

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2076	Press Mis.
²⁷⁻²⁸⁻ Dated	3-8-	18/868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

No. 27. Read the following letter from J. HOPE, Esq., Madras Civil Service, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort St. George, dated Chicacole, 27th June 1868 :—

1. I have just perused Proceedings of Government (Miscellaneous), dated 20th ultimo, No. 1,421, finally deciding that Mr. Comyn, Acting Sub-Collector of North Arcot, is entitled to deputation allowance for the time he was in charge of the district, calculated on the aggregate of the salaries of the two offices in which he officiated.

2. While Acting 1st Assistant Collector of Dharwar, I was in charge of the district from the 6th to the 29th August 1866, and from the 9th October to the 5th December 1866, and, like Mr. Comyn, I drew deputation allowance calculated on the aggregate of the salaries of the two offices in which I officiated (*i.e.*, Rupees 513-5-4 per mensem). But since my return to this Presidency, about a year ago, the sum of Rupees 214-2-9 has been recovered from me by order of the Accountant General of Bombay, on the ground that I was only entitled to the deputation allowance of the higher office in which I officiated, and that by receiving deputation as Acting Collector, I was precluded from claiming deputation as Acting 1st Assistant Collector. I enclose copy of the Accountant General's objection statement. I can see no force in his reference to the Resolution of the Government of India, No. 4,229, dated 3rd April 1861, for my position was not that of "an officer acting in an equal or inferior appointment," but in a superior one. Though dissatisfied with his decision, I acquiesced in it at the time, and remitted the sum ordered to be recovered, through the Tanjore treasury. Now, however, that the opinion of the Accountant General of Madras, in favor of a claim exactly parallel to mine, has been endorsed by the Madras Government, I am emboldened to make a representation on the subject, in the hope that Government will be pleased to communicate to the Bombay Government my appeal from the decision of the Accountant General of that Presidency.

No. 28. ORDER THEREON, 3rd August 1868, No. 2,076.

Miscellaneous.

The Government do not feel at liberty to forward Mr. Hope's appeal to the Government of Bombay.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To J. Hope, Esq.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

20th May 1868 No 488-489
Govt of India Resolution No 4269
of 3 April 1868.

No 428

222 July 1868-1869

1 copy to Mr. H. C. L. D. D. D. D.

WON
L. W. D. stop
here.
W. H.

27-2076.
The Govt do not feel at liberty to forward
Mr. Hope's appeal to the Govt of Bombay
at this late date.
32nd Aug^r 1868.
Mr. Hope should have sent in his appeal
when the Exec. Council's order was passed.