

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1630.	Press Mis.
^{257-59.} Dated	10-6-	1918.8

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
10th June 1868.*

Read the following :—

No. 257. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 31st March 1868, No. 2,346. Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 25th February 1868, No. 53.

1. Ahobala Row Naidoo, late Tahsildar of the Chendragherry Talook, who was suspended from office on the 22nd May 1866, and eventually dismissed on the 23rd February 1867, has written to request that the salary due to him from 1st to 21st May 1866 may be disbursed to him, and that the subsistence allowance to which he is entitled under the Board's Standing Circular No. 394, (Board No. 2,) dated 29th June 1866, may also be paid to him for the time he was under suspension.

2. The salary due to Ahobala Row Naidoo from 1st to 21st May 1866, namely, Rupees 101-9-11, has only lately been claimed by him, and as it has not been drawn by any one else, may now be paid to him.

3. Streenevassa Charry, the present Tahsildar of the Chendragherry Talook, assumed charge of the office on the 28th May 1866, and Ahobala Row Naidoo having been subsequently dismissed, and not having immediately preferred a claim to subsistence allowance, the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury was instructed by me, on the 27th March 1867, to disburse to his successor (Streenevassa Charry) the salary of the office in arrears, from the date he assumed charge of it.

4. Ahobala Row Naidoo was under suspension for nine months and two days, and the subsistence allowance he claims amounts to Rupees 338-11-2, being at the rate of Rupees 37-8-0 per month.

5. Had Ahobala Row Naidoo preferred his claim in time, that is, after suspension, or even immediately after dismissal, arrangements might have been made to pay him the subsistence allowance he now claims. As, however, he has not done so, and as the rules on the subject say that the grant of such allowances should involve no additional charge, I am of opinion that Ahobala Row's claim for subsistence allowance should be rejected.

6. There is, however, a sum of Rupees 29-0-6 available, being the salary of the post from 22nd to 27th May 1866, the time it remained vacant, and if the Board see no objection, I shall disburse this amount to Ahobala Row Naidoo.

The Board consider that according to rules, Ahobala Row Naidoo, late Tahsildar of Chendragherry Talook, is entitled to his pay from 1st to 21st May 1866, as well as to the subsistence allowance, or one-fourth of his pay for the period he was under suspension, viz., from 22nd May 1866 to 22nd February 1867. The Collector is accordingly authorized to disburse to him the sum of Rupees 440-5-1, the charge being met from the general savings under Land Revenue.

No. 258. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th May 1868, No. 3,998. Read again letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated 25th February 1868, recorded in the Board's Proceedings, dated 31st March 1868, No. 2,346.

Read also the following Memorandum from the Deputy Accountant General, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Fort Saint George, 6th April 1868, No. 85 :—

1. *Vide* Board's Proceedings, No. 2,346, dated 31st ultimo.

2. Observes that the Board are not competent to authorize the subsistence allowance to Ahobala Row Naidoo as *an additional charge to the State*, the rules

