

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2075	Press ~~Vis.~~
²²⁻²⁶ Dated	3 - 8 -	N 1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

Read the following :—

No. 22. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th January 1867, No. 352.
Read the following letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vinnukonda, 20th December 1866, No. 408.

1. I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue, the following report on the claim advanced by Rajah Malrauze Venkata Condul Row, in respect of certain topes enjoyed by the late Malrauze Lakshmiah, widow of late Rajah Malrauze Venkata Gunda Row, till her death.

2. From the report received from the Tahsildar it appears that these topes were raised by the petitioner's ancestors of the Malrauze family for charitable purposes, and held by them successively till the demise of the late Lakshmiah, who held the estate for some time, and afterwards received the monthly allowance of Rupees 1,000.

3. The topes alluded to above are situated in the five villages noted in the margin, embracing an extent of Acres 149, Guntas 9, of which the topes in the villages of Nakricul, Inumella, Vinnukonda, and part of that of Atloor comprising an extent of Acres 108-20, were given to villagers as "charitable gardens," and the remaining Acres 40, Guntas 29, were assessed to Government as Seri land, and are now held on putta by certain ryots.

1. Nakricul	A.	G.
2. Inumella	18	6
3. Vinnukonda	18	9
4. Atloor	2	1
	70	4
Held now on former tenure	108	20
<i>Assessed.</i>		
At Atloor	36	7
5. Ipoor	4	2
Nakricul	0	20
	40	29

4. The applicant bases his claim to all these garden lands on the ground that he is the heir of the original planters, and that other topes which were similarly planted in former years in the Malrauze estate by others, with the permission of his forefathers the former Zemindars, have been recognised and enfranchised by the Inam Commissioner as Inams, and he, therefore, prays that the gardens in question may be likewise treated as Inams and put in his possession. With this statement of the case, I beg to be favored with the Board's instructions as to the disposal of the claim.

5. I see no reason why the charitable gardens* should not be made over to him with a view to their improvement, and on condition of continuing them as charitable topes.

6. I do not see how the lands, already incorporated with Seri and now occupied by ryots, can be restored to the petitioner without the consent of the occupiers.

1. The Board cannot deal with the case without further information. The Collector should state what estate he refers to, the former and present circumstances of the family, the connection of the petitioner with it, the present position of the estate, the time when, and the circumstances under which, the topes were

A

*Acting Sub-Collector
in Charge.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

Row was recognized as the lawful heir, and in the year 1827 the estates were made over to her under the sanction of Government. She managed the same till Fusly 1242, when, in consequence of the famine which then prevailed, the pesheush fell into arrears, and the estate was attached by the Collector.

7. In the year 1836 when the other Zemindaries, which were before that time under attachment, were surrendered to their proprietors, Malrauze Lakshmiah petitioned the authorities for the restoration of her estate also; but the Government declined to sanction the restoration, on account of her late mismanagement, as recorded in the Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd December 1833. The estates thus continued under Government management until they finally reverted to Government in 1846.

8. A monthly pension of Rupees 1,000 was afterwards granted to M. Lakshmiah for her support during her life-time.

To Commissioner, N. C., 21st November 1849.
From do. 16th March 1850.
To Commissioner, 21st March 1850.
From do. to Govt., 28th March 1850, No. 47.
E. M. C., 15th May 1850, No. 493.
Commissioner's Proceedings, 25th May 1850.

9. The topes or gardens now under reference, were also ordered to be continued to M. Lakshmiah for her life, free of any demand, except for such parts as might be brought under wet or dry cultivation.

10. On 3rd September 1855 Lakshmiah died, and in reporting the same, the Collector of the late Guntur District recommended to the Commissioner of the late Northern Circars that the allowance enjoyed by the deceased should be continued to her grandson Venkata Condul Row, the present applicant; but under the circumstances stated in Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 20th October 1855, No. 1,168, the Government declined to grant any pension at all.

