

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

1204

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated

2 -

3

18 68.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

**Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd May 1868.**

No. 42. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st March 1867, No. 1,752.
Read the following paper from the Collector of Salem, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 13th November 1866.
Tabular Report on the lapsed Inams in the District of Salem.—Vide Board's Proceedings, dated 25th July 1866, No. 5,109.

Case number.	Talook and vil- lage; extent and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted; name of the ori- ginal grantee; sunnuds or other documents in sup- port of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity or service; nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder; date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased, and that held by the other members.	Family left by the de- ceased, and name and age of the parties, their circumstances, and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector, and the grounds on which it is made.
1	Kistnagherry. talook. Ready- money allow- ance, Rupees 84 per annum.	It is not known when and by whom granted. Prejunksikadree is the name of the original grantee. No sunnud or other documents in support of the grant.	Service allowance for lighting the Syed Badoosulala Durgah, on the Kistnagherry Hills, and for keeping it clean, &c.	Syed Ally Shaha is the last holder; died on the 21st December 1865, grandson to the original grantee.	The deceased en- joyed one-fourth of the plovance. His cousins named Hoozooj Buksh, one-fourth share; Lalabrah, one- fourth share; and Ally Buksh, and others, one-fourth share.	The deceased left the following heirs:— Sons. 1. Syed Ahmed Sahib... .. 30 2. Syed Abish... .. 25 3. Syed Kareem... .. 20 Grandsons. 1. Chaboomiah... .. 16 2. Meerashem... .. 12 Brothers. 1. Mohaboo Buksh 63 2. Amanully Shaha 53 Sons of the 3rd brother of the deceased. 1. Pasha... .. 24 2. Kasim... .. 16 Has certain other Inam lands in the Kistna- gherry talook.	The Tahsildar reports that there is some dispute among the heirs of the de- ceased and the other shareholders with regard to the registry of the Inam, and that the Mahomedan community are of opinion, that if the allowance is registered in the joint names of Syed Abish, the second son, and Hoozoor Buksh, one of the cousins of the deceased, the duties of the Durgah will be per- formed regularly. I beg to recommend that the wishes of the Mahomedan community may be carried out. In the statement sent to the Board of Revenue with the Collector's letter dated 21st June 1868, No. 51, a sum of Rs. 153-1-7 was entered against the Durgahs of Syed Buksh on the Kistnagherry hills. Out of this amount Rs. 84 was in- cluded for this Durgah. Paragraph 7 of Extract. Minutes of Consulta- tion, dated 29th Jan. 1849, No. 102, conveyed in the Board's Proceed- ings, dated 1st March 1849, refers to this Durgah.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd May 1868.*

The Board are of opinion that the ready-money allowance should be registered in the name of the eldest son of the deceased leaving it to the Mahomedan community to report the matter to the Collector should the service be neglected. Mr. Pochin is requested to favor the Board with his opinion on this proposition.

No. 43. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th May 1867, No. 2,951.

Read again Tabular Statement recorded in the Board's Proceedings, dated 21st March 1867, No. 1,752.

Read also the following letter from the Collector of Salem, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 10th April 1867, No. 87.

With reference to Board's Proceedings of the 21st ultimo, No. 1,752, I have the honor to state that I fully share in the Board's opinion that the name of Syed Ahmed Saib, the eldest son of the deceased Syed Ally Shaha, should be registered in lieu of his deceased father's name, leaving it of course to the Mahomedan community to watch and report if the service is not regularly performed.

1. No light is thrown on the past history of the Durgah in question, either by the papers above recorded, or by the correspondence therein quoted.

2. In the Statements submitted to the Board in 1845 and 1846, a sum of Rupees 153-1-7 is entered as the amount annually granted to a "Durgah" in Kistnagherry.

3. In a Statement subsequently furnished with a letter, dated 21st June 1848, No. 51, the same amount is exhibited as payable to "Durgahs of Syed Bacha on the Kistnagherry hill." But from the remarks appended it would appear that there was but one Durgah, and that the person performing service therein was allowed a rent-free village yielding Rupees 299-2-11 per annum, in addition to Rupees 21-14-0 granted to him every year for the performance of some annual ceremony.

4. In the Statement now submitted, the Collector reports that of the Rupees 153-1-7 above referred to, Rupees 84 appertain to the Durgah now under reference, although there is nothing in the record to shew how the amount was apportioned, and what became of the Inam village.

