M.R.O. 1—39,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2405 Press ~~Mis.~~

233-36.
Dated 17-9- 1868

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 17th September 1868.

Read the following:—

No. 233. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd July 1867, No. 4,147.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated on Circuit at Madanapally, 5th June 1867, No. 82.

1. I have the honor to submit a full report on the subject of the accompanying Petition, No. 3,045 of 1866, bearing Board's Endorsement, dated 8th September 1866.

2. Petitioner, Avadanam Ramacharyulu, is one of those proprietors to whom Government were pleased to sanction the payment of the arrears and the annual compensation on account of the resumption of Moturpha tax in the several villages, as communicated by the Board in their letters as per margin.

1 Letter to the Principal Collector, dated 24th November 1828.
1 Letter to do. 29th January 1829.

3. The annual payment was accordingly continued to be made to the several proprietors up to the end of Fusly 1270, when it ceased on the understanding that no Moturpha collections were to be made by Government, after the introduction of the Income Tax Act No. XXXII. of 1860.

4. The claims of the petitioner for the arrears of compensation for six years, or from Fuslies 1271 to 1276, amounting to Rupees 70-14-0, at Rupees 11-1-0 per annum, and the annual disbursement to him of Rupees 11-13-0 in cash as before, or a deduction of a like sum from the annual Jodi and quit-rent payable by him to Government on his Shotriem village, appear to me acceptable if the Board see no objection; but the claims of the other parties, when brought forward under similar circumstances, will have to be considered and disposed of.

	rs.
Former Jodi	98
Quit-rent fixed by the Inam Commissioner.	58
	156

Enclosure No. 1.—Petition.

1. The Board do not understand how Act XXXII of 1860 could have been held to affect a payment ordered to be made annually as compensation for an abolished privilege.

2. They would be glad to know under what authority the Act was thus interpreted.

No. 234. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th March 1868, No. 2,194.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 24th September 1867, No. 128.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd July 1867, No. 4,147, I have the honor to state that there is no order or authority under which the payment of the annual compensation on account of the resumption of Moturpha, in the villages authorized by the Board in their letters as per

Petition No. 3,045 of 1866, herewith returned.

24th November 1828.
29th January 1829.

margin, ceased after the introduction of the Income Tax Act No. XXXII. of 1860. If the Board deem it desirable that the payment should be continued to the parties entitled to receive it, I solicit their permission for the disbursement of the arrears of Rupees 70-14-0, due to the petitioner A. Ramacharyulu from Fuslies 1271 to 1276, and the annual payments to the several parties in other villages, as hereunder specified :—

	Rs.	A.	P.
In three Jaghire villages	62	14	0
In ten Surva Inam villages	87	15	0
In one Kayen Jodi or fixed Jodi village	11	13	0
Total...	162	10	0

Enclosure No. 1.—Petition.

1. It is clear that the introduction of the Income Tax Act in no way affected claims to annual payments granted in compensation for the resumption of Moturpha in 1828, and the Collector acted carelessly and improperly in ceasing to make them without reference to the Board.

2. Even now he has not stated what arrears are due to any of the proprietors of the fourteen villages specified at the end of his letter. The Acting Collector will rectify this omission, and in doing so will give a list of the villages, including that of petitioner's, shewing the payments made for each, and state whether it is possible, in the case of any of them, to adjust the annual payment by a deduction from the quit-rent of the proprietor.

No. 235. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th August 1868, No. 5,917.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd July 1867, No. 4,147.

Do. do. „ 26th March 1868, „ 2,194.

Read also the following letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated on Circuit at Madanapally, 14th May 1868, No. 86.

1. With reference to Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 2,194, dated 26th March 1868, I have the honor to enclose a Statement, shewing the amount of arrears due to the proprietors of the fourteen villages therein alluded to on account of the annual payments authorized to be made to them in compensation for the resumption of Moturpha, but which have been withheld from Fusly 1271.

