M.R.O. 1—39,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2174 Press ~~NIL~~

231-32.
Dated 15 — 8 — No. 1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 15th August 1868.

No. 231. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd August 1868, No. 5,631:—

Read the following letter from the Acting Sub-Collector in charge of Bellary, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Camp at Gooty, 18th June 1868, No. 139.

1. I have the honor to ask for sanction to disburse to V. Streenevassa Row, a Gumastah in the Hospett Talook Cutcherry, his pay for six weeks, during which he was absent on privilege leave.

2. Streenevassa Row had a month's leave granted to him in 1865, and returned to duty on the 1st of August of that year. He was suspended in December 1866 for one month, and before he had been eleven months at his duty, after the expiry of his suspension, he applied for six weeks privilege leave. His application was sent to the Treasury Deputy Collector, who reported that he was entitled to the leave, and it was accordingly granted to him. The Accountant General has, however, said that the Gumastah was not entitled to the leave, as his service entitling to leave should be reckoned from the date of the Gumastah's return to duty after suspension; and he has directed the pay given for the six weeks to be retrenched: this order has been carried into effect.

3. The Gumastah probably would not have gone on leave had he known he was to receive no pay for the period he was on leave. I do not think that the Gumastah should suffer for going on leave when told he was entitled to do so. The Deputy Collector, whose report I herewith forward, says that in calculating whether the man was entitled to the leave, he did not take into consideration the time the man was suspended. I certainly think he was wrong in this; but it is a matter in which there may be two opinions, and so I see nothing to blame in his giving the certificate that the man was entitled to leave.

4. Under these circumstances, I would suggest that the Gumastah's pay may be repaid to him; the amount for the six weeks that has been retrenched, is Rupees 22-8-0. It is a case which cannot occur again, as it is now known that a man, after suspension, must serve eleven months before he becomes entitled to privilege leave.

From the Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury, Bellary, to the Collector of Bellary, dated 27th May 1868, No. 711.

1. In returning the Arzi of Vungoor Streenevassa Row, Gumastah of the Hospett Talook, dated 27th ultimo, forwarded to me for report thereon, I beg to state that the Accountant General having, in his Result Statement, No. 5,710, dated 27th January 1868, directed the recovery from Vungoor Streenevassa Row, Gumastah of Hospett Talook, of Rupees 18-8-0, remarking that he was not entitled to any privilege leave, as, when the leave was granted to him, he had not been eleven months continuously at duty since he returned from one month's suspension on the 12th January 1867; the Tahsildar of Hospett was directed to recover the said amount from the Gumastah.

2. The one month's privilege leave granted to this individual was in accordance with the Leave Rules, in which it is simply stated that when privilege leave is taken at one time, no second leave can be granted until after the completion of eleven months from the expiration of last leave, and there is no mention made in it that when a person is suspended he is not entitled to leave till after eleven months continuously at duty from the date he returns to duty from suspension; but as the Accountant General has directed the amount to be recovered, the Tahsildar should be directed to withhold payment of the 15 Rupees due to the Gumastah, and to deduct 3½ Rupees from his salary for this month.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th August 1868.

Submitted for the favorable consideration of Government. Under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the Board recommend that sanction may be granted, as a special case, for the re-payment of Rupees 22-8-0 to the Gumastah, Streenevassa Row.

No. 232. ORDER THEREON, 15th August 1868, No. 2,174.

Miscellaneous.

Sanction is accordingly granted for Rupees (22-8-0) twenty-two and Annas eight, as a special case.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

U 2

W. 2174.

Sanction is accordingly granted for Rs (22-8-0) twenty two and Annas eight as a special case.

15th August 68.

13/8/68
16 Rd to of Rev. Despo 17.

6 Accountant General