5. Mr. Pochin will be good enough to report fully as to the number of Durgahs, the nature of the service, and the emoluments attached to it. Correct references should be made to the sums sanctioned by Government on this account. His attention will also be drawn to paragraph 1 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 25th July 1866, No. 2,109.

*ings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd May 1868.*

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th April 1868, No. 2,634.

Read the following papers:—

Board's Proceedings, dated 21st March 1867, No. 1,752.

Do. do. „ 14th May „ „ 2,951.

the Collector of Salem, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, on Circuit at Womalur, Salem talook, 7th January 1868, No. 1.

In reference to the Proceedings of the Board, under date the 14th May 1867, I have the honor to submit the following report.

There is only one Durgah on the Kistnagherry hill called Syed Bacha. It contains three tombs, that of Syed Bacha and his two wives.

These tombs have been erroneously termed Durgahs, and hitherto entered in the correspondence. The emoluments assigned to the Durgah of Syed Bacha are:—

A ready-money allowance of Rupees 153-1-7; a rent-free village yielding Rupees 299-2-11, and Rupees 21-14-0 granted for the performance of a ceremony called "Oorooss." This last allowance was, however, discontinued in accordance with the Order of Government, dated 3rd June 1853, in the Board's Proceedings of the 23rd idem.

The ready-money allowance of Rupees 153-1-7, above alluded to, is as follows:—Pay of establishment, that is, persons to sweep, beat, and perform other menial offices, Rupees 69-1-7.

As to the person who actually performs the service, that is, who recites the Koran, Rupees 84. This latter individual enjoys the Inam.

The payment of the ready-money allowance, together with the Inam, is permitted by Government to be continued.—*Vide* their Order, dated 17th March 1849, No. 102, (paragraph 7,) conveyed in the Proceedings of the Board, dated 1st March 1849.

The matter of this Inam and allowance was included in the Statement submitted to the Board, together with a letter, dated 21st June 1848, No. 51.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

The above papers relate to a religious Service Yeomiah, the continuance of which, among other similar allowances, was sanctioned in paragraph 7 of Extracts of Consultation, dated 29th January 1849, No. 102, Revenue Department.

The entire amount is Rupees 153-1-7; but the Collector states that he has only 84 Rupees in the second column of the Statement, recorded in the Proceedings, dated 21st March 1867, herewith submitted, because the Rupees 69-1-7 is the pay of the menial establishment attached to the Durgah, and the former sum alone is paid to the individual who performs the religious service.

This allowance of Rupees 84 was last held by Syed Ally Shaha, who died on 1st December 1865; but for some reason not stated, three of his cousins succeeded it with him, and have, since his demise, disputed with his three sons the registry of the Yeomiah.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd May 1868.*

5. The Collector at first recommended that it should be registered in the joint names of the second son and one of the three cousins, the Mahomedan community being of opinion that this arrangement would insure the regular performance of the prescribed service; but on a reconsideration of the matter at the instance of the Board, Mr. Pochin thinks that it would be best to register it in the name of the eldest son of the deceased, and to leave it to the Mahomedan community to report any neglect of service they may observe. The Board accordingly recommend that this arrangement be sanctioned.

6. The Collector will be instructed to submit a Statement, in the prescribed form, for the arrears that may have been due up to the last holder's death, as well as for such as have since accrued; and as the Statement will embrace the arrears of more than two years, the permission of Government is requested for the Board to pass it without further reference to higher authority.

No. 45.

ORDER THEREON, 2nd May 1868, No. 1,204.

Miscellaneous.

The continuance of the service Yeomiah to the eldest son of the deceased Yeomiahdar is sanctioned, with arrears from date of decease of the last holder.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HINDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

6. The Collector will be instructed to submit a Statement, in the prescribed form, for the arrears that may have been due up to the last holder's death, as well as for such as have since accrued; and as the Statement will embrace the arrears of more than two years, the permission of Government is requested for the Board to pass it without further reference to higher authority.
(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. Hindleston
Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with copies of Board's Procs., dated 21st March 1867, No. 1,752,
and 14th May 1867, No. 2,351.

Copy to the Collector of Salem.

Exd. J. Wright.

21/1904
The continuance of the service
Yeomiah to the eldest son of the deceased
Yeomiahdar is sanctioned with arrears
from date of decease of the last holder
W. Hindleston
20/5/68