11. Afterwards the Collector of the late Guntur District resumed all the topes mentioned in paragraph 3 of my letter, No. 408, dated 11th ultimo, and Acres 40, Guntas 29, forming part of the above, having been cultivated by the ryots, were assessed and included in their puttahs. During the late Inam inquiry, the Inam Commissioner made over the remaining Acres 108, Guntas 20 of land to the villagers as charitable gardens.

12. By the orders of Government, dated 2nd April 1864, No. 550, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of 6th idem, the present applicant, Venkata Condul Row, was recognized as lineal heir of the Malrauze family, and an allowance of Rupees 800 a month was bestowed on him, and to be continued to his lineal male descendants agreeably to orders from Home authorities.

13. Venkata Condul Row bases his claim to the gardens as heir of the deceased Lakshmiah, with reference to the above orders.

14. Translations of Rajapetta Tahsildar's report, and of Condul Row's Arzi, are herewith forwarded as directed.

*a Acting Sub Collector
in charge.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

Translation of an Arji submitted to G. Thorshill, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, by Rajah Mulraju Venkata Kondul Row, Zemindar, Sur. Duramookh, Munnavar Perganna, Bellumkonda, &c., dated 1st September 1864.

1. Some of the gardens which had been under our occupation during the life-time of my grandmother, by name Mulraju Lakshmiiah Gar, having been subsequently taken from my possession without cause, and some cultivated gardens having been assessed, I respectfully beg to state briefly as follows, in the hope that inquiry will be made regarding the injustice done me, and that justice be rendered me.

2. In the Inayetnama issued to my grandmother by the Collector of the Guntur District, on the 21st June 1850, it was stated that the Commissioner held proceedings on the 22nd May 1850, observing that Government, in their Minutes of Consultation, dated 15th idem, recorded,

That the gardens under our occupancy should be continued to my grandmother free of assessment during her life-time. And that if any of these gardens were cultivated with either wet or dry crops, they should be charged with the usual rate of sist.

It was further stated (in the Inayetnama) that the gardens, consisting of Kuchels 13-12-15, situated at Atloor, Nakrikulla, Vinnukonda, Inumalla, and Ipoor, to be continued according to the aforesaid conditions.

3. With reference to the aforesaid Inayetnama, my grandmother submitted an Arji to the Collector on the 22nd August 1850, stating,

That while the Honorable Government have been pleased to grant lands to those wishing to raise charitable gardens, and while they (Government) have been pleased to accord concession to continue uninterruptedly the Inams, Agraharums &c., (which were granted by our ancestors when the Estate was in our possession) to their holders from generation to generation it would be perceived that the continuance to us in perpetuity of the very small extent of the charitable gardens held by us is not objectionable.

That the aforesaid gardens, consisting of Kuchels 13-12-15, were planted by our ancestors, by her (my grandmother's) husband, and by his descendants for charitable purposes.

That the produce of 1 Kuchel and 2 Vissams of land of the tamarind tope, and mango, and lemon gardens at Inumalla, has been consecrated to the temple called Bhavanarayanawami, situated at that village, while the produce of the remaining land, Kuchels 12-10-15, has been lineally enjoyed by "us" from generation to generation.

That it should hereafter be enjoyed accordingly.

That her Arji might be submitted to the Commissioner with the Collector's recommendation. And that orders might be obtained to continue the land of the aforesaid gardens to "us," to be enjoyed as a charitable grant from generation to generation without let or hindrance.

4. No decisive orders have been issued on the subject.

5. My grandmother submitted a petition to the Collector of the late Guntur District on the 21st September 1855, praying to continue the allowance enjoyed by her to me, who is the successor to her estate, and died the next day.

6. I also submitted petitions to the authorities regarding my right to succeed to the allowance &c. But at that time, the allowance, &c., enjoyed by my grandmother were not granted to me; consequently, some of the gardens were not kept in my possession as usual. Some were charged with Seri sist, and some were placed under the superintendence of the villagers.

