M.R.O. 1—50,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	1944	Press ~~Mis.~~
241-42 Dated	13-7-	1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1944

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 13th July 1868.

No. 241. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd June 1868, No. 4,055 :—

Read the following paper from the Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chendragherry, 19th March 1868.

Statement of lapsed Dehat Inam recommended for renewal in the District of North Arcot.

No.	Talook and Village; extent and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted; name of the original grantee; Sunnuds and other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity, or service; if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder date of her decease, and the relationship in which she stood to the original grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased, and that held by the other members.	Family left by the deceased, name and age of the parties, their circumstances, and the means of subsistence possessed by them.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	A portion of the ready money allowance of Rupees 26 granted in lieu of the Dehat Inam of Punji village in Wallajah Talook (late Kaveripakam Talook.)	Granted by the Nabob Sandat Ollah-khan to Shaik Abdul Wahib on the 22nd Shovol, 6th Jooloose.	For subsistence. Hereditary.	Bibijan; 27th April 1841; great-great-grand-daughter.	The entire allowance.	First son, Ghulam Hussain, aged 29, enjoys at present an annual allowance of Rupees 26 of the Punji Dehat Inam inherited by him on the death of his grandmother, and sanctioned by Government in their Proceedings, dated 31st July 1857.

REMARKS.—The Dehat Inam in question, now claimed by Ghulam Hussain,* was last held by his mother, Bibijan, of the village of Punji, in the Wallajah Talook. On her death, which took place on the 27th April 1841, application was made to the then Collector to have the Inam continued in the names of her son (the present claimant) and her husband; but that Officer, under an impression that the deceased's husband was in the enjoyment of a separate annual allowance of Rupees 104, recommended, in the Statement submitted to the Board on the 24th November 1845, the discontinuance of Bibijan's allowance. It was accordingly discontinued by the Board in their Proceedings, dated 5th March 1846, No. ——. On the representation, however, of Ghulam Hussain, the son of the deceased Yeomiahdar, the records, relating to the allowance (Rupees 104) supposed to be enjoyed by her husband, have been carefully examined, and it has been now ascertained that this allowance consisted of four equal shares, of which one only was his (deceased's husband's) own, and that the remaining shares belonged to three others, namely, Mohidin Bi, Sha Abdul Wahib, and Chand Bibi, although they had been drawn by the deceased's husband on their behalf, as they were registered in his name. The whole allowance lapsed to Government when Sha Abdul Khader was found guilty of giving false evidence. The aforesaid Inamdars, however, to whom three-fourths of this Inam belonged, claimed their shares, amounting to Rupees 78, and the Government sanctioned the continuance of the same in their Proceedings, dated 29th September 1854, No. ——. It is thus evident that Bibijan's husband was not in the enjoyment of an annual allowance (Rupees 104), as presumed by the Collector. Had he even enjoyed, as was supposed, such a personal allowance, it does not appear why it should not have been continued in the name of the son, as the Government have, after an investigation into the nature of the Punjah Inam, declared* the grant to be hereditary. Ghulam Hussain, son of the deceased Bibijan, now prays that his mother's allowance may be

* Board's Petition No. 3,534 of 1865.

* *Vide* Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 19th July 1855, No 873.
Vide also paragraph 25 of the Despatch of the Secretary of State, dated 9th September 1859, No. 10.

the case of Yeomiadar Zahuroodeen

The

continued in his name on the above grounds, and instances in support of his claim an analogous case in which three shares, similar in all respects to that enjoyed by his late mother, are at present held by the following Inamdars :—

(1) Jainab Sahiba, (2) Sha Bibi and Syed Mohidin, (3) Mahomed Syed.

Under these circumstances, and with reference to the Order above quoted, the Collector would admit the validity of this claim, and requests that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the payment of arrears, amounting to Rupees 693-8-9,† to Ghulam Hussain, from the date of his mother's death, and for continuing in his name the annual allowance of Rupees 26, as shown in Column 13 of the average Statement submitted to the Board with letter, No. 139, dated 14th November 1850.

