

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1260	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	7— 5—	18-1868

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department.

7th May 1868.

No. 161. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th April 1868, No. 2,596.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tinnevely, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Circuit Cutcherry, Lakshmipuram tope, 7th January 1868, No. 8 :—

1. As requested, I have the honor to report on Board's Petition No. 62, dated 28th December 1866, herewith returned.

2. A subsistence Inam of 3 Acres 28 Cents of land was granted to petitioner's ancestors by the Nawab, and a Purwanah was issued accordingly ; this should have been resumed on the death of the original grantees, but was enjoyed by the family till 1814, when it was registered as a life-grant, and allowed to be enjoyed during the life-time of the then occupant, and on his death was resumed in 1864. The land was then registered as Ayen in the name of the last occupant. The Inam Commission was then in the district, and the Inamdar's heirs applied to the Inam Commissioner, who gave an endorsement to the effect, that as the Inam had been resumed as a life-grant, the applicants had no claim to it. The case, however, is a hard one, as the land resumed during the Inam inquiry had been in petitioner's family for upwards of fifty years. The petitioner's claim deserves consideration, and I can see no objection to this case being dealt with under the Inam Rules.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Communicated to Government, with reference to their endorsement on Petition No. 62 of 1867.

2. The Board do not consider it desirable to restore the Inam, which was finally resumed in 1864, and which ought to have been resumed on the death of the original grantees long before. The original Purwanah granting the Inam, contains nothing which can lead to the supposition that the grant was intended to be hereditary.

No. 162. ORDER THEREON, 7th May 1868, No. 1,260.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Government agree with the Collector in considering that the Petitioner's claim is deserving of favorable consideration. Had there been no Sunnud or documentary evidence forthcoming as to the terms of the grant, it would have been continued under the Inam Rules, recognizing fifty years enjoyment as constituting a good title ; had the holder survived till the Inam Commissioner took up his case, it is presumed that he might have been allowed to cure the defect in his title by agreeing to pay a certain rate of fixed quit-rent. There appears, moreover, room for doubt whether the grant was not something more than merely a life-grant for subsistence, although probably not intended as a permanent office endowment ; and, practically, the terms of the Sunnud do not appear to have been considered, succession to the Inam having been permitted.

2. Under these circumstances, the Government resolve, as an act of grace, to permit the claim to be disposed of under the Inam Rules.

**Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th May 1868.**

8. Endorsement on Petition No. 62 of 1867:—

"The Petitioner is informed that instructions have been issued to the Inam Commissioner to receive and dispose of his claim under the Inam Rules."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Inam Commissioner.
" the Collector of Tinnevely.
" the Petition Department.

Ld. S. T. Augustin.

Letter.

with the case might
under the Inam

Had there been no
ordainmentary
in following as
terms of the grant.
has been continued
the Inam rules
being 50 years & 1/2
stituting a good

has the holder involved
nam Comm. Perhaps
fancy he would have been
to cure the defect in
to make the grant
into a hereditary by
to pay a certain
quit rent. but he
to have died after
ing of the Comm. had
been in the District
in his case was

The grant was made

Petition 62 of 1867.

Board's Proc. 7th April 1868.

For orders.

The facts are plainly stated
it is for Govt. to decide whether
it is desirable that the
Petitioner's claim should be treated
under the Inam Rules or
not.

The Board consider that it
not desirable: The Collector
is of opinion that the Petitioner's
claim deserves consideration
& that there is no objection
to its being so dealt with.

I am inclined to agree with
the Collector.

. J.B.

I think as an act of
grace the concession that we
have made of the last
word under the Quaker
has been a liberal
two months longer -
may now be made
his friend. [S.K.]

For Orders.

Their course seems to me
 to be the best & proper
 one for adoption
 W.D.

The Petitioner is informed that instructions have been issued to the main Comptroller Receiver and Disposer of his claims under the main Rules.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

No. 1455

Received 1868. Department
Geo. of Louis. for Kelly

Department

24-3-1891.
Dear General, and Director of Education.
The Government agree with the College

Dated 7th April 1868.

Aug 18th 1888

020020-00016

1000-100-100

No. 2,596.

Stating that it is not desirable to restore Inam land in Tinnevely district claimed by Petitioner in No 62 of 1867.

1860-1861
Lith. an. m. 1861

A. 1611162

10. 6. 1968

14 Cues Lottery No 28
8 In an Common N
5 Room of Thameville N
1 Relickon Desitt N

The Government agree with the Collector in considering that the Petitioner's claim is deserving of favorable consideration. That there being no sound or documentary evidence forthcoming as to the terms of the grant it will have to be contained under the Homestead, occupying 50 years enjoyment as constituting a good title. Unlike the Homestead the Homestead Commission took up this case it is presumed that he might have been allowed from the defect in the title by paying a certain rate off the land.

But the Government opinion seems to be that whether the grant was not correctly made there is much active fraud for subsistence, although probably not intended as a permanent office settlement; and practically the terms of the Homestead do not apply. Where then existing succession the Homestead has been permitted.

2. With these circumstances the first 7 years as in act of Grace permit the claim. The superior C. of the United States Rules. *Wm. A. Foster* 773