7. I have represented this circumstance during the Inam inquiry, but the matter was not then disposed of.

8. The aforesaid gardens having been taken from our possession, and placed under the superintendence of the villagers, the village people, for want of better security, are in the habit of cutting the fruit before they come to maturity, lopping the branches and felling the trees, and committing such other depredations at discretion. The gardens would, therefore, be gradually ruined, and the charitable object of my ancestors defeated. I therefore beg to state as follows:—

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

9. Since the demise of my grandmother, I submitted several petitions to the Collectors, Board and the Government, regarding my succession to her allowance, estate &c. The Collectors also were pleased to submit their recommendations in my favor.

10. Your honor was pleased to issue an Inayetnama to me, under date the 16th April 1864, stating that the Government taking into their consideration all the aforesaid Arjis, sanctioned, through favor towards me, the payment to me of a monthly allowance of Rupees 800 to be enjoyed from generation to generation. I am, therefore, much gratified.

11. Your honor will perceive that there exists no objection to put me in possession of the aforesaid gardens attached to the estate of my grandmother.

Moreover, the rules enacted by the Honorable Government in regard to the treatment of gardens, were published in the District Gazette, No. 11, dated 24th February 1860. The matter of our gardens has not been disposed of even according to those rules. Our gardens have to be treated according to the rule referred to in paragraph 1, as notified in the aforesaid Gazette.

13. But, as some gardens appeared to have been subsequently charged with sist, my grandmother submitted Arjis (as stated in paragraph 3) representing that she would not consent to the imposition of the sist; and that the gardens might be continued to her free of assessment, to be enjoyed from generation to generation as usual. After her demise I continued to claim for succession to her entire estate, in which my right to the gardens in question was also included.

14. It will be perceived by your honor, that as the sist subsequently imposed was unjust, paragraph 2 of the rules referred to in the aforesaid Gazette is not applicable to our gardens.

15. These gardens were planted, some by my grandfather and some by his ancestors a long time ago. They were not of a recent date.

16. In some of these lands cultivation was carried on for the purpose of strengthening the trees, and the intermediate vacant spaces of ground were intended for the plantation of trees. The cultivation thus carried on was not for the purpose of deriving any profit.

17. After the garden land, on which palmirah and cocoanut trees stood, was determined to be charged with sist, certain gardens were incorporated with Seri, on the ground that the holders thereof have failed to have sists fixed on their gardens, and the proceeds derived from those gardens were credited to Government. The claims now brought by the heirs of the owners of the gardens have been inquired into, and the gardens have been made over to them by your honor, who issued orders to treat them according to the rules enacted in respect to gardens generally.

18. With respect to the rules by which gardens are directed to be charged with sists, certain persons represented that the gardens within their holdings have been continued to them free of sist, and that they, therefore, do not like the imposition of the sist. Therefore, (though some gardens have been assessed) the assessment has not been collected, while some other gardens have not at all been charged with sists. They were styled "Takurar Gardens," and so were made over to the heirs of the owners.

19. Under all these circumstances, it will be perceived by your honor, that the imposition of sists on some of the gardens which are within my holding, and the taking of some gardens from my possession, are illegal.

20. The aforesaid gardens were planted by my ancestors, and were enjoyed by us from generation to generation for a long time. The area of the twenty-one gardens, as per the detailed list herein enclosed, is Kuchels 13-12-15, of which, one Kuchel and two Vissams, being the extent of five gardens situated at Inumalla were consecrated to the temple called Sri Bhavanarayanawami, situated in the same village. I request that those gardens may be exempted from the payment of quit-rent (under Dharmadaiyom), agreeably to paragraph 3 of the rules published in the Gazette above referred to, and that the remaining sixteen gardens, consisting of Kuchels 12-10-15, which have been enjoyed by me, be at once put in my possession, together with those gardens which are at present out of my occupancy, because the trees thereof have been ruined from want of proper care and attention. I further request your honor will be pleased to charge the aforesaid sixteen gardens, consisting of Kuchels 12-10-15, with one-eighth of the quit-rent, agreeably to paragraph 1 of the

*a. Sub Collector
in Charge.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

rules enacted in regard to the treatment of gardens generally, which were published in the Gazette, No. 11, to permit those gardens to be enjoyed by me from generation to generation in the same manner as the allowance granted me was ordered to be enjoyed by me in perpetuity, and to discontinue the collection of the sist already imposed on the gardens in question.

