees 53-4-8 being refunded to the Kandrikadars, on account of Fuslies 1274 and 1275, as shown below:—

Fusly.	Amount carried to account.	Revised demand.	Difference.	Collected.	Balance.
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
1274...	89 0 0	49 0 0	40 0 0	35 8 3	4 7 9
1275...	89 0 0	49 0 0	40 0 0	17 12 5	22 3 7
Total ...	178 0 0	98 0 0	80 0 0	53 4 8	26 11 4

3. The Board will thus observe, that, in Fuslies 1274 and 1275, Rupees 80 were charged in the accounts in excess of the proper demand, and that of this excess Rs. 53-4-8 have been collected already, leaving a balance of Rupees 26-11-4.

4. The Acting Sub-Collector simply requests sanction for refunding Rupees 53-4-8, and does not say how he would dispose of the balance of Rupees 26-11-4 outstanding in the accounts. On referring to the Jummahbundy accounts received from the Tahsildar, I find that this amount is