

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2059	Press ~~Mis.~~
⁴⁷⁷⁻²⁸ Dated	31-7-	19/868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

[Handwritten signature]

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
31st July 1868.*

No. 477. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th July 1868, No. 5,093 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 19th June 1868, No. 202.

1. I have the honor, with reference to the Order of Government, dated 15th January last, No. 117, communicated with the Board's Proceedings, dated 3rd February last, to state that the sum of Rupees 785, being the amount of Income Tax collected on the interest of certain Promissory Notes belonging to the assets of the Hati Ramji Mattam at Tripathi, is not included in the sum of Rupees 1,800, for the refund of which sanction was applied for in the Collector's letter, dated 31st August 1866, No. 247. This latter sum consists of Income Tax collected from the Mahant of the Tripathi Pagoda for the three years 1860-61 to 1862-63, at Rs. 600 a-year, on account of the Hati Ramji Mattam at Tripathi. The tax was assessed under Schedules 1 and 2 of Act No. XXXII. of 1860, as shewn below :—

	Amount of duty assessed under Sche- dule 1.	Amount of duty assessed under Sche- dule 2.
At 3 per cent....	Rs. 180	Rs. 270
„ 1 per cent....	60	90
	240	360
Total...	600	

2. The interest of the Notes in question was never paid from the Treasury of this district. The certificates issued by the Bank of Madras, and submitted to the Board by the Mahant's Vakil, with his letter of the 28th October last, embodied in the Board's Proceedings of the 30th October 1867, No. 7,071, shew that the interest was paid, and the Income Tax thereupon was deducted at the Accountant General's Office.

3. I regret the delay that has occurred in this office. The Proceedings on receipt were referred to the Serishtadar by my predecessor, but the Serishtadar says he personally returned them to Mr. Robinson, saying that as the Income Tax Department had never been under him, the information should be furnished by the Treasury Deputy Collector. No reference, however, appears to have been made to the Deputy Collector, and the Proceedings found their way back to the Head Writer, who seeing the reference to the Serishtadar penciled upon them, imagined that the information was in course of preparation. Mr. Robinson was frequently out in camp, and owing to the Head Writer being left at head-quarters, considerable confusion arose in the Correspondence Department. Prior, however, to the receipt of the Board's reminder, I had myself discovered the unanswered reference, and had set about collecting the requisite information.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
31st July 1868.*

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government, with reference to their Order, dated 15th January 1868, No. 117. It will be seen that Rs. 785, being the amount of Income Tax collected on the interest of certain Promissory Notes belonging to the Tripathi Pagoda, and for the refund of which sanction was solicited, is not included in the refund of Rupees 1,800 sanctioned in the Government Order, dated 4th October 1866, No. 2,647. The former amount was the Income Tax deducted by the Accountant General from the interest on certain Promissory Notes, and the latter, namely Rupees 1,800, was the tax collected on the income, &c., of the Mattam at Tripathi from 1860-61 to 1862-63.

No. 478.

ORDER THEREON, 31st July 1868, No. 2,059.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Government are not satisfied that sufficient inquiry has been instituted to ascertain that the Promissory Notes in question form part of the assets of the Tripathi Pagoda. The Board will refer the Acting Collector to Mr. Robinson's letter to them, dated 17th June 1867, No. 118½, as to the nature of the testimony required in such cases, and request him to submit a similar report on the Notes, in respect of which exemption from Income Tax is now sought.

2. The Government observe that the Deputy Collector's inquiry, reported in Mr. Robinson's letter, dated 31st August 1866, No. 247, which formed the subject of the Order of Government, dated 4th October 1866, No. 2,647, adduced in support of the present application in favor of the Tripathi Pagoda, referred to another Institution "Hati Ramji Mattam at Tripathi." This apparent discrepancy should be explained.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Revenue Department.

W. 2059.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 31st July 1868.

Read again Board's Proceedings dated 6th January 1868 H. 462 recorded in Proceedings of Govt. dated 15th January 1868 H. 117.

Read also the following Pro: of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting to Govt. letter from Col. of North Arcot furnishing certain information required by Government respecting refund to be proposed of Rs. 785 Income Tax collected on interest of Govt. Promissory notes forming part of assets of Tripathi Pagoda

(Here enter 10th July 1868 H. 5093).

WDL

The Govt. are not satisfied that sufficient enquiry has been instituted to ascertain that the Promissory notes in question form part of the assets of the Tripathi Pagoda. The Board will refer the Act. Col. to

Mⁿ

Mr. Robinson's letter to them
dated 14th June 1864 H^o 118 1/2
as to the nature of the testi-
mony required in such cases,
and request him to submit
a similar report and notes
in respect ~~of~~ which exemption
from Income tax is now
sought.

2. The Gov^t observe that
the Dep^t. Col^l. enquiry reported
in Mr. Robinson's letter dated
31st August 1866, H^o 2647 which
formed the subject of the Order
of Gov^t dated 4th October 1866
H^o 2647 adduced in support of
the present application in favor
of the Tripathi Pagoda,
referred to another institution
"Hate Ramji Mattam at
Tripathi". This ^{apparent discrepancy} ~~difference~~
should be explained.

AM

22/7/67
14 copies Letter B of Revenue Dept^o 1 Aug^o

227
2
G. H. Bell

Q.B.

Received of monetary on
part of Vaguelas Fund.

Ex^{no} no. 227-8

15/11/68

No 477-48

Revenue 1868 D^{to} Mr
Pro of Govt for July

N^o 2059

Pro of the Madras Govt
D^{to} 31 July/68.

Pro of the Board of Revenue
17 November 1868 C^o 2677

Cir
Ld