Please to consider this.

1st December 1866.

(Signed) RAJAH MULRAJU VENKATA KONDUL ROW.

(True translation.)

(Signed) H. NEWMAN,
Assistant Collector.

Translation of an Arzi submitted to T. A. N. Chase, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, by Pingali Sunder Row, Tahsildar of Rajapetta Talook, dated 13th October 1865.

With reference to your Hukum, No. 80, dated 5th instant, wherein it was stated,

That no reply has yet been sent to the order dated 6th June last, with which an Arzi from Raja Mulraju Venkata Kondul Row, regarding gardens, was sent with directions to furnish the information on the subject.

That the Arzi, No. 712, submitted again by the same individual has been sent.

And that the information called for should be supplied within ten days after the receipt of the order.

I beg to state as follows:—

* List of disputed subjects.

I have heard that action was taken upon a "Jumabundy" Takarar Putti of Fusly 1265,

regarding the gardens situated at Narasarowpetta, a hamlet of Atloor, and the village of Nakarikanti referred to in the aforesaid Kondul Row's Arzi. The Takarar Putti in the Talook Cutcherry having been destroyed with the useless records, reference could not be had to it. I therefore requested the Sub-Collector in Arzis, No. 396, dated 29th September 1864; No. 92, dated 17th April 1865; and No. 120, dated 18th May, to favor me with the Takarar Putti lodged in the Huzoor. I was informed by an Order, No. 101, dated 20th June, that the Huzoor Native Record-keeper has been directed to send me the Takarar Putti in question, but it has not yet been sent to me.

In the inquiry instituted into the subject of these gardens it has transpired,

That there are eleven gardens at Atloor, and six gardens at Nakarikanti held in the name of Rajah Mulraju Lakshmiah.

That Lakshmiah having died, the Collector of the Guntur District, upon information furnished by the then Tahsildar with reference to the Takarar Putti at the Jumabundy of Fusly 1265, issued a Hukum, No. 910, on the 24th June 1865, to the effect that as Mulraju Kondul Row, grandson of Lakshmiah, had agreed to pay the cultivation sist for such lands (in the topes) as have no trees as

+ Account shewing the gross produce of a village.
+ The whole extent of land attached to a village.

per dowl[†] of the gudikattu, ‡ those lands should be entered in the accounts in his name; and that (the produce of) the gardens having trees,

should be applied to charitable purposes without reference to the Zemindar.

That in the Inam inquiry, the Deputy Collector, Inam Commission, not only considered these gardens as "public," and disposed of the matter accordingly, but also issued an Order No. 158, on the 13th July 1860, to the effect that these gardens should be treated as charitable ones for the utility of the public in general.

That title-deeds having been received for some of the topes at Nakarikanti, the Inam Commissioner was requested to state as to what should be done with those title-deeds.

That the Inam Commissioner in reply, ordered that they should be delivered to the Managers of the topes should there be any appointed, or that they should be forwarded to the Collector.

And that thereupon, the Tahsildar submitted the three title-deeds of the topes at Nakarikanti to the Huzoor with an Arzi, No. 1, dated 17th January 1861.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

Of the eleven gardens at Atloor, seven were entered in the name of the aforesaid Kondul Row under the head "Seri," and sist paid thereon to Government.

The remaining four gardens continued to be enjoyed as charitable.

Regarding the six gardens at Nakarikanti, the Curnums and others of the village gave in statements.

That the ancestors of Khosa Ramudu, do. Narusu, Balumuru Janamma and Kalungi Bapaya, planted the gardens and made them over to Mulraju Venkata Gunda Royenengar when he was alive.

That he, during his life-time, and after him Lakshmiah, and after the latter's death, his grandson, named Venkata Kondul Rayudu, allowed the gardens to be enjoyed as charitable.

That title-deeds were granted by Government during the Inam inquiry for the three gardens of Khosa Ramudu, do. Narusu, and Balumuru Janamma.

That those title-deeds were sent to the Huzoor.

