

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1747	Press Mis.
506-8. Dated	22-6-	19 1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

ms

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd June 1868.*

Read the following :—

No. 506. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th March 1868,
No. 1,555.

Read the following letter from the Acting Deputy Collector of the Kistna District, to
the Acting Collector of the Kistna District, dated Vinukonda, 7th February
1868, No. 31.

1. I have the honor to forward copy of a statement received by me this day
from the Deputy Accountant General, Madras, wherein he objects to admit Rs. 80
from the salary drawn by me for November 1867, and directs recovery of the
amount from the next like bill.

2. Having been transferred from the Vizagapatam District to this, the Deputy
Accountant General rules that I am only entitled to pay, at Rupees 150 per mensem,
from the 4th to 27th November (both days inclusive), that being the salary of the
substantive post to which I at that time stood appointed.

3. Had the transfer from Vizagapatam been at my own request, or had the
vacancy in which I was acting there ceased to exist, the force of the objection raised
might be admitted.

4. My transfer, however, did not arise from either of the above contingencies.
The Officer for whom I was acting in Vizagapatam had still a further period of
leave to run, and my removal, therefore, gave me no promotion. Had I not been
so removed, I should have continued to act in Vizagapatam during all November,
and drawn the full pay of Rupees 250; but because the Government were pleased
to remove me, the Deputy Accountant General rules that I am to forfeit eighty
Rupees.

5. As I have already said, had the vacancy I was acting in ceased to exist,
the objection might hold good, as my being posted to this district would then have
been to continue to me the promotion to act in the higher grade; but in my case
I have shewn it was neither so, nor was at my own request. Personally, I should
have preferred to have remained in the Vizagapatam District, and then I should
not have been subjected to the present deduction.

6. Again, with regard to the period*
* From 4th to 27th November inclusive. for which the deduction is made, you
are aware that I was detained eight days at Guntoor from no fault of my own.
Your instructions to me were that I was to take charge of my office at Guntoor from
the Acting Sub-Collector and Joint Magistrate, and to qualify as a Magistrate by
taking the prescribed oath of office before that gentleman in his capacity of Justice
of the Peace. He was himself not in Guntoor on my arrival, and after some delay
and demur as to my making oath, I did so on the 23rd November, and proceeded
to join at this place. I arrived at Guntoor on the morning of the 16th November,
and could easily have joined here in time to take charge on the 19th or 20th but
for the instructions I received. I had no personal object in remaining there the
period I did, and as will be seen from the demi-official letter I addressed you on
the 23rd November, my departure for Vinukonda was a voluntary act on my part

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd June 1868.

prior to the receipt of further instructions in modification of those I first got, because I saw no signs of my office establishment coming into Guntoor as you had informed me it would.

7. Under all these circumstances, I beg you will be so good as to obtain the orders of Government for removing the objection raised by the Deputy Accountant General, and allowing me the full salary for the month of November last of Rs. 250, which I would have drawn had I not been transferred from the Vizagapatam salt charge.

No. 58 of 1868.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue for submission to Government with their observations.

MASULIPATAM,
14th February 1868.

(Signed) G. THORNHILL,
Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Objection Statement.

Forwarded to the Accountant General for his remarks.

No. 507. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th June 1868, No. 4,163. Read again letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, dated 14th February 1868, and recorded in the Board's Proceedings, dated 4th March 1868, No. 1,555.

Read also the following Memorandum from the Deputy Accountant General, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Fort St. George, 16th March 1868, No. 6,798.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 1,555, dated 4th instant, the undersigned has the honor to state that the Government of India have ruled that an Officer who is removed from one acting appointment to another, is not entitled to deputation allowance for the period occupied in transit; but in the case of Officers situated like Mr. Plunkett, this Government may, subject to the confirmation of the Government of India, grant to the Officer concerned his *bonâ fide* travelling expenses.

Submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the Accountant General be authorized to remove the objection raised by him in regard to the salary of Mr. Plunkett for the month of November 1867, to which he would have been justly entitled if he had not been transferred from the Vizagapatam salt charge.

No. 508.

ORDER THEREON, 22nd June 1868, No. 1,747.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Accountant General is authorized to withdraw the objection in question, and to pass Mr. Plunkett his allowances.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd June 1868.

2. It is observed that Mr. Plunkett did not cease to be an Acting Deputy Collector for any interval, but was merely removed for the public convenience from one district to another.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant General.
.. the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Department

No. 2092.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated 5th June 1868.

Dep 13 -
Recd 15th
Enc. 1/26.

No. 4,163.

Submitting letters from Deputy Accountant General and Collector of Kistna District recommending that Accountant General be authorized to remove objection raised by him in regard to salary of Mr. Plunket Deputy Collector for November 1867.

No 1747- 22^d June

2000
 18-1747
 The Hon. Secy of the Navy
 I have the pleasure to receive of you
 your letter of the 10th inst. and in answer
 to inform you that the same has been
 forwarded to the proper authorities for
 their consideration. I am, Sir, very
 respectfully,
 Yours, &c.
 J. M. Smith

22^d June 1878

с 17 Июня 68-го