† From 28th April 1841 to 31st December 1867.

The Collector has to apologize for the delay which has taken place in the disposal of this case. The Deputy Collector reports, in explanation, that there has been great difficulty in tracing up in the records the history of this and the other cases connected with it.

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector, and which have been verified by a reference to the Board's records, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that the arrears, from the date of the late incumbent's death up to 31st December 1867, amounting to Rupees 693-8-9, may be paid to her son Ghulam Hussain, and the annual allowance of Rupees 26 continued to him thenceforward.

No. 242. ORDER THEREON, 13th July 1868, No. 1,944.

Miscellaneous.

The Government sanction the continuance of the share of deceased to her eldest son Ghulam Hussain, in arrears from the date of her death, as recommended by the Collector and Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Encl. F. R. Banksman.

No. 4,055.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 2nd June 1868.

Read the following paper from J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chendragherry, 19th March 1863:—

Statement of lapsed Dehat Inam recommended for renewal in the District of North Arcot.

Number.	Talook and Village; extent and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted; name of the original grantee; Sunnuds and other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity or service; if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of her decease, and the relationship in which she stood to the original grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other members.	Family left by the deceased, name and age of the parties, their circumstances, and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	A portion of the ready money allowance of Rupees 26 granted in lieu of the Dehat Inam of Punji village in Wallajah talook (late Kaveripakam talook.)	Granted by the Nabob Sandat Ollahkhan to Shaik Abdul Wahib on the 22nd Shovol 6th Jooloose.	For subsistence. Hereditary.	Bibigan; 27th April 1841: great-great-grand-daughter.	The entire allowance.	First son, Gulam Hussain, age 29, enjoys at present an annual allowance of Rs. 26 of the Punji Dehat Inam inherited by him on the death of his grandmother, and sanctioned by Government in their Proceedings, dated 31st July 1857.	The Dehat Inam in question now claimed by Gulam Hussain* was last held by his mother, Bibigan, of the village of Punji in the Wallajah talook. On her death, which took place on the 27th April 1841, application was made to the then Collector to have Inam continued in the names of her son (the present claimant) and her husband; but that Officer, under an impression that the deceased's husband was in the enjoyment of a separate annual allowance of Rupees 104, recommended, in the Statement submitted to the Board on the 25th November 1845, the discontinuance of Bibigan's allowance. It was accordingly discontinued by the Board in their Proceedings, dated 5th March 1846, No.———. On the representation, however, of Gulam Hussain, the son of the deceased Yeomiahdar, the records relating to the allowance (Rupees 104), supposed to be enjoyed by her husband, have been carefully examined, and it has been now ascertained that this allowance consisted of four equal shares, of which one only was his (deceased's husband's) own, and that the remaining shares belonged to three others, namely, Mohodin Bi, Shaw Abdul Wahub, and Chand Bibi, although they had been drawn by the deceased's husband on their behalf as they were registered in his name. The whole allowance lapsed to Government when Sha Abdul Khader was found guilty of giving false evidence. The aforesaid Inamdars, however, to whom three-fourths of this Inam belonged, claimed their shares, amounting to Rupees 78, and the Government sanctioned the continuance of the same in their Proceedings, dated 25th September 1854, No.———. It is thus evident that Bibigan's husband was not in the enjoyment of an annual allowance (Rupees 104) as presumed by the Collector. Had he even enjoyed, as was supposed, such a personal allowance,

* Board's Petition No. 3,534 of 1865.

P.R. 3-

P.C. 1972-68

1

Memo

1 Bibijan great great grand daughter of the original grantee and mother of the present applicant Golam Hossain died on the 24th April 1841, her allowance Rs 24-7-10 paid out of the 1 Share of the Ponjay Inam in N. Arcot, was discontinued on the ground that her husband Shah Abdul Khader was in the receipt of another allowance of Rs 104- This was not the case, the sum in question was registered in the name of Shah abdul Khader who was merely in the enjoyment of one fourth share only amounting to Rs 26- This share was discontinued for having given false evidence in the case of Yeomindar Bahuroodeen

The

* Vide Ex: 11 in letter from Collr North Arcot 7/24 Novr/45 No 3594.