3 Jaghire villages.
6 Surva Inam do.
5 Kayen Jodi do.
14

2. The arrears amount on the whole to Rupees 980-10-0, and it will be observed that the Jodi amounts, fixed on the villages numbered 9, 11, and 14 in the Statement, will admit of the deduction of the total arrears due to their proprietors from the Jodi payable by them to Government for Fusly 1277. In regard to the other two Kayen Jodi villages, the Jodi on which is less than the amounts due

to the Shotriemdars, the difference may be paid to them by Government for the current Fusly. In all other cases, the arrears will have to be defrayed by Government.

3. Should the Board be pleased to sanction the disbursement of the arrears, and the continuance of the annual payments to the several proprietors, these payments may be adjusted by the deduction of equal amounts from the Jodi payable by the Shotriemdars in respect of the five Kayen Jodi villages (Nos. 4, 5, 9, 11, and 14) entered in the Statement.

4. Board's Petition, No. 3,045 of 1866, which led to this correspondence, is herewith returned.

Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to proprietors of certain villages in Cuddapah District, on account of annual payments authorized to be made in compensation for the resumption of Moturpha, but which were withheld from Fusly 1271.

Talook.	Villages.	Authorized annual payment.	Total amount due to the proprietors from Fuslies 1271 to 1276, being 6 years.	Amount of Jodi fixed by the Inam Commissioner.	Tenure on which the village is held.
Pullampett...	1. Etimarpuram.	49 0 11	294 5 6	.....	Jaghire.
	2. Nunkinenipulli.	12 13 4	77 0 0	.....	Do.
Cuddapah ...	3. Sivalpully ...	0 15 9	5 14 6	.....	Do.
Jammalmadugu.	4. Thappepulli...	8 12 0	52 8 0	31 0 0	Kayen Jodi.
	5. Toogoottapully	20 15 5	125 12 6	108 0 0	Do.
Voilpaud ...	6. Cherlapulli...	36 12 9	220 12 6	.....	Surva Inam.
	7. Murrimakulapulli.	2 0 8	12 4 0	.....	Do.
	8. Kotabyle.....	8 3 8	49 6 0	.....	Do.
	9. Vencatarazupuram.	0 12 3	4 9 6	44 0 0	Kayen Jodi.
	10. Mattampulli.	5 13 4	35 0 0	.....	Surva Inam.
Muddenpulli.	11. Ankisettipulli.	2 9 5	15 8 6	100 0 0	Kayen Jodi.
	12. Chennappagaripulli.	0 13 0	4 14 0	.....	Surva Inam.
Kadiri... ...	13. Chippalamudgu.	1 15 6	11 13 0	.....	Do.
Pulivendla...	14. Muddulapoi ...	11 13 0	70 14 0	156 0 0	Kayen Jodi, (Petitioner's).
		163 7 0	980 10 0	439 0 0	

Madanapulli,
14*th May* 1868.

(Signed) F. B. MOLONY,
Acting Collector.

Enclosure No. 2.—Petition.

From Secretary to Government, to the Board of Revenue, 4th November 1828, No. 768.

1. The Board resolve to request Government to sanction payment of Rupees 1,144-1-0, being arrears due on the compensation granted in 1828 on account of the resumption of Moturpha, which was discontinued by the Collector, without authority, at the end of Fusly 1270.

2. The Collector seems to have supposed that the abolition of Moturpha in License Act No. XVIII. of 1861, included the abolition of these allowances.

3. The difference between the sum mentioned by the Acting Collector and that for which sanction is now applied, arises from the fact that the allowances for Fusly 1277, which has expired since his letter was written, have been taken into account.