That a separate title-deed was granted in the name of Kalungi Bapaya for the two gardens belonging to him, but the produce of those gardens has been applied to charitable purposes.

That the garden, No. 252, consisting of Acres 20, called "Ariti Tota," was ruined in Fusly 1242.

That there remained in that garden margosa and tamarind trees.

That the produce of the tamarind trees had been enjoyed rent-free by Mulraju Lakshmiah up to Fusly 1265.

That in Fusly 1266, one Saakula Balaya took it for cultivation for a sist of Rupees 2-8-0, and tendered an agreement to that effect.

That the garden was, therefore, made over to that individual, who is paying sist to Government.

And that the trees in that garden have been enjoyed by him.

I intended to refer to the Takarar Putti of Fusly 1265, when it comes from the Huzoor, and then to report the foregoing circumstances to your honor; but as it has not yet been received, delay occurred, but not otherwise.

I beg to submit the following papers:—

Arzis from Kondul Royenengar, viz.,

Arzi, dated 12th September 1864, with enclosures	2
.. dated 21st May	1
.. dated 24th September	1
	— 4

Papers produced by the Curnums of Atloor.

Explanatory account	1
Statement of the Curnums	1
	— 2

Papers produced by the Curnums of Nakarikanti.

Statement paper	1
Explanatory paper	1
	— 2

Papers produced by the son, Kalungi Bapaya, an inhabitant of Challagulla.

Statement	1
Putta No. 838	1
Statement of Khasa Sashu Kristnamma	1
	— 3

Copy of the Hukum, No. 910, dated 24th June 1856, issued by the Collector.	1
Do. Arzi, No. 1, dated 17th January 1861, submitted to the Collector	1
Do. Hukum, No. 158, dated 13th July 1860, issued by the Inam Commissioner to Kunche Annajee Row, Tahsildar	1
	— 3

*Acting Sub Collector
in charge.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

It does not appear that title-deeds have been received for the four gardens at Atloor. I request your honor will be pleased to peruse the record and return the title-deed No. 388, herewith sent, (produced by Subbi Setty, son of Kalungi Bapaya, an inhabitant of Chailagulla) for the purpose of being returned to that individual. Please to consider this.

(Signed) PINGALI SUNDER ROW,
Tahsildar.

(True translation.)

(Signed) H. NEWMAN,
Assistant Collector.

The Board resolve to refer the foregoing letter to the Inam Commissioner, and to ask him to inform them whether he considered the claims of Kondul Row, and why he made over the topes to the villagers instead of to him.

No. 24. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th September 1867,
No. 5,951.

Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 18th January and 13th June 1867,
Nos. 352 and 3,636.

Read also the following letter from the Officiating Inam Commissioner, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 7th August 1867, No. 16.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Board, dated 13th June 1867, No. 3,636.
2. In 1856, the Collector of the district decided that the resumed topes of the Malrauze family should be placed beyond the control of the petitioner Kondul Row, and that their proceeds should be appropriated for the public benefit. Consequently, the claims of the petitioner were not taken into consideration by this department, and the title-deeds, which were issued to the manager for the time being, were ordered to be delivered to the managers of the topes, should any have been appointed, and if not, to be sent to the Collector.

3. The topes under notice are situated in two villages, Nakricul and Atloor. For the topes in the former village, title-deeds were issued as above stated, but for those in the latter village, no title-deeds have been issued. It was decided that they should be treated as Government property.

4. Since all the topes have been resumed by the Collector, I consider that the issue of title-deeds for any of them was an error. They should have been left to be disposed of by the Collector. If they can be confirmed as public charity topes, I entertain no doubt of the propriety and justice of making them over to the petitioner Kondul Row, and delivering the title-deeds to him.

1. The topes and gardens claimed as Inams by Rajah Malrauze Venkata Condul Row, embrace an extent of Acres 149-9-0. They were planted by the owners of the Malrauze estate, and fell into the hands of Government with the rest of the estate when it reverted to Government in 1864. Government granted the last owner of the estate, Malrauze Lakshmiah, a pension of Rupees 1,000 per mensem, and the enjoyment of these topes and gardens, with the exception of such parts as had been brought under cultivation, rent-free for life.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

2. When Malrauze Lakshmiah died in 1855, the topes and gardens became liable to assessment, and they ought to have been offered on fair terms to her adopted son and heir Condul Row.