G.O. 27 Feby 1846. No 246.

G.O 4/5 June/50 No 555 para 1.

Column 8.—(Concluded.)

* Vide Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 19th July 1855, No 873.
Vide also paragraph 25 of the Despatch of the Secretary of State, dated 9th September 1859, No. 10

it does not appear why it should not have been continued in the name of the son, as the Government have, after an investigation into the nature of the Punjab Inam, declared* the grant to be hereditary. Gulam Hussain, son of the deceased Bibijan, now prays that his mother's allowance may be continued in his name on the above grounds and instances in support of his claim, an analogous case in which three shares similarly held in all respects to that enjoyed by his late mother are at present held by the following Inamdars:—

(1) Jainab Sahiba. (2) Sha Bibi and Syed Mohodin, (3) Mahomed Syed.

Under these circumstances, and with reference to the Order above quoted, the Collector would admit the validity of this claim, and requests that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the payment of arrears, amounting to Rupees 693-8-9,† to Gulam Hussain from the date of his mother's death, and for continuing in his name the annual allowance of Rupees 26 as shown in Column 13 of the average statement submitted to the Board with letter No. 139, dated 14th November 1850.—

† From 28th April 1841 to 31st December 1867.

The Collector has to apologize for the delay which has taken place in the disposal of this case. The Deputy Collector reports in explanation that there has been great difficulty in tracing up in the records the history of this and the other cases connected with it.

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector, and which have been verified by a reference to the Board's records, the Board support the Collector's recommendation that the arrears from the date of the late incumbent's death up to 31st December 1867, amounting to Rupees 693-8-9, may be paid to her son Gulam Hussain, and the annual allowance of Rupees 26 continued to him thenceforward.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

Sd. T. Cartledge.

Sub-Secretary.

The Board of Revenue[x] in submitting the proposition of the Collector of N. Arcot to resume the Dehat Inams in that Dis=trict and to grant ready money allowances, fixed on an average of the net proceeds of 10 years, the Govt. granted authority to resume and to incorporate with Circar land, the Dehat Inams speci=fied in the Collector's Statement No. 1 dated 14th November 1850 & for the payment of the ready money allowances to the parties entitled thereto amounting to Rs. 956-12- per annum of which the Loonjah Inam is one.

Golam Hoosain the present applicant claims his mother's allowance on the strength of the G.O. noted in the margin which declares that the Dehat Inam of Loonjay Vil=lage in N. Arcot is hereditary

Golam Hussain is also

[x] Bd's Ltr dated 10 March 1851. No. 122.

G.O. dated 13th June 1851. No. 564.

G.O. dated 9th July 1855 No. 873.
Despatch from Sec. of State dated 9th Sept. 1857. No. 10.

Tabular Statement dated 13th Dec. 1856 and sanctioned by Govt. in Pro. dated 31st July 1857 No. 770.

Vide Statements referred to in Board's Proc. dated 11th June 1868 No. 4336 which with former papers are with the Secretary for disposal

also in the enjoyment of an annual allowance of Rs 26 of the Loonjay Dehat Inam inherited by him on the death of his grand-mother Saubeebee

The present appli=cant was also recommended for a share in the hereditary Dehat Inam of Rs 210 per annum enjoyed by the late Nasseja Bibi great great grandson's widow of the original grantee who died on the 1st January 1860.

1970

Rec. Proc. 18th July 1868 No 2441

12/9/68

1868. Department
Pro. of Govt for July
No. 1970.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 2nd June 1868.

No. 4,053.

Submitting Statement of a lapsed Dehat Inam in North Arcot district, and recommending continuance of allowance to son of deceased and payment of arrears to him.

No 1946-13 July/68.