4. In future, the payments should be adjusted with regard to the Jodi as proposed by the Collector, and the Board accordingly recommend that the quit-rent on Thappepulli and Toogoottapulli be remitted altogether, the compensation being correspondingly reduced, and that the quit-rent on Vencatarazupuram be fixed at Rupees 39-6-6, that on Ankisettipulli at Rupees 84-7-6, and that on Muddulapoi at Rupees 85-2-0, money payments being discontinued altogether.

No. 236. ORDER THEREON, 17th September 1868, No. 2,405.

Land Revenue.

1. Sanction is accordingly granted (subject to audit) for the payment of the arrears of Rupees (1,144-1-0) one thousand one hundred and forty-four and Anna one.

2. There appears to be a grave error in paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings. They support the Collector's recommendation, that *in future* the *annual* payments to some of the proprietors be adjusted with reference to the Jodi payable by them, but in the figures specified by them, they apparently give credit to the proprietors *annually* for *six years' arrears*. The reduced sums of quit-rent now sanctioned in the five cases alluded to are shewn below:—

Villages.	Authorized annual payment.	Six years' arrears.	Jodi fixed by the Inam Commissioner.	Reduced Jodi proposed by the Board.	Reduced Jodi sanctioned by Government
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Thappepulli	8 12 0	52 8 0	31 0 0	... ...	22 4 0
Toogoottapully	20 15 5	125 12 6	108 0 0	... ...	87 0 7
Vencatarazupuram	0 12 3	4 9 6	41 0 0	39 6 6	43 3 9
Ankisettipully	2 9 5	15 8 6	100 0 0	84 7 6	97 6 7
Muddulapoi	11 13 0	70 14 0	156 0 0	85 2 0	141 3 0

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue Depart[t] 3
12-2405-
10

Proceedings of the Madras Gov[t]
Under date the 17th September 1868.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Requesting sanction of Gov[t] for payment of Rupees 1144-1-0 arrears of Compensation due to proprietors of certain villages in Cuddapah District on account of resumption of Moturpha and recommending that quit-rent be remitted altogether in certain of those villages and reduced in certain others.

(Here enter 15th August 1868 #. 5917).

I would say—
There appears to be a
grave error in para 4 of
the Bd's Proc:-

Sanction is accordingly granted (subject to audit) for the payment of the arrears of Rs (1144-1-0) One thousand one hundred and forty four and anna one.

2. The Board have fallen into a serious error in para 4 of their Proceedings. They support the Collector's recommendation that in future the annual payments to some of the proprietors be adjusted with reference to the Jodi payable by them

them but in the figures specified by them they give credit to the Proprietors annually for six years' arrears. The reduced sums of quit rent now sanctioned in the five cases alluded to are shown below.

instead of only for the annual payment due to them.

I would omit this above addition unless this word "prospectively" can somehow sometime be brought in. WM

Villages.	Authorized annual payment	Six years' arrears	Sole fixed by the Com. Commissioner	Reduced Sole proposed by the Board	Reduced Sole sanctioned by Govt
Thaippepalli	8 12 "	52 8 "	31 " "	" " "	22 4 "
Poopottapully	20 15 5	125 12 6	108 " "	" " "	87 " 7
Venkatarazapuram	" 12 3	4 9 6	46 " "	39 6 6	43 3 9
Ambisettipully	2 9 5	15 8 6	100 " "	84 7 6	94 6 4
Muddulapoi	11 13 "	70 14 "	156 " "	85 2 "	144 3 "

11/3/76

11 Copies Tothe Bd Rec with 3 Inclo Decp 18
6 " " Sheets to Genl Dy

Bd
4

Revenue 1868 Despte
Pro of Govt for Septr

Rev. Dept. 1007 of 93

No 2405

Pro of the Madras Govt
of 17 Septr 1868

11-4-92 ✓
29-9-93 ✓
15-11-93 ✓
14-4-94 ✓
15-12-94 ✓
21-12-94 ✓
Exp 1905
9/1905 ✓
20.12.07 ✓
10-3-09 ✓
1-3-10 ✓

W 233 @ 236