3. Instead of taking this course, the Collector seems to have regarded them as "charitable" topes, and after Acres 40-29-0, which were cultivated, had been assessed and included in the puttahs of the cultivators, the remainder was made over to the villagers.

4. The Inam Commission, acting under some great misconception, regarded the topes in Nakarikallu as Inam and issued title-deeds for them, whilst they regarded the similar topes in Atloor as public property. It does not appear whether the Commission dealt with the remainder of the topes which are situated in Inumella and Vinnukonda, or not.

5. Looking to the terms on which the topes were held by Malrauze Lakshmiah, the Board consider that Condul Row has no right to the cultivated part of the land which has been included in puttahs, and that he cannot claim to enjoy the remainder rent-free, but they consider it clear that he should be allowed to take it if he chooses, on a moderate assessment.

6. The Collector will accordingly forward the title-deeds, which are probably still in his possession, to the Inam Commissioner, for the purpose of being cancelled, and will announce to Condul Row the terms on which he is prepared, in accordance with these Proceedings, to put him in possession of the topes.

7. The result should be reported for the information of the Board.

No. 25. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th July 1868, No. 5,032.
Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 13th June 1867, No. 3,636, and 17th September 1867, No. 5,951.

Read also the following letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Circuit Cutcherry at Chinna Ganjam, in Bapatla Talook, 15th April 1868, No. 121.

1. Adverting to paragraph 5 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 5,951, dated 17th September last, I have the honor to state, for the information of the Board, that the whole of the charitable gardens applied for by Rajah Malrauze Venkata Condul Row, were Acres 150-50* in

* Survey area A. G. 159 26

† Survey area 118 24

‡ Assessment according to Survey rates RS. A. P. 169 3 0

extent according to the present account, of which Acres 53-65 were cultivated and assessed, and included in the puttahs of the cultivators. To this portion Condul Row has no claim at all. The remaining portions are Acres 96-85† in extent: they are situated in the villages of Atloor, Nakricul, and Inumella. The assessment on these gardens according to the present rates is Rupees 135-1-9‡.

2. The late Acting Collector, Mr. Wilson, communicated to Condul Row the orders of the Board, and also intimated to him that the last named gardens will be put in his possession in the event of his agreeing to pay three-fourths of the assess-

a Acting Sub Collector

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

ment now fixed on them subject to revision, when the new settlement rates are introduced, as shewn below:—

	Shist as at present fixed.			Settlement shist.		
	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
	135	1	9	169	3	0
Deduct three-fourths...	101	5	4	126	14	3
Condul Row's share ...	33	12	5	42	4	9

3. In his reply, translation of which is enclosed, Condul Row states his inability to take up the gardens on the condition proposed by Mr. Wilson.

4. He states further that, as the gardens are at present in a ruined state, it will require much money to restore them and render them available for charitable purposes; he lastly proposes to take them on half assessment. It amounts to Rupees 07-8-10 and Rupees 84-9-6 respectively, as shewn below:—

	Shist according to present rates.			Settlement shist.		
	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
Government shist ...	67	8	10	84	9	6
Condul Row's share ...	67	8	11	84	9	6
Total ...	135	1	9	169	3	0

5. With reference to the opinion of the Board that a moderate assessment should be fixed on these garden lands, I think the proposition of Condul Row is a fair one.

6. The number of fruit trees now standing in each of the gardens is shewn below. The average number of trees in each acre is 14.

Villages.	No. of gardens.	Extent of land.		No. of trees.
		A.	C.	
Atloor ...	4	70	10	768
Nakricul ...	5	18	15	404
Inumella ...	2	8	60	200
Total ...	11	96	85	1,372

7. As directed in paragraph 6 of the Proceedings under acknowledgment, I beg to inform you that the title-deeds issued by the Inam Commissioner for the topes in Nakricul village have been cancelled, and the lands incorporated with Government lands.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of an Arzi.

