

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1071

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated

22 - 4 - 18 68.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd April 1868.*

No. 483. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd April 1868, No. 2,476.

Read the following letter from the Collector of South Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Punrooty, 22nd February 1868, No. 52 :—

I forward, for the Board's sanction, the accompanying estimate for the district roads therein specified. The utility of the work was first brought to my notice by Mr. Hathaway, the Sub-Collector, and its construction will have the effect of directly connecting certain villages between which, at present, the communication is very circuitous, and the line is in substitution of the road between Lalpett and Koodavully, sanctioned in the Government Order, No. 3,721, under date the 29th November 1867.

—
ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Estimate.

The estimate, amounting to Rupees 2,400, received with the foregoing letter, in substitution of the one for Rupees 8,000, sanctioned in Government Order, Revenue Department, dated 26th November 1867, No. 2,807, will be submitted for the sanction of Government. The work is entered as Item No. 9 in the current year's Supplemental District Road Fund Budget.

No. 484. ORDER THEREON, 22nd April 1868, No. 1,071.

Local Funds, No. 18.
Civil Works.

1. The estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,400, to connect Thummur and Neivassel by a District Road is sanctioned in lieu of that from Lalpett to Koodavully, previously sanctioned.

2. It is observed that neither the Collector's letter nor the Board's Proceedings specify the road now proposed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Department Public Works.
„ the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

ent.

The estimate
 amt \$5,000.00 for
 summer & winter
 for a road in front of
 line of that same lot.
 & accordingly previously
 sanctioned.

It is observed that
 in the 1st. letter
 to the Gov. of the
 the same was proposed.

11, Chas. J. [unclear] Dec 23

20 J. H. [unclear]

6 [unclear]

J. H.
 22nd Apr 1888