

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1305	Press Mis.
Dated	11—5—	1868

Not to be folded or roughly handled

ms

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th May 1868.*

No. 254. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd May 1868, No. 3,211.

Read the following letter from the Collector of North Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 17th March 1868, No. 85:—

1. I have the honor, with reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 15th November last, No. 7,466, communicating Order of Government, dated 8th idem, No. 2,653, to submit copy of a further letter* from the Acting Sub-Collector, Mr. Comyn, and of another

from the Accountant General to the Treasury Officer. From this correspondence the Board will observe, that the Accountant General is still of opinion that Mr. Comyn is not entitled to Deputation Allowance as Acting Sub-Collector and as Acting Collector for the period he was in charge of the district from 18th June to 17th July last, and states, in support of his objection, that there is nothing in the Order of Government above quoted which would justify the conclusion that they consider that his view of the matter is incorrect, or that they desire it to be altered so as to sanction the claim preferred by Mr. Comyn.

2. Mr. Comyn, on the other hand, argues that the Government in their order above quoted sanction the grant of Deputation Allowance to him "under the rules," which, as they stand in the Civil Auditor's Manual, entitle him to the higher rate of Deputation Allowance to the full extent claimed, and that those rules are nowhere stated to have been modified by the circumstances alluded to by the Accountant General in his letter embodied in the Order of Government already referred to.

3. Under these circumstances, I beg to submit the question for the consideration and Orders of Government.

From the Acting Deputy Accountant General, to the Treasury Officer, North Arcot, dated Fort St. George, 17th December 1867, No. 5,107:—

1. *Vide* your office letter No. 212, dated 3rd December 1867.
2. Although the ruling referred to in the last paragraph of letter from this office, recorded in Proceedings of Government, No. 2,653, dated 8th ultimo, as having been confirmed by the Home Government, is not mentioned in the Civil Auditor's Manual, it has always been held by the Audit Department to have modified the Deputation rules as originally published, and as there is nothing in that order which would justify the conclusion that Government consider that the view is incorrect, or that they desire it to be altered so as to sanction the claim preferred by Mr. Comyn, the undersigned regrets that he is unable to admit that claim.

(Signed) W. J. RAYNOR,
Acting Deputy Accountant General.

From W. H. COMYN, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of North Arcot, to the Collector of North Arcot, dated Vellore, 3rd March 1868, No. 102.

With reference to Government Order, dated the 8th November last, No. 2,653, sanctioning my Deputation Allowance during your absence, from 18th June to 17th July 1867, "under the rules," I have the honor to forward a copy of the correspondence noted in the margin, and to request that the question, whether under the above Government Order I am entitled to Deputation Allowance according to the clear

From Treasury Deputy Collector, North Arcot, 25th November 1867, No. 526.
To ditto, 29th November 1867, No. 561.
From ditto, 3rd January 1868, No. 566.
,, Acting Accountant General, Madras, to the Treasury Officer, North Arcot, 17th December 1867, No. 5,107.

drawing as Acting Sub

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th May 1868

wording of the rules as contained in the Civil Auditor's Manual, Chapter II, Paragraphs 72, 82, and 87, or as they are understood by the Accountant General, may be submitted for the consideration and Orders of Government.

Submitted to Government for their consideration and orders.

No. 255. ORDER THEREON, 11th May 1868, No. 1,305.

Miscellaneous.

1. The intention of Government in G. O. dated 8th November 1867, No. 2,053, was to allow Mr. Comyn to draw additional deputation allowance while in charge of the district, without any reduction of what he was drawing as Acting Sub-Collector. The whole of his allowances would thus be less than what a confirmed Sub-Collector in charge of a district would draw, and the Government are of opinion that this difference of pay sufficiently recognizes the difference of position.

2. The rules of 1855 provide that an officer officiating in more than one appointment shall draw deputation allowance on the aggregate salary of the offices, and thus Mr. Comyn would, under the G. O., have drawn the difference between his deputation allowance as Acting Sub-Collector and a deputation allowance calculated on the aggregate of the salaries of Sub-Collector and Collector.

3. It appears to Government that this is reasonable, and strictly in accordance with the rule, but the Acting Deputy Accountant General refers to some former decision as barring this arrangement. He does not give the date or state whether it was before or after the passing of the rules of 1855.

4. The paper will be referred to the Accountant General for report.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. August 1868

Rev. Depart.
W-1305
Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Under date the 11th May 68

Read the following
Pro: of the Board of
Revenue -

Submitting for Govt. and
oversees a letter from Mr. Comyn
of North Arcot forwarding
Copy of a further letter
from his Sub Collector
and another from Acct.
Genl. to Revenue Officer,
on the subject of giving
deputation allowance -

Here enter 2nd May 68 at 1:32 PM

The intention
of Govt. in G. O. dated
8th Nov 67 No. 2053 was
to allow Mr. Comyn to
draw additional deputation
allowance while
in charge of the district
without any reduction
of what he was
drawing as Acting
Sub

Sub

Sub Collector. The whole of his allowances would thus be less than what a confirmed Sub Collector in charge of a district would draw, and the Govt. are of opinion that this difference of pay sufficiently recognises the difference of the ^{position} ~~position~~ of the ~~area~~.

The rules of 1855 provide that an Officer officiating in more than one appointment shall draw Deputation allowances on the aggregate salary of the offices. Thus it would

3
under the G.O. have drawn the difference between his deputation allowance as Acting Sub Collr and a deputation allowance calculated on the aggregate of the Salaries of Sub Collr and Collector.

It appears to Govt. that this is reasonable and strictly in accordance with the rule, but the H. Depy. Secy. Genl. refers to some former decision as having been arranged. He does not give the date, but states whether it was before or after the

after the passing of
the rules of 1855-

The paper will
be referred to the
Acct General for
report -

[Signature]

14 Copies To the AG of the Despt 12
to the Acct General 11

234

Revenue 1868-1869
Pro: of the Department

d/1308

Pro: of the Department
of the Department
of the Department

Pro: of the Department

No. 167-168

8711167

112524255