The Board resolve to submit these papers for the orders of Government, and to recommend that the topes and gardens referred to, with the exception of such parts of them as are included in puttah holdings, be made over to Condul Row on half assessment.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd August 1868.*

No. 26.

ORDER THEREON, 3rd August 1868, No. 2,075.

Land Revenue.

1. The Board of Revenue submit a report from the Collector of the Kistna District on the subject of making over certain topes to Rajah Malrauze Venkata Condul Row, for charitable purposes.

2. From their Proceedings of 17th September 1867, it appears that Condul Row has a fair claim to the topes in question on a moderate assessment, inasmuch as he is the heir of the late proprietress Malrauze Lakshmiah, to whom they were granted rent-free for life.

3. That portion of them which has been cultivated and assessed cannot of course be resumed, but the remainder may be made over to Condul Row, as recommended by the Board, on payment of half assessment.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. S. T. Augustin.

*Acting Sub Collector
in charge.*

75
Translation of an Azee presented by Raja Mahraj
Tunkate Kondalrow R. Zeminder of Narearowpett.

To, G. Thornhill Esquire,
Collector of the Nistna District
Sir,

I have received and understood the contents of an Azee-
nama under date the 20th January 1868 addressed to me by
Mr. Wilson Esquire, together with a copy of translation of the
Proceedings of the Honorable Board of Revenue dated 17th
September 1867 regarding the gardens belonging to me situated
in a & other Villages.

The Azee-nama informs me that you are prepared to
allow me a Ryazet (deduction from rent) of 25 per Cent of the
assessment about to be fixed on unoccupied garden lands and
requires me to express my willingness or otherwise to accede to the
proposal.

I am disposed to act according to whatever Government
may order as just and have no other intention. But as the
gardens are now in ruins, while I have, whether at present
or at times in future, to spend money and put them in good
order, and so be instrumental in improving the charity of my
ancestors, to have to pay three fourths of rent besides is burdensome.

I therefore request that you will be pleased to have
favour on me, to reconsider the subject, to allow me a Ryazet
of $\frac{1}{2}$ (50 per Cent) of the assessment, to levy the other half
and to order to put me in possession of the gardens situated
in the above-mentioned Villages.

Please to consider this.

14th March 1868.

(Signed) Raja Mahraj
Tunkate Kondalrow.

(True translation)

W. H. H.

Acting Sub Collector
in charge.

7 Rev^d Department

W^d 2075.
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 3^o ^{Augt} July 1868.

Read the following
Pro. of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting papers for
orders, and recommending that
certain tofes and gardens in
Kistna Dist^h be made over to
Condul Row on half assessment

(H.C. 9th July 1868 No. 5032)

1 The Board of
Revenue submit a report
from the Collector of the
Kistna District on the sub-
ject of making over certain
tofes to Rajah Malray
Venkata Condul Row, for
charitable purposes.

2 From their proceed-
ings of 17th September 1867,
it appears that Condul
Row

WV
LR

1868 Sept^r

In a letter from
the Collector of

Kistna District

dated 15th April 1868

N^o 121

Relinquish No 1497/68

Rev: 2387/68

Instructions

of an order for

referred by Rajah

Malray to the

Collector of the

Revenue of the

Province -

Madras 6/18 No 25

10th July 1868

1327/26

Row has a fair claim
to ~~those~~ ^{the} ~~topes~~ ^{in question} on a moderate
assessment, inasmuch as
he is the heir of the late
proprietors Malrauzes
Lakshmiah, to whom they
were granted rent free for
life.

3 That portion of
them which has been culti-
vated and assessed cannot
of course be resumed; but
the remainder may be
made over to Gondut Row
as recommended by the
Board on payment of
half assessment.

H.

14 Copies to the Secy of the Dept. 45

11/10/62

29/

W. T. Hall

80

Centum Super Gardens in
Kithu District

Ms. 22026

Revenue 1868 before
No of Govt for Augt

Ms. 2045

No of the Unclaimed Govt
of 33 August 1868

Cir

Leishatuk