M.R.O. 1—39,000—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1709 Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 18-6- ~~15~~ 1868

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Read the following:—

No. 424. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th September 1867, No. 6,231.

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 19th August 1867, No. 223,

1. I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that Nagulapati Venkata Row, proprietor of the Pydemotta Estate, died on the 30th December 1866, leaving no sons, but a wife, a daughter and her son, as per margin. I have also received, by tappal, an Arzi, written in the name of the deceased, together with a Will, said to have been executed by him in favor of his grandson, Kristaya, making over to him the estate and his other personal property, and he being a Minor of ten years old, nominating his wife's brother, Boggavarpu Rajenna, to be guardian and manager of the estate during the minority of Kristaya. The deceased also requested that the estate should be registered in the name of the Minor. The estate and other property are now left in the hands of Rājamma. I doubt the genuineness of the Will, but the widow informed the Tahsildar that her husband did execute it, and her willingness to be bound by its provisions. The Tahsildar states that there are no other heirs or claimants to the estate. I therefore think that the Minor, Parsa Kristaya, should be recognized as the deceased's successor to the estate, and the estate registered in his name. Rajenna, who is a near relative to the Minor, may be entrusted with the management of the estate during the minority of Kristaya.

	Age.
Wife, Narsamma	45
Daughter, Parsa Rajamma	30
Daughter's son, Kristaya...	10

2. The annual peshcush payable to Government on the estate, which consists of only one village, is Rupees 110-1-8, and the revenue is Rupees 600.

The Collector should state, with reference to Section 3 of Regulation V. of 1804, whether he considers that the estate should be taken under the management of the Court of Wards. He should also give a more detailed account of the family, and of any possible claimants to the estate.

No. 425. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th March 1868, No. 2,149.

Read the following letter from the Acting Sub-Collector in charge, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 3rd March 1868, No. 55.

1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th September 1867, No. 6,231, I have the honor to inform you that Nagulapati Venkata Row, the late proprietor of the Pydemetta Estate, has no sons, brothers, or other blood relations. The deceased had a brother, Tirumal Row, but he died without issue about twenty years ago. His widow, Venkamma, died about ten years ago. The only surviving next heir to the deceased is his widow, Narsamma, under the Hindu Law.

2. As she expresses her willingness to be bound by the provisions of the Will, said to have been executed by her husband, bequeathing his estate to Parsa Kristaya, the only son of his only daughter, Rajamma, he may be recognized as the deceased's successor to his property. There are no other claimants to the estate.

3. Kristaya being a boy of ten years old, he is incapacitated, by minority, from taking charge of the property on his own behalf, and the estate should, there-

fore, be taken under management of the Court of Wards. The annual assessment of the estate is Rupees 699, from which, Government peshcush, Rupees 110, being deducted, there is a net income of Rupees 539; besides which the annual income derived by personal Inams, &c., is Rupees 107. The deceased's Inams amount to 43 Acres, valued at about 2,000 Rupees, and his other property, including house, jewels, utensils, &c., is worth about 200 Rupees. His debts due to others amount to about Rupees 2,000. Rupees 25 a month would be sufficient for the maintenance and other expenses of the Minor and his family. No guardian is necessary, as the boy may be left under the guardianship of his mother and grandmother. The management of the Minor's estate may be conducted under the superintendence of the Tahsildar, at a slight expense for Saderwarid, &c.

4. Arrangements will be made for the tuition of the Minor.

---

1. The Acting Sub-Collector seems to think it is necessary that the Court of Wards should take charge of the estate, simply because the heir is a Minor, but this is not the case, and the value of the estate is so small that they question the expediency of their interference.

2. The Collector will report further on this point.

No. 426. Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 29th May 1868, No. 3,992.
Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 30th September 1867, No. 6,231, and 25th March 1868, No. 2,149.
Read also the following letter from the Acting Sub-Collector in charge of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 18th April 1868, No. 74.

In reply to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th ultimo, No. 2,149, I have the honor to report that there are no special reasons why the estate of Pydemetta should be brought under management, and considering the small value of the estate, it may, I think, advantageously be left under Rajemma, as guardian and manager—a course which was recommended by Mr. Fraser in his letter, dated 19th August 1867, No. 223, and Board's Proceedings, dated 30th September 1867, No. 6,231.

---

1. Under the circumstances, which have been reported, the Court of Wards consider that the estate should be left in the possession and management of Rajamma.

2 The case is submitted under Regulation No. V. of 1804, paragraph 3, for the decision and orders of the Governor in Council.

No. 427. ORDER THEREON, 18th June 1868, No. 1,709.

Miscellaneous.

The Government concur in opinion with the Board that the case does not call for their intervention as Court of Wards.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman

Rev. 1868. Department
Pro. of Govt for Lunis

No. 2006

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 29th May 1868.

No. 3,992.

Submitting for decision and orders letter from Collector of Godavery District reporting that Pydemetta estate should be left in possession and management of Rajamma, mother of Minor proprietor.