M.R.O. 1—39,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2890 Press ~~Mis.~~

79-80
Dated 7 — 9 — 19 1868

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 7th September 1868.

No. 79. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd July 1868, No. 5,378 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of the Madras District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 8th July 1868, No. 228.

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd May 1868, No. 3,804, forwarding Government Order, dated 9th May 1868, No. 1,280, regarding the salary payable to Singaravelu Moodelly, Acting Head Clerk in my Office, I have the honor to request the Board will obtain the special sanction of Government for the payment of the salaries, as recommended by the Board in their Proceedings, dated 15th April 1868, No. 2,757.

2. The Board are aware that the services of Sivasunkara Modelliar, permanent Head Clerk of this Office, were placed at the disposal of the Inam Commissioner in November last, on the understanding that the whole of his salary was to be paid by the Inam Department, leaving his full salary available to the man who might be appointed to act for him.

3. On this understanding I appointed Singaravelu Moodelly, Translator in this Office, to act for Sivasunkara Moodelly, and Parthasarathy Iyengar, Translator in the South Arcot Collector's Office, to act as Translator, each to receive the full salary of the post in which he was appointed to act.

4. The Accountant General refused to pass the full pay of Head Clerk to Singaravelu Moodelly, stating that he was entitled to half his own pay as Translator and a moiety of the Head Clerk's. It followed, therefore, that the Acting Translator would get only half his proper pay, as the salary of his post in Cuddalore was of course paid to the acting incumbent. The case was clearly placed before the Government by the Board in their Proceedings above quoted, and again referred to the Accountant General, who again placed his veto on the payment of the salary. The Accountant General appears to overlook entirely the fact that Sivasunkara Moodelly's full pay as Acting Deputy Collector is provided by the Inam Commissioner, and that he does not, therefore, require the moiety of his pay as Head Clerk to which the Accountant General declares him to be entitled, and which he, therefore, refuses to pass to the man who is doing his duty.

5. I am at a loss to understand how there can be any difficulty in assigning the full pay of an office to the person performing the duties thereof when it is not required for any other purpose. In the meantime, however, the Board will not fail to observe the great hardship to which the Acting Head Clerk and Acting Translator of my Office are subjected. In the event of the Government not being able to pass such special orders as may enable them to obtain their dues, I would suggest that Sivasunkara Moodelly should be permanently appointed as Deputy Collector from the date of his appointment to act in that post, on the understanding that when his present appointment ceases, he should revert to his old post of Head Clerk. Those acting in succession to him might also be appointed in the same manner, and the Accountant General's objections might be so got over.

Submitted for the favorable consideration of Government.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th September 1868.

No. 80. ORDER THEREON, 7th September 1868, No. 2,330.

Miscellaneous.

1. Communicated to the Accountant General with request that he will report his opinion whether the ruling of the Government of India, referred to in his Memorandum, under date 23rd April 1868, No. 18, can be held to apply to the case of Sivasunkara Moodelly.

2. It is observed that this ruling applies to the appointment of persons nominated to officiate as Deputy Collectors on the *regular* Establishment, and that Sivasunkara Moodelly is appointed to officiate and not permanently, merely in order that he may retain a lien on his own appointment, as his employment in the Inam Department cannot be permanent.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant General.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 2330.

Comm[d] to the Acc[t] General with request that he will report his opinion whether the ruling of the Govt of India referred to in his Memo under date 23rd April 1868 No. 18 can be held to apply to the case of Sivasunkara Moodely.

2. It is observed that this ruling applies to the appointment of persons nominated to officiate as Deputy Collrs on the regular Estab[t] and that Sivasunkara Moodelly is appointed to officiate and not permanently merely in order that he may retain a lien on his own appt as his employment in the Inam Dep[t] cannot be permanent.

Date Intelligence Despatch 7th Sept 1868
Rec[d] by Rail

Pr. No. 201.02 09-5-68